

Iwasawa invariants and linking numbers of primes

Yasushi Mizusawa and Gen Yamamoto

Dedicated to Professor Keiichi Komatsu

Abstract.

For an odd prime number p and a number field k which is an elementary abelian p -extension of the rationals, we prove the equivalence between the vanishing of all Iwasawa invariants of the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of k and an arithmetical condition described by the linking numbers of primes from a viewpoint of analogies between pro- p Galois groups and link groups. A criterion of Greenberg's conjecture for k of degree p is also described in terms of linking matrices.

§1. Introduction

Let p be a fixed prime number. For a number field k , we denote by k^{cyc} the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of k , where \mathbb{Z}_p denotes (the additive group of) the ring of p -adic integers. Then k^{cyc}/k has a unique cyclic subextension k_n/k of degree p^n for each integer $n \geq 0$. Let $A(k_n)$ be the Sylow p -subgroup of the ideal class group of k_n , and let e_n be the exponent of the order $|A(k_n)| = p^{e_n}$. The Iwasawa invariants $\lambda(k) \geq 0$, $\mu(k) \geq 0$, $\nu(k)$ of k^{cyc}/k are defined as integers satisfying Iwasawa's class number formula

$$e_n = \lambda(k)n + \mu(k)p^n + \nu(k)$$

for all sufficiently large n (cf. e.g. [21]). In case of cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions, Iwasawa conjectured that $\mu(k) = 0$, and Ferrero and Washington [3] proved that $\mu(k) = 0$ if k/\mathbb{Q} is an abelian extension. It was also conjectured by Greenberg [5] that $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$ if k is a totally real number field. Greenberg's conjecture has been studied in various situations, in particular when k/\mathbb{Q} is an abelian extension. Criteria of

Received December 24, 2017.

Revised August 16, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R23 (11R18).

Key words and phrases. Iwasawa invariants, arithmetic topology, linking numbers.

Greenberg's conjecture for real abelian p -extensions k/\mathbb{Q} are often described by p th power residue symbols of ramified prime numbers (e.g. [4, 22]).

In arithmetic topology (analogies between knot theory and number theory, cf. [16]), p th power residue symbols are often translated into analogues of mod p linking numbers of knots. For a pair (ℓ, ℓ') of distinct prime numbers, the (pro- p) linking number $\text{lk}(\ell, \ell')$ is defined as the discrete logarithm of ℓ modulo ℓ' if $\ell' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and defined as the p -adic logarithm of ℓ to base α_p if $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $\ell' = p$, where α_p is a generator of $1 + 2p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Based on analogies in linking numbers, Morishita [15] developed analogies between p -extensions of number fields and branched Galois coverings of 3-manifolds. In the origin of arithmetic topology, Mazur [12] pointed out an analogy between Alexander-Fox theory and Iwasawa theory. The Iwasawa invariants of links are also defined and studied, regarding a tower of cyclic p -coverings branched along a link as an analogue of a \mathbb{Z}_p -extension (cf. [6, 8, 9, 19, 20]).

The purpose of this paper is to study Iwasawa invariants for real abelian p -extensions k/\mathbb{Q} from a viewpoint of arithmetic topology. The first result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that p is odd, and put $\ell_0 = p$. Let $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\} \neq \emptyset$ be a finite set of d prime numbers $\ell_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and let k/\mathbb{Q} be the maximal elementary abelian p -extension unramified outside S . Then $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = \nu(k) = 0$ if and only if S satisfies one of the following two conditions on linking numbers of primes:*

1. $d = 1$, and either $\text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ or $\text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_0) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
2. $d = 2$, and

$$(1) \text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_1)\text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_2)\text{lk}(\ell_2, \ell_0) \not\equiv \text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_2)\text{lk}(\ell_2, \ell_1)\text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_0) \pmod{p}.$$

In particular, $d \leq 2$ if $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = \nu(k) = 0$.

We prove Theorem 1.1 in §3 via the structure of a certain pro- p Galois group $\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q})$ analogous to a link group, which we recall in §2.

The condition (1) is similar to a condition of 'circular set of primes' (cf. e.g. [11]). There is also another generalization of (1) for larger d , which is described by linking matrices C_k of cyclic extensions k/\mathbb{Q} of degree p (cf. Proposition 5.3). The matrix C_k is a modification of the linking matrix $C' = (c'_{ij} \pmod{p})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ of $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\}$ with entries satisfying that $c'_{ij} = \text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j)$ if $i \neq j$, and that $\sum_{j=1}^d c'_{ij} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The matrix C' was defined in [16, Example 10.15] as an analogue of the linking matrix of a link. (See §4 for the definition of C_k .) Using also

an associated matrix B_k , we obtain the following sufficient condition of Greenberg's conjecture as a partial generalization of Theorem 1.1. (See §5 for the definition of B_k .)

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 5.1). *Suppose $\ell_0 = p \neq 2$. Let k/\mathbb{Q} be a cyclic extension of degree p unramified at p , and let $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\}$ be the set of ramified primes in k/\mathbb{Q} . If $\text{rank } C_k = d - 1$ and $\text{rank } B_k = d$, and if p is inert in k/\mathbb{Q} , then $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$.*

Theorem 1.2 is proved in §5 by extending an idea of Fukuda [4] which is based on the capitulation of ideal classes in k^{cyc}/k . In §6, we also give an infinite family of examples of Theorem 1.2 such that the p -rank of $A(k)$ is $p - 1$.

§2. Linking numbers and pro- p Galois groups

First we recall the definition of linking numbers of primes. Suppose that $p \neq 2$. For each prime number $\ell' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we fix an integer $\alpha_{\ell'}$ such that $\overline{\alpha_{\ell'}} = \alpha_{\ell'} + \ell'\mathbb{Z}$ generates the cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell'\mathbb{Z})^\times$. As in [13], we also choose $\alpha_p = (1 + p)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ as a generator of the procyclic group $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p = \alpha_p^{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let ℓ be a prime number. Put $\text{lk}(\ell, \ell) = 0$. If $\ell \neq \ell' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $\text{lk}(\ell, \ell')$ is defined as an integer such that

$$\ell^{-1} \equiv \alpha_{\ell'}^{\text{lk}(\ell, \ell')} \pmod{\ell'}$$

and $0 \leq \text{lk}(\ell, \ell') < \ell' - 1$. If $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $\text{lk}(\ell, p)$ is defined as a p -adic integer satisfying

$$\ell^{-1} = \alpha_p^{\text{lk}(\ell, p)}.$$

REMARK 2.1. While the definition of $\text{lk}(\ell, \ell')$ depends on the choice of $\alpha_{\ell'}$, the divisibility by p and the validity of (1) are independent of the choices of α_{ℓ_i} .

For a pro- p group G and the closed subgroup H , we denote by $[H, G]$ (resp. H^p) the minimal closed subgroup containing $\{[h, g] = h^{-1}g^{-1}hg \mid g \in G, h \in H\}$ (resp. $\{h^p \mid h \in H\}$), and put $G_2 = [G, G]$, $G_3 = [G_2, G]$. Based on the theory of [10], the following theorem has been obtained in [13] as a partial refinement of Salle's result [17] (cf. also [2]).

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that $p \neq 2$, and put $\ell_0 = p$. Let $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\} \neq \emptyset$ be a finite set of d prime numbers $\ell_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Let $(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}})_S$ be the maximal pro- p -extension of \mathbb{Q}^{cyc} which is unramified*

at every primes not lying over any $\ell_i \in S$. Then the Galois group $\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Gal}((\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}})_S/\mathbb{Q})$ over \mathbb{Q} has a minimal presentation

$$1 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 1$$

where $F = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$ is a free pro- p group with $d+1$ generators x_i such that $\pi(x_i)$ generates the inertia group of a prime $\tilde{\ell}_i$ of $(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}})_S$ lying over ℓ_i , and $R = \langle r_0, r_1, \dots, r_d \rangle_F$ is a normal subgroup of F normally generated by $d+1$ relations r_i of the form

$$r_i = \begin{cases} [x_0^{-1}, y_0^{-1}] & \text{if } i = 0, \\ x_i^{\ell_i - 1} [x_i^{-1}, y_i^{-1}] & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq d \end{cases}$$

with $y_i \in F$ such that $\pi(y_i)$ is a Frobenius automorphism of $\tilde{\ell}_i$ in $\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q})$, and

$$y_i \equiv \prod_{j=0}^d x_j^{\text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j)} \pmod{[F, F]}.$$

Proof. We give a short proof for the convenience of the reader. For each i , we fix an embedding of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q} into that of the ℓ_i -adic field \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ_i} , corresponding to a prime lying over $\tilde{\ell}_i$. Let $\mathcal{G}_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the Galois group of the maximal pro- p -extension of \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ_i} for $i \neq 0$, and put $\mathcal{G}_0 = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}} \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}, p} / \mathbb{Q}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{ur}, p} / \mathbb{Q}_p$ is the unramified \mathbb{Z}_p -extension. Then the image of \mathcal{G}_i in $\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q})$ is the decomposition group of $\tilde{\ell}_i$. By [17, §4] (or [2, Lemma 3.7]), the natural homomorphism

$$H^2(\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q}), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^d H^2(\mathcal{G}_i, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

on the second cohomology groups is injective. By the same argument as in the proof of [10, Theorem 11.10 and Example 11.11], we obtain the presentation π such that $\pi(x_i) \pmod{(\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q}))_2}$ corresponds to the idèle class of α_{ℓ_i} . \square

The Galois group $\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q})$ is considered in [13] (including the case of $p = 2$) as an analogue of a link group, which is the fundamental group of the complement of a link in the 3-sphere. The ‘Koch type’ presentation of $\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q})$ in Theorem 2.2 is an analogue of the Milnor presentation of a link group, where $\pi(x_i)$ (resp. $\pi(y_i)$) is analogous to the meridian (resp. longitude) of the tubular neighbourhood V of a component of the link. In fact, $\mathcal{G}_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ above is an analogue of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\partial V) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of the boundary of V . Hence the linking numbers of primes are certainly analogous to the linking numbers of knots.

REMARK 2.3. When $d = 2$, the condition (1) is satisfied if and only if the closed subgroup $G_S(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}}) = \text{Gal}((\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}})_S/\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}})$ of $\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq G_S(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is a prometacyclic pro- p group (cf. [7, 14]).

One can find infinitely many $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\}$ with prescribed mod p linking numbers as follows. In particular, there exist infinitely many sets $S = \{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$ satisfying (1).

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose $\ell_0 = p \neq 2$ and $d \geq 1$. For arbitrary integers a_{ij} ($0 \leq i, j \leq d$, $i \neq j$), there exist infinitely many sets $\{(\ell_i, \overline{\alpha_{\ell_i}}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d\}$ of pairs $(\ell, \overline{\alpha_{\ell}})$ of prime numbers $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and the primitive elements $\overline{\alpha_{\ell}} \in (\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that $\text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j) \equiv a_{ij} \pmod{p}$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ($i \neq j$).*

Proof. Put $\zeta_n = \exp \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{n}$ for each $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We choose ℓ_i and α_{ℓ_i} ($1 \leq i \leq d$) by the following recursive step: Put $L_i = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2}, \zeta_{\ell_j}, \sqrt[p]{\ell_j} \mid 0 \leq j \leq i-1)$. Choose a prime \mathfrak{L}_i of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ such that the Frobenius automorphism $\sigma_i \in \text{Gal}(L_i/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p))$ of \mathfrak{L}_i satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i(\zeta_{p^2}) &= \zeta_{p^2}^{(1+p)^{a_{i0}}}, \quad \sigma_i(\zeta_{\ell_j}) = \zeta_{\ell_j}^{-a_{ij}} \quad (1 \leq j < i), \\ \sigma_i(\sqrt[p]{\ell_j}) &= \zeta_p^{-a_{ji}} \sqrt[p]{\ell_j} \quad (0 \leq j < i). \end{aligned}$$

Take $\ell_i \in \mathfrak{L}_i$, and choose $\alpha_{\ell_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\zeta_{\ell_{i-1}} \equiv \alpha_{\ell_i} \pmod{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_i}$, i.e., $\zeta_p \equiv \alpha_{\ell_i}^{\frac{\ell_i-1}{p}} \pmod{\mathfrak{L}_i}$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_i$ is a prime of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\ell_{i-1}})$ lying over \mathfrak{L}_i .

Then we have $\text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j) \equiv a_{ij} \pmod{p}$ for all $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ($i \neq j$). By the Chebotarev density theorem, there exist infinitely many such sets $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\}$. \square

§3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 via Theorem 2.2

Recall that $\ell_0 = p \neq 2$, $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\}$, $d \geq 1$, $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^d$ and that k/\mathbb{Q} is unramified outside S . Let K/\mathbb{Q} be a cyclic extension of degree p which is unramified outside S and ramified at any $\ell_i \in S$. Then $K \subset k \subset (\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}})_S$, and k/K is unramified. Let $H = \text{Ker}(|_K \circ \pi)$ be the kernel of the surjective homomorphism

$$F \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{|_K} \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z},$$

where π is the homomorphism obtained in Theorem 2.2. Recall that the inertia subgroup T_i of $\tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q})$ for $\tilde{\ell}_i$ is a procyclic pro- p group generated by $\pi(x_i)$. Since K/\mathbb{Q} is not unramified at $\ell_i \in S$, $T_i \not\subset \text{Gal}((\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}})_S/K)$, i.e., $\pi(x_i)|_K \neq 1 \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ for $i \neq 0$. Hence the inertia subgroup

$T_i \cap \text{Ker}(|_K)$ of $\text{Gal}((\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cyc}})_S/K)$ for $\tilde{\ell}_i$ is generated by $\pi(x_i^p)$ if $1 \leq i \leq d$. Then π induces an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow NR \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow \text{Gal}((K^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset/K) \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $(K^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset$ is the maximal unramified pro- p -extension of K^{cyc} , and $N = \langle x_1^p, \dots, x_d^p \rangle_H$ is the closed normal subgroup of H which is normally generated by x_1^p, \dots, x_d^p . Since $(K^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset/\mathbb{Q}$ is a Galois extension, NR is a normal subgroup of F . Actually, since $g^{-1}x_i^p g \in N$ for any $i \neq 0$ and any $g \in F = \bigcup_{j=0}^{p-1} x_i^j H$, N is also a normal subgroup of F , and normally generated by x_1^p, \dots, x_d^p , i.e.,

$$N = \langle x_1^p, \dots, x_d^p \rangle_F.$$

Since $k^{\text{cyc}}/K^{\text{cyc}}$ is unramified, $(K^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset = (k^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset$ is also the maximal unramified pro- p -extension of k^{cyc} . Then π also induces a presentation

$$1 \longrightarrow NR \longrightarrow F \xrightarrow{\varpi} G \longrightarrow 1$$

of

$$G = \text{Gal}((K^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Gal}((k^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset/\mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\varpi = |_{(K^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset} \circ \pi$. Let

$$R' = \langle \rho_0, \dots, \rho_d \rangle_F$$

be the normal subgroup of F normally generated by $d+1$ elements

$$\rho_i = [x_i^{-1}, y_i^{-1}] \equiv \prod_{j=0}^d [x_i, x_j]^{\text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j)} \pmod{F_3}.$$

Then $R' \subset F_2$, $NR = NR'$, and $G \simeq F/NR'$.

The restriction mapping $G \xrightarrow{|_{k^{\text{cyc}}}} \text{Gal}(k^{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q})$ induces a surjective homomorphism

$$\psi : G/G_2 \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(k^{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q}).$$

Since $NR \subset NF_2$, we have $G/G_2 \simeq F/NF_2 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^d \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since moreover $\text{Gal}(k^{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^d \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$, ψ must be an isomorphism. This implies that

$$G_2 = \text{Gal}((k^{\text{cyc}})_\emptyset/k^{\text{cyc}}).$$

Recall that

$$G_2/[G_2, G_2] \simeq \varprojlim A(k_n),$$

where \varprojlim is the projective limit with respect to the norm mappings. Then we obtain the following equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = \nu(k) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow G_2 \simeq 1 \\
&\Leftrightarrow G_2 = (G_2)^p G_3 \\
(2) \quad &\Leftrightarrow F_2 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N = R'(F_2)^p F_3 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N.
\end{aligned}$$

Put $\bar{g} = g(F_2)^p F_3 N$ for $g \in F$.

Lemma 3.1. $\{\overline{[x_i, x_j]} \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq d\}$ forms a basis of the vector space $F_2 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N$ over $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Note that the map

$$F/F_2 \times F/F_2 \rightarrow F_2/F_3 : (g_1 F_2, g_2 F_2) \mapsto [g_1, g_2] F_3$$

is a surjective \mathbb{Z}_p -bilinear homomorphism. Since

$$[g_1^{-1} x_i^p g_1, g_2^{-1} x_j^p g_2] \equiv [x_i, x_j]^{p^2} \equiv 1 \pmod{(F_2)^p F_3},$$

$(F_2)^p F_3 N / (F_2)^p F_3$ is an abelian group. Suppose that $g \in (F_2)^p F_3 N \cap F_2$. Then $g \in (F_2)^p F_3 N$ is written in the form $g = g' \prod_{i=1}^d x_i^{z_i p}$ with some $g' \in (F_2)^p F_3$ and $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $\prod_{i=1}^d x_i^{z_i p} \equiv 1 \pmod{(F_2)^p F_3}$ and F/F_2 is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module generated by $\{x_j F_2 \mid 0 \leq j \leq d\}$, we have $z_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$, i.e., $g = g' \in (F_2)^p F_3$. Hence $(F_2)^p F_3 N \cap F_2 = (F_2)^p F_3$, which induces an isomorphism

$$F_2 / (F_2)^p F_3 \simeq F_2 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N : g(F_2)^p F_3 \mapsto \bar{g}.$$

For each pair (i, j) such that $0 \leq i < j \leq d$, there is a surjective homomorphism $\varphi_{i,j} : F \rightarrow F' : x_i \mapsto a, x_j \mapsto b, x_l \mapsto 1 \ (l \notin \{i, j\})$, where F' is the free pro- p group with two generators a, b . If $g = \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq d} [x_i, x_j]^{z_{i,j}} \equiv 1 \pmod{(F_2)^p F_3}$ with some $z_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $[a, b]^{z_{i,j}} = \varphi_{i,j}(g) \in (F_2)^p F_3$, and hence $z_{i,j} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for any (i, j) . Therefore $\{\overline{[x_i, x_j]} \mid 0 \leq i < j \leq d\}$ is a basis of the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $F_2 / (F_2)^p F_3$. This yields the claim of Lemma 3.1. \square

If $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = \nu(k) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d(d+1)}{2} &= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(F_2 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N) \\
&= \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(R'(F_2)^p F_3 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N) \leq d+1,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $d \leq 2$, by Lemma 3.1 and (2).

If $d = 1$, $R'(F_2)^p F_3 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N = \langle \overline{[x_0, x_1]}^{\text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_1)}, \overline{[x_0, x_1]}^{\text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_0)} \rangle$ is a subspace of

$$F_2 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N = \langle \overline{[x_0, x_1]} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$$

(cf. Lemma 3.1). By (2), $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = \nu(k) = 0$ if and only if $\text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ or $\text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_0) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

If $d = 2$, $R'(F_2)^p F_3 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N = \langle \overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2} \rangle$ is a subspace of

$$F_2 N / (F_2)^p F_3 N = \langle \overline{[x_0, x_1]}, \overline{[x_1, x_2]}, \overline{[x_2, x_0]} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^3$$

(cf. Lemma 3.1). Then $(\overline{\rho_0}, \overline{\rho_1}, \overline{\rho_2}) = (\overline{[x_0, x_1]}, \overline{[x_1, x_2]}, \overline{[x_2, x_0]})A$ with a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_1) & -\text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_0) & 0 \\ 0 & \text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_2) & -\text{lk}(\ell_2, \ell_1) \\ -\text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_2) & 0 & \text{lk}(\ell_2, \ell_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

having the determinant

$$\det A = \text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_1)\text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_2)\text{lk}(\ell_2, \ell_0) - \text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_2)\text{lk}(\ell_2, \ell_1)\text{lk}(\ell_1, \ell_0).$$

By (2), $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = \nu(k) = 0$ if and only if $\det A \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

REMARK 3.2. All real abelian p -extensions k/\mathbb{Q} such that $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = \nu(k) = 0$ have been determined by some conditions on p th power residue symbols (cf. [18, 22, 23]). One can also obtain Theorem 1.1 by translating the condition of [22, Theorem 1] into the words of linking numbers (cf. [24, 25]). Moreover, there is an analogous condition of (1) in the function field analogue [1, Theorem] of [22, Theorem 1].

§4. Linking matrices of number fields

We define a linking matrix C_K for a cyclic extension K/\mathbb{Q} of degree p . Suppose that $p \neq 2$. We use the same notation as in Theorem 2.2. Suppose that K/\mathbb{Q} is unramified outside $\{\ell_\delta, \dots, \ell_d\}$ and ramified at ℓ_i for any $\delta \leq i \leq d$, where $\delta = \delta_K$ is either 0 or 1 according to whether K/\mathbb{Q} is ramified at $\ell_0 = p$ or not. Let K^g be the genus class field of K/\mathbb{Q} , i.e., K^g is the maximal unramified abelian extension of K which is abelian over \mathbb{Q} . Then K^g/\mathbb{Q} coincides with the maximal elementary abelian p -extension of \mathbb{Q} unramified outside $\{\ell_\delta, \dots, \ell_d\}$, and hence the homomorphism

$$F \xrightarrow{\pi} \tilde{G}_S(\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{1_{K^g}} \text{Gal}(K^g/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{d+1-\delta}$$

induces a homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} F/F^p[F, F]\langle x_0^\delta \rangle &\xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Gal}(K^g/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{|_K} \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \\ \bar{x}_i &\mapsto \pi(x_i)|_{K^g} \mapsto \pi(x_d^{m_i})|_K \end{aligned}$$

with some integers $m_i = m_{K,i} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $\delta \leq i \leq d$. Note that $m_d = 1$.

REMARK 4.1. The presentation in Theorem 2.2 is constructed to satisfy $\pi(x_i)|_{K^g} = \tau_i|_{K^g}$ for $\tau_i \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2\ell_1 \cdots \ell_d})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2\ell_1 \cdots \ell_d/\ell_i}))$ such that $\tau_0(\zeta_{p^2}) = \zeta_{p^2}^{1+p}$ and $\tau_j(\zeta_{\ell_j}^{\alpha_{\ell_j}}) = \zeta_{\ell_j}$ if $j \neq 0$. Then K is identified as the fixed field of $\langle \tau_0^\delta, \tau_d^p, \tau_i \tau_d^{-m_i} \mid \delta \leq i < d \rangle$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2\ell_1 \cdots \ell_d})/\mathbb{Q}$.

Let $\mathfrak{l}_{K,i}$ be the prime ideal of K lying over ℓ_i for each $\delta \leq i \leq d$. The decomposition group

$$\text{Gal}(K^g/K) \cap \langle \pi(x_i)|_{K^g}, \pi(y_i)|_{K^g} \rangle = \langle \pi(y_i x_i^{c_{ii}})|_{K^g} \rangle$$

of $\mathfrak{l}_{K,i}$ in $\text{Gal}(K^g/K)$ is generated by the Frobenius automorphism $\left(\frac{K^g/K}{\mathfrak{l}_{K,i}}\right) = \pi(y_i x_i^{c_{ii}})|_{K^g}$ with some integer c_{ii} . Since

$$1 = \pi(y_i x_i^{c_{ii}})|_K = \pi(x_i^{c_{ii}} \prod_{j=\delta}^d x_j^{\text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j)})|_K = \pi(x_d)^{m_i c_{ii} + \sum_{j=\delta}^d m_j \text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j)},$$

we have $c_{ii} \equiv -m_i^{-1} \sum_{j=\delta}^d m_j \text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j) \pmod{p}$. Put $c_{ij} = \text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j)$ if $i \neq j$. Then the linking matrix of K is defined as a $(d+1-\delta) \times (d+1-\delta)$ matrix

$$C_K = (c_{ij} \pmod{p})_{\delta \leq i, j \leq d}$$

with entries in \mathbb{F}_p , which satisfies

$$(3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{K^g/K}{\mathfrak{l}_{K,\delta}}\right) \\ \vdots \\ \left(\frac{K^g/K}{\mathfrak{l}_{K,d}}\right) \end{pmatrix} = C_K \begin{pmatrix} \pi(x_\delta)|_{K^g} \\ \vdots \\ \pi(x_d)|_{K^g} \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e., $\left(\frac{K^g/K}{\mathfrak{l}_{K,i}}\right) = \prod_{j=\delta}^d \pi(x_j)|_{K^g}^{c_{ij}}$ for $\delta \leq i \leq d$. Note that $\{\pi(x_j)|_{K^g}\}_{\delta \leq j \leq d}$ forms a basis of the \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $\text{Gal}(K^g/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^{d+1-\delta}$. Since $\text{Gal}(K^g/K) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^{d-\delta}$, we have $\text{rank } C_K \leq d - \delta$. The following lemma is a translation of [4, Lemma 1.1] into the words of a linking matrix. We denote by $[\mathfrak{a}]$ the ideal class of an ideal \mathfrak{a} .

Lemma 4.2. *Under the settings above, the following two conditions are equivalent, where $(0, \dots, 0) \neq (b_\delta, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{d+1-\delta}$:*

1. $A(K) = \langle [l_{K,\delta}], \dots, [l_{K,d}] \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{d-\delta}$ and $\prod_{i=\delta}^d [l_{K,i}]^{b_i} = 1$.
2. $\text{rank } C_K = d - \delta$ and $(b_\delta, \dots, b_d)C_K = (0, \dots, 0)$.

Proof. The equation (3) implies that $\text{Gal}(K^g/K) = \langle (\frac{K^g/K}{l_{K,\delta}}, \dots, \frac{K^g/K}{l_{K,d}}) \rangle$ if and only if $\text{rank } C_K = d - \delta$. By [4, Lemma 1.1], $A(K) = \langle [l_{K,\delta}], \dots, [l_{K,d}] \rangle \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^{d-\delta}$ if and only if $\text{rank } C_K = d - \delta$. Then, since $A(K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K^g/K) : [l_{K,i}] \mapsto (\frac{K^g/K}{l_{K,i}})$ becomes a \mathbb{F}_p -linear isomorphism, we obtain the equivalence. \square

If $\delta = 1$ and $m_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$, the $d \times d$ matrix C_K coincides with the linking matrix C' of S (cf. [16, Example 10.15]).

§5. A criterion of Greenberg's conjecture via capitulation

Using the linking matrices C_K , we obtain a criterion of Greenberg's conjecture as follows. Recall that $\ell_0 = p \neq 2$ and $\ell_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let k/\mathbb{Q} be a cyclic extension of degree p which is unramified outside the set $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\}$ and ramified at any $\ell_i \in S$. Then the $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^2$ -extension k_1/\mathbb{Q} contains $p - 1$ cyclic subextensions $k^{(1)}, \dots, k^{(p-1)}$ of degree p except for k and \mathbb{Q}_1 . Put $k^{(0)} = k$, and put

$$J = \{j \mid \text{rank } C_K = d - \delta_K \text{ for } K = k^{(j)}\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$$

with the cardinality $|J|$. For each $j \in J$, let $(b_{\delta_j}, \dots, b_{d_j}) \in \mathbb{F}_p^{d+1-\delta}$ be a nonzero vector satisfying $(b_{\delta_j}, \dots, b_{d_j})C_{k^{(j)}} = (0, \dots, 0)$, where $\delta = 1$ if $j = 0$, and $\delta = 0$ otherwise. Omitting b_{0j} , we define a $d \times |J|$ matrix

$$B_k = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq d, j \in J}.$$

Now we shall recall and prove Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 5.1 (Theorem 1.2). *Under the settings above, if $\text{rank } C_k = d - 1$ and $\text{rank } B_k = d$, then $A(k)$ capitulates in k_1 . If moreover p is inert in k/\mathbb{Q} , we have $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{rank } C_k = d - 1$ and $\text{rank } B_k = d$. Then $A(k) = \langle [l_{k,1}], \dots, [l_{k,d}] \rangle$ by Lemma 4.2, and there is $J' \subset J$ such that $B'_k = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq d, j \in J'}$ is a regular $d \times d$ matrix. Let O_{k_1} denote the ring of algebraic integers in k_1 , and let \mathfrak{p} be the prime ideal of \mathbb{Q}_1 lying over p . For any $1 \leq j \leq p - 1$, since $[\mathfrak{p}] \in A(\mathbb{Q}_1) \simeq 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}O_{k_1} = l_{k^{(j)},0}O_{k_1}$, we have $[l_{k^{(j)},0}O_{k_1}] = [\mathfrak{p}O_{k_1}] = 1 \in A(k_1)$. Moreover, $l_{k^{(j)},i}O_{k_1} = l_{k,i}O_{k_1}$ for any $1 \leq j \leq p - 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq d$. Hence $\prod_{i=1}^d [l_{k,i}O_{k_1}]^{b_{ij}} = \prod_{i=1}^d [l_{k^{(j)},i}O_{k_1}]^{b_{ij}} = 1$ for any $j \in J'$ by Lemma 4.2.

If we write additively as $\langle \sum_{i=1}^d b_{ij} [l_{k,i} O_{k_1}] = 0 \rangle$, then

$$([l_{k,1} O_{k_1}], \dots, [l_{k,d} O_{k_1}]) = (0, \dots, 0) B_k'^{-1} = (0, \dots, 0).$$

This implies that the lift mapping $A(k) \rightarrow A(k_1) : [\mathfrak{a}] \mapsto [\mathfrak{a} O_{k_1}]$ is a zero mapping, i.e., $A(k)$ capitulates in k_1 . Then, moreover if p is inert in k/\mathbb{Q} , we have $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$ by [5, Theorem 1]. \square

REMARK 5.2. Note that the extensions $k^{(1)}, \dots, k^{(p-1)}$ have the common genus class field which contains k_1 . For $1 \leq i \leq d$, since $\pi_1(x_i)|_k = \pi_1(x_d^{m_{k,i}})|_k$ and $\langle \pi_1(x_i)|_{k_1} \rangle = \text{Gal}(k_1/\mathbb{Q}_1) = \langle \pi_1(x_d)|_{k_1} \rangle$, we have $\pi_1(x_i)|_{k_1} = \pi_1(x_d^{m_{k,i}})|_{k_1}$, and hence $m_{k^{(j)},i} \equiv m_{k,i} \pmod{p}$ for any $0 \leq j \leq p-1$, i.e., m_i ($1 \leq i \leq d$) is common for all $K = k^{(j)}$. On the other hand, we may assume that $\text{Gal}(k_1/k^{(j)}) = \langle \pi(x_0^{-j} x_d)|_{k_1} \rangle$, i.e., $m_0 = m_{k^{(j)},0} \equiv j^{-1} \pmod{p}$ for each $K = k^{(j)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq p-1$).

Theorem 1.2 is a partial generalization of Theorem 1.1 in the following sense.

Proposition 5.3. *If $d = 2$ under the settings above, $\text{rank } B_k = 2$ (and $\text{rank } C_k = 1$) if and only if (1) is satisfied.*

Proof. Put $l_{K,ij} = m_{K,j} \text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j)$ ($\delta_K \leq j \leq 2$) for $\mathbb{Q}_1 \neq K \subset k_1$, and put $l_{ij} = l_{K,ij}$ if $j \neq 0$ (cf. Remark 5.2). Since

$$C_k \in \begin{pmatrix} l_{12} & 0 \\ -l_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix} GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

and

$$C_K \in \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -l_{01} & -l_{02} \\ 0 & l_{K,10} + l_{12} & -l_{12} \\ 0 & -l_{21} & l_{K,20} + l_{21} \end{pmatrix} GL_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

for $K \neq k$, we have $(l_{21}, l_{12}) C_k = (0, 0)$ and $(b_{0,K}, b_{1,K}, b_{2,K}) C_K = (0, 0, 0)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} b_{0,K} \\ b_{1,K} \\ b_{2,K} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} l_{12} l_{K,20} + l_{K,20} l_{K,10} + l_{K,10} l_{21} \\ l_{K,20} l_{01} + l_{01} l_{21} + l_{21} l_{02} \\ l_{K,10} l_{02} + l_{02} l_{12} + l_{12} l_{01} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -l_{01} \\ l_{K,10} + l_{12} \\ -l_{21} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} -l_{02} \\ -l_{12} \\ l_{K,20} + l_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where \times denotes the cross product of vectors. For each $K = k^{(j)}$ with $1 \leq j \leq p-1$, $\text{rank } C_K \leq 1$ (i.e., $j \notin J$) if and only if $b_{0,K} = b_{1,K} =$

$b_{2,K} = 0$. Note that $l_{21} = l_{12} = 0$ if and only if $\text{rank } C_k = 0$ (i.e., $0 \notin J$). Since

$$(4) \quad \begin{vmatrix} l_{21} & b_{1,K} \\ l_{12} & b_{2,K} \end{vmatrix} = -l_{01}l_{12}l_{K,20} + l_{02}l_{21}l_{K,10},$$

we have $J = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ (in particular $\text{rank } C_k = 1$) if (1) is satisfied. If $0 \in J$, then $(b_{10}, b_{20}) = (l_{21}, l_{12})$. If $0 \neq j \in J$, then $(b_{0j}, b_{1j}, b_{2j}) = (b_{0,K}, b_{1,K}, b_{2,K})$. Since the 2×2 minors of B_k are either (4) or

$$\begin{vmatrix} b_{1,K} & b_{1,K'} \\ b_{2,K} & b_{2,K'} \end{vmatrix} = (-l_{01}l_{12}l_{K,20} + l_{02}l_{21}l_{K,10})(l_{01} + l_{02})(m_{K',0}m_{K,0}^{-1} - 1)$$

with some K and K' , we have (1) if and only if $\text{rank } B_k = 2$. \square

§6. Examples

Using Theorem 1.2, one can see the detail of an example by Greenberg [5] as follows.

EXAMPLE 6.1 ([5, p.283]). If $\ell_0 = p = 3$, $d = 3$, $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3) = (7, 13, 19)$, $\alpha_{\ell_1} = 3$, $\alpha_{\ell_2} = \alpha_{\ell_3} = 2$, we have

$$(\text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq 3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 5 & 8 & 5 \\ 2 + z_1 & 0 & 1 & 12 \\ 1 + z_2 & 3 & 0 & 13 \\ 0 + z_3 & 1 & 7 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{3},$$

with some $z_i \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3$, where we note that $(1+p)^p \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$. Let k/\mathbb{Q} be the cyclic cubic extension ramified only at $S = \{7, 13, 19\}$ such that $m_{k,1} = m_1 = 1$, $m_{k,2} = m_2 = 2$ (and $m_{k,3} = m_3 = 1$). Then

$$C_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{rank } C_k = 2, \quad (1, 0, 2)C_k = (0, 0, 0),$$

and $A(k) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$ by Lemma 4.2. For $j \in \{1, 2\}$, assuming $m_{k^{(j)},0} = j \equiv j^{-1} \pmod{3}$, we have

$$C_{k^{(j)}} = \begin{pmatrix} j & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & j+1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & j+1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{rank } C_{k^{(j)}} = 3,$$

and $(1, 2, 1, 0)C_{k^{(1)}} = (0, 0, 0, 0) = (1, 0, 1, 1)C_{k^{(2)}}$. Then $J = \{0, 1, 2\}$, and

$$B_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{rank } B_k = 3.$$

Hence $A(k)$ capitulates in k_1 by Theorem 1.2. Since

$$\pi(y_0)|_k = \prod_{j=1}^3 \pi(x_j)|_k^{\text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_j)} = \pi(x_3)|_k^{\sum_{j=1}^3 m_j \text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_j)} = \pi(x_3)|_k^2 \neq 1$$

by Theorem 2.2, p is inert in k/\mathbb{Q} . Therefore $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$ by [5, Theorem 1].

Moreover, we obtain infinitely many examples of Theorem 1.2 as follows.

Corollary 6.2. *If $2 \leq d \leq p$, there exist infinitely many cyclic extensions k/\mathbb{Q} of degree p such that; p is inert in k/\mathbb{Q} , $A(k) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{d-1}$, and $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$ (and $\nu(k) = 1$ if $d = 2$).*

Proof. Put $\ell_0 = p$. By Proposition 2.4, there exist infinitely many $S = \{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_d\}$ such that

$$(\text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq d} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ d & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}.$$

Let k/\mathbb{Q} be the $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -extension ramified only at S such that $m_{k,i} = m_i = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. Since

$$\pi(y_0)|_k = \prod_{j=1}^d \pi(x_j)|_k^{\text{lk}(\ell_0, \ell_j)} = \prod_{j=2}^d \pi(x_j)|_k = \pi(x_d)|_k^{d-1} \neq 1$$

by Theorem 2.2, p is inert in k/\mathbb{Q} . (If $d = 2$, then (1) is satisfied, and hence Theorem 1.1 yields that $\lambda(k^g) = \mu(k^g) = \nu(k^g) = 0$, which implies $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$, $\nu(k) = 1$ and $A(k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.) Since

$$C_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ -1 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank $d - 1$, we have $A(k) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{d-1}$ by Lemma 4.2. Moreover $0 \in J$ and $(b_{10}, \dots, b_{d0}) = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_p^d$. Suppose that $m_{k^{(j)}, 0} \equiv$

$j^{-1} \pmod{p}$ as in Remark 5.2. Then

$$C_{k^{(j)}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc} -(d-1)j & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & -j^{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 2 & -1 & 1-2j^{-1} & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \ddots & \\ d & -1 & & & 1-dj^{-1} \end{array} \right)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq p-1$. Put $J' = \{0, 2, \dots, d\}$ if $d < p$, and $J' = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ if $d = p$. One can easily see that $J' \subset J$, i.e., $\text{rank } C_{k^{(j)}} = d$ if $0 \neq j \in J'$. If $d < p$,

$$B'_k = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq d, j \in J'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ 0 & 2^{-1} & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $b_{0j} = 0$ for any $2 \leq j \leq d$. If $d = p$,

$$B'_k = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq d, j \in J'} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & | & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ 0 & 1^{-1} & | & 2^{-1} & & \\ \hline 0 & 2^{-1} & | & & \ddots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & | & & & (p-1)^{-1} \\ \hline 0 & (p-1)^{-1} & | & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$b_{01} = 1$, and $b_{0j} = 0$ for all $2 \leq j \leq p-1$. Since $\det B'_k \neq 0$, we have $\text{rank } B_k = d$, and hence $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$ by Theorem 1.2. \square

EXAMPLE 6.3. If $\ell_0 = p = 3$, $d = 2$, $(\ell_1, \ell_2) = (67, 79)$, $\alpha_{\ell_1} = 12$, $\alpha_{\ell_2} = 53$, we have

$$(\text{lk}(\ell_i, \ell_j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq 2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 57 & 1 \\ 1+z_1 & 0 & 48 \\ 2+z_2 & 65 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{3}$$

with some $z_i \in 3\mathbb{Z}_3$. Then $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$ (and $\nu(k) = 1$) for k with $m_{k,1} = 1$ as in the proof of Corollary 6.2. Since $\lambda(k^g) = \mu(k^g) = \nu(k^g) = 0$ by Theorem 1.1, we have $\lambda(k) = \mu(k) = 0$ (and $\nu(k) = 1$) also for k with $m_{k,1} = 2$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank the referee and Professor Masato Kurihara for helpful comments for the improvement of this paper. A part of this work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP26800010, JP17K05167.

References

- [1] A. Aiba, On the vanishing of Iwasawa invariants of geometric cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions, *Acta Arith.* **108** (2003), no. 2, 113–122.
- [2] J. Blondeau, P. Lebacque and C. Maire, On the cohomological dimension of some pro- p -extensions above the cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension of a number field, *Mosc. Math. J.* **13** (2013), no. 4, 601–619.
- [3] B. Ferrero and L. C. Washington, The Iwasawa invariant μ_p vanishes for abelian number fields, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **109** (1979), no. 2, 377–395.
- [4] T. Fukuda, On the vanishing of Iwasawa invariants of certain cyclic extensions of \mathbb{Q} with prime degree, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **73** (1997), no. 6, 108–110.
- [5] R. Greenberg, On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), no. 1, 263–284.
- [6] J. Hillman, D. Matei and M. Morishita, Pro- p link groups and p -homology groups, *Primes and knots*, 121–136, *Contemp. Math.* **416**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.
- [7] T. Itoh and Y. Mizusawa, On tamely ramified pro- p -extensions over \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions of \mathbb{Q} , *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **156** (2014), no. 2, 281–294.
- [8] T. Kadokami and Y. Mizusawa, Iwasawa type formula for covers of a link in a rational homology sphere, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **17** (2008), no. 10, 1199–1221.
- [9] T. Kadokami and Y. Mizusawa, On the Iwasawa invariants of a link in the 3-sphere, *Kyushu J. Math.* **67** (2013), no. 1, 215–226.
- [10] H. Koch, *Galois theory of p -extensions*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [11] J. Labute, Linking numbers and the tame Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, *Ann. Math. Qué.* **38** (2014), no. 1, 61–71.
- [12] B. Mazur, Remarks on the Alexander polynomial, unpublished paper, 1963/1964.
- [13] Y. Mizusawa, On pro- p link groups of number fields, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **372** (2019), no. 10, 7225–7254.
- [14] Y. Mizusawa and M. Ozaki, On tame pro- p Galois groups over basic \mathbb{Z}_p -extensions, *Math. Z.* **273** (2013), no. 3–4, 1161–1173.
- [15] M. Morishita, On certain analogies between knots and primes, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **550** (2002), 141–167.
- [16] M. Morishita, *Knots and Primes - An Introduction to Arithmetic Topology*, Springer, 2012.
- [17] L. Salle, Sur les pro- p -extensions à ramification restreinte au-dessus de la \mathbb{Z}_p -extension cyclotomique d'un corps de nombres, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **20** (2008), no. 2, 485–523.
- [18] H. Taya and G. Yamamoto, Notes on certain real abelian 2-extension fields with $\lambda_2 = \mu_2 = \nu_2 = 0$, *Trends in Mathematics, Information Center for Mathematical Sciences* **9** (2006), no. 1, 81–89.

- [19] J. Ueki, On the Iwasawa μ -invariants of branched \mathbf{Z}_p -covers, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **92** (2016), no. 6, 67–72.
- [20] J. Ueki, On the Iwasawa invariants for links and Kida’s formula, Int. J. Math. **28** (2017), no. 6, 1750035.
- [21] L. C. Washington, Introduction to cyclotomic fields, Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **83**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [22] G. Yamamoto, On the vanishing of Iwasawa invariants of absolutely abelian p -extensions. Acta Arith. **94** (2000), no. 4, 365–371.
- [23] G. Yamamoto, Iwasawa invariants of abelian p -extension fields, thesis, Waseda Univ., 2001.
- [24] G. Yamamoto, Linking numbers for primes and \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions of abelian p -extension fields, a talk at Muroran Number Theory Conference, Muroran Institute of Technology, March 2004.
- [25] G. Yamamoto, On linking numbers of primes and \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions (Japanese), Proceedings of the 11th Workshop on Number Theory in Hokuriku, Kanazawa University, December 2012 (2013), 8–20.

Y. Mizusawa

Department of Mathematics, Nagoya Institute of Technology, Gokiso, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8555, Japan.

E-mail address: mizusawa.yasushi@nitech.ac.jp

G. Yamamoto

School of Engineering, Tokyo Denki University, 5 Senju-asahi-cho, Adachi-ku, Tokyo 120-8551, Japan.