### Research Report

KSTS/RR-98/003 April 17, 1998

## Prime Geodesic Theorem for Arithmetic Compact Surfaces

by

## Shin-ya Koyama

Shin-ya Koyama Department of Mathematics Keio University

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science and Technology Keio University

©1998 KSTS

3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, 223-8522 Japan

# PRIME GEODESIC THEOREM FOR ARITHMETIC COMPACT SURFACES

#### SHIN-YA KOYAMA

**0.** Introduction. For a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$  with finite quotient  $\Gamma \backslash PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$ , the Selberg zeta function is defined by

$$Z_{\Gamma}(s) = \prod_{P} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - N(P)^{-s-k})$$
 (0.1)

for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$  where  $\{P\}$  runs over the set of all primitive hyperbolic conjugacy classes in  $\Gamma$  and the norm N(P) is defined by  $N(P) = \alpha^2$  where  $\alpha > 1$  is the bigger eigenvalue of P. By regarding N(P) as an analog of prime numbers and by using the Selberg zeta function instead of the Riemann zeta function, we have the same asymptotic distribution as that of the rational primes, namely

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x) = \#\{\{P\} : N(P) \le x\} \sim \text{li}x.$$
 (0.2)

This is called the Prime Geodesic Theorem, since a primitive hyperbolic class  $\{P\}$  corresponds to a prime closed geodesic p of the Riemann surface  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{H}$ , and the norm is expressed as  $N(P) = e^{\operatorname{length}(p)}$ .

For the classical prime number theorem, finding a good error term is equivalent to obtaining a zero-free region of the Riemann zeta function in the critical strip. The error term has a form  $O(x^{\rho+\epsilon})$ , where  $\rho$  is the supremum of the real part of the non-trivial zeros. Therefore the Riemann Hypothesis  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}$  gives the ultimate estimate  $O(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$  of the error term.

This situation is quite different in the geometric version (0.2). Even when one assumes the Riemann Hypothesis for the Selberg zeta function, which is equivalent to the fact that the first Laplace eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  is larger than 1/4, the resulting error

term is  $O(x^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon})$ , which is far from the conjectured  $O(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$ . The reason for this phenomenon is, as is explained in [I, §1], abundance of zeros of the zeta functions. Obtaining the error term  $O(x^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon})$  is equivalent to proving  $\lambda_1 > 3/16$ , which is weaker than the Riemann Hypothesis and was proved when  $\Gamma$  is congruence type. Therefore the analysis of the Selberg zeta function is not sufficient to improve the error term. We need more elaborated machinery and deeper ideas for this problem.

Iwaniec[I] obtained the error term  $O(x^{\frac{38}{48}+\epsilon})$  for  $\Gamma=PSL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ , which was the first improvement. He proved some estimates towards the Lindelöf hypothesis for automorphic L-functions for cusp forms and used the Kuznetsov formula which provides us with a relation between a sum over eigenvalues of the Laplacian and a certain sum of Kloosterman sums. Luo-Sarnak[LS] broke his record by completing the proof of the mean-Lindelöf hypothesis in the theory of arithmetic quantum chaos. They obtained  $O(x^{\frac{7}{10}+\epsilon})$  and this is the current best error term for prime geodesic theorem. Although they proved for  $\Gamma=PSL(2,\mathbf{Z})$ , the results are valid for congruence subgroups by appealing to the newer results on non-existence of certain small eigenvalues proved by Luo-Rudnick-Sarnak [LRS]. But a generalization to any cocompact  $\Gamma$  seemed difficult, since their method heavily depended on the analysis of Eisenstein series and Poincare series. The best known error term of (0.2) for any compact cases was no better than  $O(x^{\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon})$ , and improving it has been an open problem ([LS, §1]).

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem.** Let  $\Gamma_D$  be the cocompact discrete subgroup of  $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$  coming from a quaternion algebra  $D = \left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbf{Q}}\right)$  with (a,b) = 1 and the prime 2 being unramified. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\pi_{\Gamma_D}(x) = \mathrm{li}(x) + O(x^{\frac{7}{10} + \epsilon}).$$

Note that any arithmetic cocompact subgroup of  $PSL(2, \mathbf{R})$  is commensurable with the unit group of a quaternion algebra over a number field ([T]). The above theorem suggests that the estimate would be true for any arithmetic cocompact  $\Gamma$ .

The proof uses the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. The key lemma (Lemma 1) reveals that its image is equal to the set of new forms for a certain level, which is equal to the product of all ramified primes. It implies that the spectra for such arithmetic compact surfaces are completely expressed via those for a certain congruence surface. It gives a new prospect for the study of such compact surfaces. For instance we see arithmetic compact surfaces are isospectral if they have the same sets of ramified primes.

Corollary. The first Laplace eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  for  $\Gamma_D \backslash H$  satisfies

$$\lambda_1 \ge \frac{21}{100}.$$

The previously best record was  $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{3}{16}$  [H, p.186]. (cf. [SX, Corollary 2])

Acknowledgement. This work was done in the summer 1997, while the author stayed at Princeton University. The author would like to thank Professor Peter Sarnak for encouragement and suggestions. The author also would like to thank Professor Wenzhi Luo for his closely reading the manuscript and giving several useful suggestions.

1. Jacquet-Langrands correspondence. Let  $D = \left(\frac{a,b}{\mathbf{Q}}\right)$  be the quaternion algebra over  $\mathbf{Q}$  linearly generated by  $1, \omega, \Omega, \omega\Omega$ , where  $\omega^2 = a$ ,  $\Omega^2 = b$ ,  $\omega\Omega + \Omega\omega = 0$ , a,b are square-free positive integers. Let S be the set of primes p such that  $D_p = D \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{Q}_p$  is a division algebra. Elements of S are called ramified. Throughout this paper we assume (a,b)=1 and  $2 \notin S$  Let R be a maximal order of D. For  $m \geq 1$ , put  $R(m) = \{x \in R \mid N(x) = m\}$ . Denote by  $\theta$  the map  $D \ni x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \eta \\ b\bar{\eta} & \bar{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a}))$ , where  $x = x_0 + x_1\omega + x_2\Omega + x_3\omega\Omega = \xi + \eta\Omega$ . Let  $\theta(R(1)) = \Gamma_D \subset SL(2,\mathbf{R})$ . It is well-known that the surface  $\Gamma_D \backslash H$  is compact [GGP, p.115].

**Lemma 1.** The set of Laplace eigenvalues for  $\Gamma_D \backslash H$  is equal to the set of those of new forms for  $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash H$ , where N is an integer depending only on D.

Proof. According to Hejhal [H], who explicitly writes the image of the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, the Laplace eigenvalue of a Maass cusp form for  $\Gamma_D$  is equal to that of its image via the correspondence. All we need prove is that the image is a new form for a congruence subgroup which depends only on D. We will prove it is  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with  $N = \prod_{n \in S} p$ .

For a cusp form  $\pi' = \otimes \pi'_p$  for  $\Gamma_D$ , we first prove the conductor  $c(\pi)$  of its image  $\pi = \otimes \pi_p$  by the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence is equal to N.

If  $p \notin S$ ,  $\pi'_p$  is equivalent to  $\pi_p$  by [G, Theorem 10.5]. So  $\pi_p$  is class 1 and its conductor is 1 by [G, Remark 4.25].

If  $p \in S$ ,  $\pi_p$  is given by the irreducible component of the Weil representation [G, Theorem 10.5]. In case  $p \in S$ ,  $\pi_p$  is defined via the quaternion algebra  $D_p$ . By [G, Theorem 7.6],  $\pi_p$  is either supercuspidal or special.

We claim it is special. Assume  $\pi_p$  is supercuspidal. Then by [G, (4.20)] the conductor is  $c(\pi_p) = p^e$  for some  $e \geq 2$ . So the global conductor  $c(\pi)$  contains an odd square. On the other hand we have  $c(\pi)|4ab$  by [H, Theorem 5.2]. It shows  $c(\pi)$  does not contain any odd squares, which gives a contradiction.

Since  $\pi_p$  is special for  $p \in S$ , we have by [G, (4.20)] that the conductor  $c(\pi_p)$  is either p or the square of the conductor of a certain character  $\mu$ . From [G, Remark 4.25] the latter case happens only when  $\mu$  is nontrivial on  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , which means the conductor again contains an odd square and leads to the contradiction. Hence  $c(\pi_p) = p \text{ for all } p \in S.$ 

Considering the non-holomorphic version of [G, Proposition 5.21], we deduce  $\pi$ is a new form of  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . Taking into account [G, Theorem 5.19], the image agrees to the set of all new forms.  $\square$ 

Remark. According to [V, p.58],  $p \in S$  if and only if the Hilbert symbol  $(a,b)_p =$ -1. We have the following properties:

(1) If 
$$p = \infty$$
,  $(a,b)_p = \begin{cases} 1 & (a > 0 \text{ or } b > 0) \\ -1 & (a,b < 0) \end{cases}$   
(2) If  $p \neq 2$ ,  $(a,b)_p = 1$  if  $p \not| a$  and  $p \not| b$ .

(2) If 
$$p \neq 2$$
,  $(a,b)_p = 1$  if  $p \nmid a$  and  $p \nmid b$ .

Since we assume a > 0, we have  $\infty \notin S$  by (1). Any element in S is a divisor of ab by (2). Hence the level N is a divisor of ab.

2. New Forms. In this section we compute the contribution from non-exceptional eigenvalues  $\lambda = \frac{1}{4} + r^2$  with  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Put  $\lambda_j = \frac{1}{4} + r_j^2$  (j = M + 1, M + 2, ...) be non-exceptional Laplace eigenvalues on  $L^2(\Gamma_0(N)\backslash H)$ , then

$$\sum_{j: \text{ new form for } \Gamma_0(N)} X^{ir_j} \exp(-r_j/T) \ll T^{\frac{5}{4} + \epsilon} X^{\frac{1}{8}}. \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* Let l be the number of prime divisors of N. We prove by induction on l. When l = 1, letting N = p be a prime, the space of old forms for  $\Gamma_0(p)$  is spanned by

$$S_1 = \{ f(z), f(pz) \mid f \text{ is a cusp form for } \Gamma(1) \}.$$

The set of eigenvalues coming from old forms for  $\Gamma_0(p)$  is the same as that for  $\Gamma(1)$ . So we have

$$\sum_{j: \text{ old form for } \Gamma_0(N)} X^{ir_j} \exp(-r_j/T) \ll \sum_{j: \text{ for } \Gamma(1)} X^{ir_j^{(1)}} \exp(-r_j^{(1)}/T),$$

where  $\lambda_j^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} + r_j^{(1)^2}$  is an eigenvalue for  $\Gamma(1)$ . The last sum is estimated by  $T^{\frac{5}{4}+\epsilon}X^{\frac{1}{8}}$  by [LS]. On the other hand, the sum over all cusp forms for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  should satisfy the same bound, since the Kuznetsov formula [K] is generalized to congruence subgroups by Proskurin [P] and Deshouillers-Iwaniec [DI]. For applying the Kuznetsov formula, we have to take orthogonal system consisting of cusp forms. As the Laplacian is self-adjoint, all eigenspaces are orthogonal. For functions which belong to the same eigenspace, we can modify them by Schmidt's orthogonalization to get orthogonal basis. By applying the resulting basis to the Kuznetsov formula, we have the desired result for l=1.

Next assume we have proved for all cases when the number of prime divisors of the level is smaller than l. It suffices to estimate the sum over old forms for  $\Gamma_0(N)$ .

The space of old forms for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is spanned by the set

$$S_N = \{ f(mz) \mid f \text{ is a new form of } \Gamma_0(d), \ m \mid (N/d), \ d \mid N, \ d \neq N \}.$$

Let  $\lambda_j^{(d)} = \frac{1}{4} + r_j^{(d)^2}$  be non-exceptional eigenvalues for  $\Gamma_0(d)$ . The set of eigenvalues concerning  $S_N$  agrees with  $\{\lambda_j^{(d)} \mid m | \frac{N}{d}, \ d | N, \ d \neq N\}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j: \text{ old form for } \Gamma_0(N)} X^{ir_j} \exp(-r_j/T) \\ \ll \sum_{d \mid N} \sum_{m \mid \frac{N}{d} \ j: \text{ new form for } \Gamma_0(d)} \left( X^{ir_j^{(d)}} \exp(-r_j^{(d)}/T) \right) \\ d \neq N \\ \ll T^{\frac{5}{4} + \epsilon} X^{\frac{1}{8}}, \end{split}$$

by the assumption.  $\square$ 

3. **Proofs.** In this section we complete the proof of Theorem. For hyperbolic conjugacy class P of  $\Gamma = \Gamma_D$ , we put  $\Lambda(P) = \log N(P_0)$  if P is a power of the prmitive hyperbolic class  $P_0$ . Put  $\Psi_{\Gamma}(x) = \sum_{N(P) \leq X} \Lambda(P)$ . We have the following explicit formula (cf. [I, Lemma 1]): for  $1 \leq T \leq \sqrt{X}(\log X)^{-2}$ ,

$$\Psi_{\Gamma}(X) = X + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{X^{s_j}}{s_j} + X^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{j>M, |r_j| \le T} \frac{X^{ir_j}}{s_j} + O\left(\frac{X}{T} \log^2 X\right)$$
(3.1)

where  $s_j$  (j=1,2,3,...,M) are the real zeros of  $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$  with  $0<\operatorname{Re}(s_j)<1$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(s_j)\neq\frac{1}{2}$ , and  $s_j$  (j=M+1,M+2,...) are those with  $\operatorname{Re}(s_j)=\frac{1}{2}$  and  $r_j=\operatorname{Im}(s_j)$ . Lemma 1 implies that for all j the contribution of a zero  $s_j$  is equal to that of a zero for some fixed congruence subgroup. By [LRS, Thoerem 1.1], for any congruence subgroup, small eigenvalues  $\lambda=s(1-s)$  with  $s>\frac{7}{10}$  do not exist. Therefore the contribution from real zeros satisfies  $O(X^{\frac{7}{10}+\epsilon})$ . The sum over non-exceptional zeros can be estimated by Lemma 2. By following the method of [I] we have Theorem in  $\S 0$ .  $\square$ 

### REFERENCES

[DI] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec, Kloosterman sums and Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, Invent. Math. 70 (1982/83), 219-288.

- [G] S. Gelbart, Automorphic forms on adele groups, Ann. Math Studies 83 (1975).
- [GGP] I.M. Gel'fand, M.I. Graev and I.I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, Representation theory and automorphic functions, W.V. Saunders Company, Philadelphia-London-Tronto; translated by K.A. Hirsch, 1969.
- [H] D. Hejhal, A classical approach to a well-known spectral correspondence on quaternion groups, Lecture Notes in Math 1135 (1985), Springer, 127-196.
- [I] H. Iwaniec, Prime geodesic theorem, J. Reine Angew. Math. 349 (1984), 136-159.
- [K] N.V. Kuznetsov, Petersson's conjecture for cusp forms of weight zero and Linnik's conjecture. Sums of Kloosterman sums, Math. USSR Sb. 39 (1981), 299-342.
- [LRS] W. Luo, Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, On Selberg's eigenvalue conjecture, Geom. Funct. Anal. 5 (1995), 387-401.
- [LS] W. Luo and P. Sarnak, Quantum ergodicity of eigenfunctions on  $PSL_2(\mathbf{Z})\backslash H^2$ , I.H.E.S 81 (1995), 207-237.
- [P] N.V. Proskurin, The summation formulas for general Kloosterman sums, J. Soviet Math. 18 (1982), 925-950.
- [SX] P. Sarnak and X. Xue, Bounds for multiplicities of automorphic representations, Duke Math. J. 64 (1991), 207-227.
- [T] J. Tits, Classification of algebraic semisimple groups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 8 (1965), 33-62.
- [V] M.F. Vignéras, Arithmétique des algèbres de quaternions, Lecture Notes in Math 800
  (1980), Springer.