

Research Report

KSTS/RR-02/006

Jul. 17, 2002

**Arithmetic forms of Selberg zeta functions
with applications to prime geodesic theorem**

by

**Tsuneo Arakawa, Shin-ya Koyama,
Maki Nakasuji**

<p>Tsuneo Arakawa Rikkyo University Shin-ya Koyama Keio University Maki Nakasuji Keio University</p>
--

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science and Technology
Keio University

©2002 KSTS

3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, 223-8522 Japan

Arithmetic forms of Selberg zeta functions with applications to prime geodesic theorem

Tsuneo Arakawa (Rikkyo University)
 Shin-ya Koyama (Keio University)
 Maki Nakasuji (Keio University)

Running title. Selberg zeta functions and applications

Abstract. We obtain an arithmetic expression of the Selberg zeta function for cocompact subgroup defined via an indefinite division quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} . The proof makes use of the theorems due to Eichler [E]. As the application for that expression to the prime geodesic theorem, we give the uniformity of the distribution which is called the Brun-Titchmarsh type.

Key words. Indefinite division quaternion algebra; Selberg zeta function; explicit form; Brun-Titchmarsh type prime geodesic theorem.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11R52, Secondary 11M72, 58E10

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ containing -1_2 with finite covolume $v(\Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H})$, \mathfrak{H} denoting the upper half plane. The Selberg zeta function attached to Γ is defined by

$$Z_\Gamma(s) := \prod_{\{P\}_\Gamma} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - N(P)^{-s-m}), \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1)$$

where $\{P\}_\Gamma$ runs through all primitive hyperbolic conjugacy classes of Γ with $\operatorname{tr}(P) > 2$, and $N(P) := |\rho|^2$ with ρ the eigenvalue of $P \in \Gamma$ such that $|\rho| > 1$. When $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, Sarnak[S] obtains an arithmetic form of $Z_\Gamma(s)$:

$$(1.1) \quad Z_\Gamma(s) = \prod_{D>0} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_D^{-2(s+n)})^{h(D)},$$

where D runs through the discriminants of real quadratic fields with ε_D the fundamental unit, and $h(D)$ is the class number (see also [H2, p.518]). Such an arithmetic expression is proved for some congruence subgroups as well [S], but is not known for arithmetic cocompact groups.

The chief concern of this paper is to obtain such an arithmetic expression of the Selberg zeta function

for cocompact Γ defined via an indefinite division quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} .

Let $B = \left(\frac{a, b}{\mathbb{Q}}\right)$ be an indefinite division quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} with a and b positive integers which are relatively prime and squarefree. We write a typical element of B in the form

$$q = q_0 + q_1\alpha + q_2\beta + q_3\alpha\beta,$$

where $\alpha^2 = a$, $\beta^2 = b$, $\alpha\beta = -\beta\alpha$, and $q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3$). We denote by $q \mapsto \bar{q}$ the canonical involution of B and put $n(q) = q\bar{q}$, $\operatorname{tr}(q) = q + \bar{q}$. We choose and fix a maximal order \mathcal{O} of B . Let B^1 (resp. \mathcal{O}^1) be the group consisting of all elements q of B (resp. \mathcal{O}) with $n(q) = 1$. Since the \mathbb{R} algebra $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R}$ is isomorphic to $M_2(\mathbb{R})$, B^1 is injectively embedded into $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ via this isomorphism. The unit group \mathcal{O}^1 can be identified with a cocompact discrete subgroup $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$ of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ which is the image of the following injection:

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}^1 &\hookrightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \\ q &\mapsto \begin{pmatrix} q_0 + q_1\sqrt{a} & q_2\sqrt{b} + q_3\sqrt{a}\sqrt{b} \\ q_2\sqrt{b} - q_3\sqrt{a}\sqrt{b} & q_0 - q_1\sqrt{a} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We write $Z_{\mathcal{O}^1}(s) := Z_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}}}(s)$ with this identification. Since B is indefinite over \mathbb{Q} , there is a unique maximal order \mathcal{O} of B up to B^\times -conjugation. Therefore, $Z_{\mathcal{O}^1}(s)$ depends only on B and not on the choice of \mathcal{O} . We simply write $Z_B(s)$ for the Selberg zeta function $Z_{\mathcal{O}^1}(s)$.

For any basis $\{u_i\}$ of \mathcal{O} over \mathbb{Z} , set

$$d(B) = |\det(\operatorname{tr}(u_i u_j))|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This number is independent of the choice of \mathcal{O} and $\{u_i\}$, and denotes the product of prime integers p which ramify at B/\mathbb{Q} .

Put

$$\mathcal{D} := \{D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}, \text{ not a square}\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{o} be an order of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $h(\mathfrak{o}) = h(D)$ be the number of classes of proper \mathfrak{o} -ideals in the narrow sense. We moreover set

$$\lambda(K) = \prod_{p|d(B)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{K}{p}\right)\right),$$

where $\left(\frac{K}{p}\right)$ denotes the Artin symbol for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.

Let $\varepsilon_D = \frac{\alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}}{2}$ with (α, β) being the minimal solution of the Pell equation: $x^2 - Dy^2 = 4$. The main theorem of this paper is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let B be a division indefinite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Then*

$$Z_B(s) = \prod_{D>0}^* \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_D^{-2(s+n)})^{h(D)\lambda(D)},$$

and

$$\frac{Z'_B(s)}{Z_B(s)} = \sum_{D>0}^* \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} h(D)\lambda(D) \log \varepsilon_D^2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_D^{-2ms}}{1 - \varepsilon_D^{-2m}},$$

where $\lambda(D) = \lambda(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}))$ and the symbol $*$ indicates that D runs through all elements in \mathcal{D} satisfying the following conditions.

- (Pr-i) $\left(\frac{K}{p}\right) \neq 1$ for any prime integers $p \mid d(B)$.
- (Pr-ii) $(f(D), d(B)) = 1$, where the positive integer $f(D)$ is given by $D = f(D)^2 D_K$, D_K being the discriminant of K .

Remark 1.2. *Though for the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have used the theory of optimal embeddings due to Eichler, the theorem would also be deduced from the result of [BJ] and [S1]([S2]).*

Theorem 1.1 has an application for improving the prime geodesic theorem:

$$(1.3) \quad \pi_{\Gamma}(x) \sim \text{li}(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where $\pi_{\Gamma}(x)$ is the number of primitive hyperbolic conjugacy classes P of Γ whose norm $N(P)$ satisfies that $N(P) \leq x$, and the relation " \sim " means that the quotient of both sides goes to 1 as $x \rightarrow \infty$. The formula (1.3) is an average estimate in the sense that it just counts the number of elements in the whole interval $(1, x]$. When we are interested in more refined version, we need to estimate for smaller interval such as $(x, x+y]$ ($0 < y < x$) for sufficiently large x . If we were able to prove

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x+y) - \pi_{\Gamma}(x) \sim \text{li}(x+y) - \text{li}(x) \sim \frac{y}{\log x},$$

then it would mean the uniformity of the distribution. We call such an estimate the Brun-Titchmarsh type prime geodesic theorem. When $\Gamma = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, Iwaniec [I, Lemma 4] proved that

$$\pi_{\Gamma}(x+y) - \pi_{\Gamma}(x) \ll y$$

for $x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log x)^2 < y < x$. He uses the arithmetic form (1.1) of $Z_{\Gamma}(s)$, and the method is applicable to our case using Theorem 1.1. We prove:

Theorem 1.3. *Let B be a division indefinite quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} . Put $\pi_B(x) = \pi_{\mathcal{O}^1}(x)$. Then for $x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log x)^2 < y < x$, we have*

$$(1.4) \quad \pi_B(x+y) - \pi_B(x) \ll y.$$

The implied constant depends only on B .

Remark 1.4. (a) *Theorem 1.3 gives the best possible range of y in view of the multiplicities of the length spectrum in the following sense: It is known that $N(P)$ is a function of $|\text{tr}(P)|$ and grows like $|\text{tr}(P)|^2$. When $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2 = \{n^2 \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, there exist at least \sqrt{x} different P 's which satisfy $|\text{tr}(P)|^2 = x$. It means $\pi_{\Gamma}(x)$ jumps by as much as \sqrt{x} at that moment. Therefore (1.4) is not true for $y < \sqrt{x}$. Hence the exponent $1/2$ in the lower bound of y in Theorem 1.3 is the best possible.*

- (b) *Theorem 1.3 gives the best possible exponents of x and y according to the conjectural form (??).*
- (c) *The current best error term of (1.3) for arithmetic cocompact groups is obtained by Koyama[K]:*

$$(1.5) \quad \pi_B(x) = \text{li}(x) + O(x^{\frac{7}{10}+\epsilon}).$$

By using this error term one easily computes that Theorem 1.3 is valid for $x^{\frac{7}{10}+\epsilon} < y < x$. Hence Theorem 1.3 is nontrivial for $x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log x)^2 < y \leq x^{\frac{7}{10}}$.

- (d) *This estimate (1.5) together with Theorem 1.1 implies the following estimates for class numbers:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < \varepsilon_D \leq x}^* h(D)\lambda(D) &= \text{li}(x^2) + O(x^{\frac{7}{5}+\epsilon}) \\ \sum_{0 < \varepsilon_D \leq x}^* h(D)\lambda(D) \log \varepsilon_D &= \frac{x^2}{2} + O(x^{\frac{7}{5}+\epsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

which should be compared with [S, Theorem 4.11] and [H2, p.519, Proposition 2.9].

Acknowledgement: The second and the third authors express their gratitude to Professor Zeev Rudnick for his valuable suggestions such as Remarks 1.4(a) and 3.6.

2. EXPLICIT FORM

For obtaining the explicit form of $Z_B(s)$, we introduce the following two theorems due to Eichler.

Theorem 2.1 (Eichler [E]). *Let K be a quadratic field over \mathbb{Q} and \mathfrak{o}_K the maximal order of K . Each order \mathfrak{o} of K has an expression: $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z} + f\mathfrak{o}_K$ for some positive integer $f = f(\mathfrak{o})$. The discriminant of \mathfrak{o} is given by $D(\mathfrak{o}) := f^2 D_K$, D_K being the discriminant of K . Then, (i) There exists a \mathbb{Q} -isomorphism*

φ of K into B , if and only if $\left(\frac{K}{p}\right) \neq 1$ for all prime integers $p \mid d(B)$. (ii) Let K satisfy the condition of (i) and \mathfrak{o} an order of K . Then there exists a \mathbb{Q} -isomorphism φ of K into B such that $\varphi(\mathfrak{o}) = \varphi(K) \cap \mathcal{O}$, if and only if $(f(\mathfrak{o}), d(B)) = 1$.

Let K and \mathfrak{o} be the same as in the theorem. Denote by $I(K, \mathfrak{o})$ the set of all \mathbb{Q} -isomorphisms φ of K into B such that $\varphi(\mathfrak{o}) = \varphi(K) \cap \mathcal{O}$. We say that, for $\varphi, \varphi' \in I(K, \mathfrak{o})$, φ' is \mathcal{O}^1 -equivalent to φ , if there exists some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^1$ such that $\varphi'(z) = \varepsilon\varphi(z)\varepsilon^{-1}$ for any $z \in K$. Denote by $I(K, \mathfrak{o})/\mathcal{O}^1$ the set of all the \mathcal{O}^1 -equivalence classes in $I(K, \mathfrak{o})$.

We denote the cardinality of a finite set S by $\sharp(S)$.

Theorem 2.2 (Eichler [E]). *We have*

$$\sharp(I(K, \mathfrak{o})/\mathcal{O}^1) = h(\mathfrak{o})\lambda(K).$$

For a proof we refer to Shimizu [Sh] (see also [A]).

Now we need the relation between the quadratic field over \mathbb{Q} and the quaternion algebra.

Set

$$\tilde{L} := \{x \in \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O} \mid \text{tr}(x) = 0\}.$$

Any non zero element $x \in \tilde{L}$ is called primitive, if it cannot be expressed as $x = my$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \neq \pm 1$, $y \in \tilde{L}$. Denote by \tilde{L}_{pr} the subset of \tilde{L} consisting of primitive elements of \tilde{L} . For each positive discriminant D let

$$C^{pr}(D) := \{\xi \in \tilde{L}_{pr} \mid n(\xi) = -D\}.$$

In view of Theorem 2.1 we see the following relation;

Lemma 2.3. *We have $C^{pr}(D) \neq \emptyset$, if and only if D satisfies the conditions (Pr-i) and (Pr-ii).*

Proof. For each $x \in C^{pr}(D)$ we form an isomorphism $\varphi_x : K \rightarrow B$ by $\varphi_x(\sqrt{D}) = x$.

Let \mathfrak{o} be an order of K with discriminant D . We put $x = p + 2\xi$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{O}$. Because $\text{tr}(x) = 0$, we have

$$n(x) + p^2 = 4n(\xi).$$

From $n(x) = -D$ and $n(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $p^2 \equiv D \pmod{4}$.

When $D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have $1 + p \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$1 + x = 1 + p + 2\xi \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O} \subset 2\mathcal{O}.$$

In the case of $D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, we have $p \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$x = p + 2\xi \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O} \subset 2\mathcal{O}.$$

By the isomorphism φ_x , we have

$$\varphi_x(\mathfrak{o}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1+x}{2}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbb{Z} + \frac{x}{2}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\varphi_x(\mathfrak{o}) \subset \mathcal{O}$. From the primitivity of x , there doesn't exist any $n \geq 2$ satisfying $\varphi_x(\mathfrak{o}) \subset n\mathcal{O}$. Applying Theorem 2.1 leads that (Pr-i) and (Pr-ii).

Conversely, we assume (Pr-i) and (Pr-ii). Let \mathfrak{o} be the order of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ with discriminant D . From Theorem 2.1, there exists \mathbb{Q} -isomorphism $\varphi : K \rightarrow B$ with $\varphi(\mathfrak{o}) = \varphi(K) \cap \mathcal{O}$. We form $x := \varphi(\sqrt{D})$. Since $\sqrt{D} \in \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathfrak{o}$, we have $x \in \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O}$. Since \mathfrak{o}_K is the maximal order of K , $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z} + f(\mathfrak{o})\mathfrak{o}_K$ is as follows;

(2.1)

$$\mathfrak{o} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} + \frac{f(\mathfrak{o}) + \sqrt{D}}{2}\mathbb{Z}, & D_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \mathbb{Z} + \frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}\mathbb{Z}, & D_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then since there doesn't exist $n \geq 2$ such that $\frac{\sqrt{D}}{n} \in \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathfrak{o}$, x is primitive. It follows that $x \in C^{pr}(D)$. ■

Set

$$C^{pr} := \bigcup_{D>0}^* C^{pr}(D),$$

where D runs over all positive discriminants satisfying the conditions (Pr-i) and (Pr-ii).

Denote by $Prm^+(\mathcal{O}^1)$ the set of primitive elements γ of \mathcal{O}^1 with $\text{tr}(\gamma) > 2$. For $\varepsilon \in Prm^+(\mathcal{O}^1)$, we put $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) := \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\varepsilon$. Since B is a division quaternion algebra, $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ is a quadratic extension over \mathbb{Q} and is isomorphic to $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d^2 - 4})$ over \mathbb{Q} with $d = \text{tr}(\varepsilon)$. We denote this isomorphism by $\varphi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ given by $\varphi((d + \sqrt{d^2 - 4})/2) = \varepsilon$. We put $\mathfrak{o} := \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{O}$ which is an order of $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$. Then $\mathfrak{o} := \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{o})$ is an order of K . One can write $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z} + f(\mathfrak{o})\mathfrak{o}_K$ with $f(\mathfrak{o}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, \mathfrak{o}_K being the maximal order of K . If we set $D = f(\mathfrak{o})^2 D_K$, then D is the discriminant of \mathfrak{o} . Since $\varphi(\mathfrak{o}) = \mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{O}$, Theorem 2.1 implies $(f(\mathfrak{o}), d(B)) = 1$. We see that $C^{pr}(D) \neq \emptyset$ for D determined by the order of $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 2.4. *It holds that*

$$\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon_D,$$

where D is the discriminant of \mathfrak{o} , and $\varepsilon_D = \frac{\alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}}{2}$ with (α, β) ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$) being the minimal solution of the Pell equation $x^2 - Dy^2 = 4$.

Proof. We have

$$\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon) = \frac{d + \sqrt{d^2 - 4}}{2}.$$

We put $\alpha := d$ and $\beta^2 D := d^2 - 4$, where $D = f(\mathfrak{o})^2 D_K$. Then (α, β) is the minimal. We will prove it. By the reduction to absurdity, we assume (α_0, β_0) is the minimal solution, which is not (α, β) . Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}(\neq 1)$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}}{2} = \left(\frac{\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{D}}{2} \right)^n.$$

By \mathbb{Q} -isomorphism φ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &= \varphi \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}}{2} \right) = \varphi \left(\left(\frac{\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{D}}{2} \right)^n \right) \\ &= \varphi \left(\frac{\alpha_0 + \beta_0\sqrt{D}}{2} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts ε is primitive. ■

Now we have $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d^2 - 4}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. By using the correspondence in Lemma 2.4, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let the notation be the same as in Lemma 2.4. The map $\text{Prm}^+(\mathcal{O}^1) \in \varepsilon \mapsto \xi \in C^{pr}$, where ξ is given by $\xi = \frac{2\varepsilon - \alpha}{\beta}$, is a bijection.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in \text{Prm}^+(\mathcal{O}^1)$ be given. We put α, β and D be the same as in the proof of Lemma 2.4. Set $\xi = \frac{2\varepsilon - \alpha}{\beta}$, then we have $\text{tr}(\xi) = 0$. From $n(\varepsilon) = n \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta\xi}{2} \right) = 1$, ξ satisfies

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 n(\xi) = 4.$$

Since (α, β) is the solution of the Pell equation $x^2 - Dy^2 = 4$, we have $n(\xi) = -D$. By using

$$\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon_D = \frac{\alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}}{2}$$

as Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\varphi^{-1}(\xi) = \sqrt{D}.$$

The definition of D gives $\sqrt{D} = f(\mathfrak{o})\sqrt{D_K}$. Because of $\sqrt{D_K} \in \mathfrak{o}_K$ and $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Z} + f(\mathfrak{o})\mathfrak{o}_K$, we have $\sqrt{D} \in \mathfrak{o}$.

From (2.1) we get $\sqrt{D} \in \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathfrak{o}$. Since ε is a primitive element, (α, β) is the minimal solution. It shows that there doesn't exist $n \geq 2$ such that $\frac{\sqrt{D}}{n} \in \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathfrak{o}$. From $\varphi(\mathfrak{o}) = \mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{O}$ and $\varphi(\sqrt{D}) = \xi$, we have $\xi \in \mathbb{Z} + 2(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{O}) \subset \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O}$ and also we have that ξ is a primitive element in \tilde{L} . Therefore $\xi \in C^{pr}(D)$.

Conversely, we choose and fix an element ξ in C^{pr} and put $D := -n(\xi)$. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be the

minimal solution of the Pell equation $x^2 - Dy^2 = 4$, and set $\varepsilon := \frac{\alpha + \beta\xi}{2}$. Then we have

$$n(\varepsilon) = \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2 n(\xi)}{4} = 1,$$

and by $\xi \in \mathbb{Z} + 2\mathcal{O}$, we also have $\alpha + \beta\xi \in 2\mathcal{O}$. Thus we have $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^1$. If ε is written in the form $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1^m$ with an integer $m > 0$ and $\varepsilon_1 \in \mathcal{O}^1$, then ε_1 commutes with any element of $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon)$ and hence ε_1 lies in the order $\mathfrak{o} = \mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{O}$. Therefore, ε_1 is a unit of the order \mathfrak{o} with norm one. Since we see from the definition of ε that ε is a fundamental unit of \mathfrak{o} with norm one, we have $m = 1$. This means ε is a primitive hyperbolic element in \mathcal{O}^1 , which completes the proof. ■

We denote by C^{pr}/\mathcal{O}^1 (resp. $C^{pr}(D)/\mathcal{O}^1$) the set of \mathcal{O}^1 -conjugacy classes of C^{pr} (resp. $C^{pr}(D)$).

Lemma 2.6. *The correspondence in Lemma 2.4 induces a bijection of $\text{Prm}^+(\mathcal{O}^1)/\mathcal{O}^1$ onto C^{pr}/\mathcal{O}^1 .*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \text{Prm}^+(\mathcal{O}^1)$. When ε is \mathcal{O}^1 -conjugate to ε' , there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}^1$ such that $\varepsilon' = \gamma\varepsilon\gamma^{-1}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon') \cap \mathcal{O} = \gamma(\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{O})\gamma^{-1}$ and both of $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$ are primitive, the corresponding minimal solutions of the Pell equations are the same. Therefore we may write

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha + \beta\xi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon' = \frac{\alpha + \beta\xi'}{2}$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus $\xi' = \gamma\xi\gamma^{-1}$. ■

Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a discriminant satisfying the conditions (Pr-i) and (Pr-ii). From Lemma 2.3, we see easily that there exists a bijection from $C^{pr}(D)$ to $I(K, \mathfrak{o})$, where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and \mathfrak{o} is the order of K with discriminant D . This induces the following lemma.

Lemma 2.7. *It holds a bijection from $C^{pr}(D)/\mathcal{O}^1$ to $I(K, \mathfrak{o})/\mathcal{O}^1$.*

Proof. For $x, x' \in C^{pr}(D)$, take φ_x and $\varphi_{x'} \in I(K, \mathfrak{o})$ such that $\varphi_x(\sqrt{D}) = x$ and $\varphi_{x'}(\sqrt{D}) = x'$. Then for $z = p + q\sqrt{D} \in K$, where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi_x(z) = p + qx \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{x'}(z) = p + qx'.$$

When x' is \mathcal{O}^1 -equivalent to x , there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}^1$ such that $x' = \gamma x \gamma^{-1}$. Then we have

$$\gamma\varphi_x(z)\gamma^{-1} = \gamma(p + qx)\gamma^{-1} = p + qx' = \varphi_{x'}(z).$$

Conversely, assume φ_x is \mathcal{O}^1 -equivalent to $\varphi_{x'}$. Then there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}^1$ such that $\gamma\varphi_x(z)\gamma^{-1} = \varphi_{x'}(z)$. Taking (2.2) into account, since $\gamma\varphi_x(z)\gamma^{-1} = p + q\gamma x \gamma^{-1}$, we get $\gamma x \gamma^{-1} = x'$. ■

In view of the theorem of Eichler (Theorem 2.2), by applying Lemma 2.7 we have

Proposition 2.8. *Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a discriminant satisfying the conditions (Pr-i), (Pr-ii). Then*

$$\#(C^{Pr}(D)/\mathcal{O}^1) = h(D)\lambda(D).$$

The eigenvalues λ of the element of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{O}} \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ associated to $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}^1$ by injection (1.2) are given by

$$\lambda = \frac{d \pm \sqrt{d^2 - 4}}{2},$$

where $d = \text{tr}(\varepsilon)$. Now we write $N_B(\varepsilon)$ for the norm of the element associated to ε . From the correspondence in Lemma 2.5, we have

$$N_B(\varepsilon) = (\varphi^{-1}(\varepsilon))^2.$$

The Selberg zeta function attached to \mathcal{O}^1 is denoted by

$$Z_B(s) = \prod_{\varepsilon \in \text{Prm}^+(\mathcal{O}^1)/\mathcal{O}^1} \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - N_B(\varepsilon)^{-s-m}).$$

From Lemmas 2.4, 2.6 and Proposition 2.8, we have Theorem 1.1.

3. BRUN-TICHMARSH TYPE PRIME GEODESIC THEOREM

We introduce the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.1 (Landau [L], p.196). *Let D be a positive discriminant. Then we have*

$$h(D) = \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\log \varepsilon_D} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{n},$$

where $\chi_D(n) = \left(\frac{D}{n}\right)$ is a Kronecker's symbol.

Theorem 3.2. *For $0 < Y < t$, put $S(Y, t)$ to be the character sum*

$$S(Y, t) := \sum_{Y \leq n \leq t} \chi_D(n).$$

Then it gives that

$$|S(Y, t)| \ll |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \log |D|.$$

For a proof we refer to Davenport [D, p.135].

These estimates lead to the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be a positive discriminant. Then*

$$h(D) \ll D^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

as $D \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We estimate $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{n}$ by breaking up the sum into $n < Y$ and $n \geq Y$, Y to be determined.

For the first sum, we use a trivial bound;

$$\left| \sum_{n < Y} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{n} \right| \leq \sum_{n < Y} \frac{1}{n} \ll \log Y.$$

On the second sum, since the summation by parts gives $\sum_{n \geq Y} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{n} = \int_Y^{\infty} \frac{S(Y, t)}{t^2} dt$, Theorem 3.2 leads to

$$\sum_{n \geq Y} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{n} \ll \int_Y^{\infty} \frac{D^{\frac{1}{2}} \log D}{t^2} dt = \frac{D^{\frac{1}{2}} \log D}{Y}.$$

These give

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{n} \right| \ll \log Y + \frac{D^{\frac{1}{2}} \log D}{Y}.$$

On taking $Y = D^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we get

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_D(n)}{n} \right| \ll \log D.$$

Since $\log \varepsilon_D \gg \log D$ by definition of ε_D , we have the proposition from Theorem 3.1. ■

By using Proposition 3.3 and the following estimates for the divisor function $\tau(u)$ of a natural number u , Theorem 1.3 will be proved.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $\alpha > 1$ and $x \geq 2$,*

$$\sum_{u < \sqrt{x}} \frac{\tau(u)}{u^{\alpha}} \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{u < \sqrt{x}} \frac{\tau(u)}{u} \ll (\log x)^2.$$

where for the first inequality the implied constant depends only on α .

Lemma 3.5. *We have*

$$\#\{n \mid n^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{u^2}, n < u^2\} \ll \tau(u),$$

where u and n are integers.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let B , \mathcal{O} , and \mathcal{O}^1 be the same as before. Set $\Gamma = \mathcal{O}^1 \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. By the definition of $\pi_B(x)$,

$$\pi_B(x+y) - \pi_B(x) = \sum_{\substack{\varepsilon \\ x < N_B(\varepsilon) \leq x+y}} 1,$$

where the sum is taken over $\varepsilon \in \text{Prm}^+(\mathcal{O}^1)/\mathcal{O}^1$ satisfying $x < N_B(\varepsilon) \leq x+y$. We write this sum in terms of positive discriminants D satisfying the conditions (Pr-i) and (Pr-ii) in Section 1:

$$\pi_B(x+y) - \pi_B(x) = \sum_{\substack{D > 0 \\ \sqrt{x} < \varepsilon_D \leq \sqrt{x+y}}}^* h(D)\lambda(D).$$

Let $t(B)$ denote the number of distinct primes dividing $d(B)$. Then obviously,

$$\lambda(D) \leq 2^{t(B)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_B(x+y) - \pi_B(x) &\leq 2^{t(B)} \sum_{\substack{D \\ \sqrt{x} < \varepsilon_D \leq \sqrt{x+y}}}^* D^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{D \\ \sqrt{x} < \varepsilon_D \leq \sqrt{x+y}}} D^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of the right hand side is proved by Iwaniec [I]. We give here a more detailed presentation of that proof. Put $\varepsilon_D = \frac{\alpha + \beta\sqrt{D}}{2}$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. From the condition on ε_D , there follows that

$$(3.1) \quad 2\sqrt{x} < \alpha + \beta\sqrt{D} \leq 2\sqrt{x+y},$$

and the inverse of each term gives

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{2}{\sqrt{x+y}} \leq \alpha - \beta\sqrt{D} < \frac{2}{\sqrt{x}},$$

since (α, β) is a solution of the Pell equation.

From (3.2) we have $\alpha = \beta\sqrt{D} + T$ with

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{x+y}} \leq T \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

By combining this with (3.1), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sqrt{x} + \frac{T}{2} < \alpha \leq \sqrt{x+y} + \frac{T}{2}.$$

By expanding

$$\sqrt{x+y} = \sqrt{x} + \frac{y}{2\sqrt{x}} + E$$

with E the error term satisfying $E = O(x^{-\frac{3}{2}}y^2)$ as $y < x$, (3.3) can be written by

$$(3.4) \quad \sqrt{x} + \frac{T}{2} < \alpha \leq \sqrt{x} + \frac{T}{2} + \frac{y}{2\sqrt{x}} + E.$$

We denote the region of α expressed in (3.4) by \mathcal{T} . Then we have

$$\pi_B(x+y) - \pi_B(x) \ll \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{T}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \\ \alpha^2 - D\beta^2 = 4}} D^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the Pell equation, we have $D \ll \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^2$. Hence

$$\pi_B(x+y) - \pi_B(x) \ll \sqrt{x} \sum_{\beta < 2\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{T} \\ \alpha^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{\beta^2}}} 1.$$

The last sum over α is estimated by

$$\tau(\beta) \left(\frac{1}{\beta^2} \left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{x}} + E \right) + 1 \right)$$

from Lemma 3.5. The estimates in Lemma 3.4 now give

$$\pi_B(x+y) - \pi_B(x) \ll y + \frac{y^2}{x} + \sqrt{x}(\log x)^2.$$

It is estimated by y as long as $x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log x)^2 < y < x$. ■

Remark 3.6. Zeev Rudnick pointed out that for $1 < y < x$ we can prove

$$\pi_B(x+y) - \pi_B(x) \ll y \log x$$

by omitting the congruence condition at the cost of increasing the number of solutions in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

REFERENCES

- [A] Arakawa, T.: The dimension of the space of cusp forms on the Siegel upper half plane of degree two related to a quaternion unitary group. J. Math. Soc. Japan **33** (1981), 126-145.
- [BJ] Bolte, J and Johansson, S.: A spectral correspondence for Maass waveforms. Geom. Funct. Anal., **9** (1999), 1128-1155.
- [D] Davenport, H.: Multiplicative Number Theory, second edition. Graduate Texts in Math. **74** (1980), Springer.
- [E] Eichler, M.: Zur Zahlen Theorie der Quaternionen Algebren. J. reine Angew. Math. **195** (1955), 127-151.
- [H1] Hejhal, D.: The Selberg trace formula for $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Vol. 1, Lecture Notes in Math. **548** (1976), Springer.
- [H2] Hejhal, D.: The Selberg trace formula for $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. Vol. 2, Lecture Notes in Math. **1001** (1983), Springer.
- [I] Iwaniec, H.: Prime geodesic theorem. J. Reine Angew. Math. **349** (1984), 136-159.
- [K] Koyama, S: Prime geodesic theorem for arithmetic compact manifolds, Internat. Math Res. Notices (1998) no. 8, 383-388
- [L] Landau, E.: Elementary number theory. Chelsea Publishing Co. NewYork. (1958).
- [S] Sarnak, P.: Class numbers of indefinite binary quadratic forms, J. Number Theory **15** (1982), 229-247
- [Sh] Shimizu, H.: On discontinuous groups operating on the product of the upper half planes. Ann. of Math. **77** (1963), 33-71.
- [S1] Strombergsson, A.: Some remarks on a spectral correspondence of Maass waveforms. Internat. Math Res. Notices (2001), 505-517.
- [S2] Strombergsson, A.: An application of an explicit trace formula to a well-known spectral correspondence on quaternion groups. (2000), [<http://www.math.uu.se/~andreas/papers.html>].