

THE GENERALIZED LANGEVIN EQUATION AND AN EXAMPLE OF TYPE G DISTRIBUTIONS

MAKOTO MAEJIMA AND YOHEI NIYAMA
(DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KEIO UNIVERSITY)

1. THE GENERALIZED LANGEVIN EQUATION AND GENERALIZED ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESSES

Kesten [4] and Vervaat [11] studied a stochastic difference equation

$$(1.1) \quad Y_n = A_n Y_{n-1} + B_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where (A_n, B_n) are independent and identically distributed \mathbb{R}^2 -valued random variables, and obtained conditions under which Y_n converges in law to a random variable Y as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This limit, if it exists, is the solution of $Y \stackrel{d}{=} A_1 Y + B_1$, where Y and (A_1, B_1) are independent. Here and below $\stackrel{d}{=}$ means equivalence in law.

As a continuous analogue of (1.1), we introduce

$$(1.2) \quad dY_t = -\lambda Y_t dt + Y_t dL_t^{(1)} + dL_t^{(2)}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ and $\{(L_t^{(1)}, L_t^{(2)}), t \geq 0\}$ is an \mathbb{R}^2 -valued Lévy process. The stochastic differential equation (1.2) extends simultaneously the Langevin equation and the Black-Scholes equation. When $L_t^{(1)} \equiv 0$, (1.2) is the Langevin equation driven by $\{L_t^{(2)}\}$, and when $L_t^{(2)} \equiv 0$, (1.2) is the Black-Scholes equation driven by $\{L_t^{(1)}\}$. We call (1.2) the generalized Langevin equation.

A similar stochastic differential equation can be found in Carmona et al. [1]. Namely, they mentioned

$$(1.3) \quad dX_t = (1/2 - r)X_t dt + X_t dB_t + d\eta_t,$$

where $\{B_t\}$ is a Brownian motion, $\{\eta_t\}$ is a compound Poisson process and they are independent. Actually, they studied the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

$$(1.4) \quad X_t = e^{-\xi t} \left(x + \int_0^t e^{\xi s} d\eta_s \right), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

associated to a given \mathbb{R}^2 -valued Lévy process (ξ_t, η_t) , and showed that an example (1.4) with $\xi_t = -B_t + rt$ and η_t a compound Poisson process, is the solution of the

stochastic differential equation (1.3). Generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes have recently been studied also by Erickson and Maller [3] and Lindner and Maller [5].

Here we restrict ourselves to the case where $L_t^{(1)} = B_t$ (Brownian motion), $L_t^{(2)} = L_t$ (Lévy process) and they are independent. Namely,

$$(1.5) \quad dY_t = -\lambda Y_{t-} dt + \sigma Y_{t-} dB_t + dL_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $\lambda, \sigma > 0$. Then, an explicit solution of (1.5) is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *A unique solution of the generalized Langevin equation (1.5), where $\{B_t\}$ and $\{L_t\}$ are independent, is*

$$Y_t = e^{-U_t} \left(Y_0 + \int_0^t e^{U_s} dL_s \right),$$

where

$$U_t = -\sigma B_t + (\lambda + 2^{-1}\sigma^2)t,$$

For the proof, it is enough to apply Theorem 52 of Chapter V in Protter [6]. We are interested in the limit of Y_t when $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.2. *If $E[\log^+ |L_1|] < \infty$, then Y_t converges in law to $Y = \int_0^\infty e^{-U_s} dL_s$.*

For the proof, it is enough to check two conditions in Theorem 3.1 of Carmona et al. [1], namely, (i) $e^{-U_t} = \exp\{\sigma B_t - (\lambda + 2^{-1}\sigma^2)t\} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. and (ii) $\int_0^\infty e^{-U_s} dL_s$ is well-defined and almost surely finite. However, both are easy to be seen due to the law of the iterated logarithm for $\{B_t\}$, which is, almost surely for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$, $e^{-c_1 t} < e^{U_t} < e^{-c_2 t}$ for large t . Thus (i) is trivial. Also, Sato and Yamazato [10] showed that if $E[\log^+ |L_1|] < \infty$ then $\int_0^\infty e^{-cs} dL_s$ is well-defined, which proves (ii).

2. AN EXAMPLE

We are interested in the infinite divisibility of the limiting random variable Y above, and give an example for it.

We start with the notion of “type S ” of infinitely divisible random variables. We say that a real-valued random variable $Z_\alpha, 0 < \alpha \leq 2$, is symmetric α -stable if $E[e^{izZ_\alpha}] = e^{-c|z|^\alpha}, c > 0$. A real-valued random variable X is called a *sacling mixture* of symmetric α -stable, if

$$E[e^{izX}] = \int_0^\infty e^{-|z|^\alpha u} H(du),$$

where H is a probability measure on $[0, \infty)$. An equivalent statement is that

$$(2.1) \quad X \stackrel{d}{=} V^{1/\alpha} Z_\alpha,$$

where Z_α is symmetric α -stable, V is a positive random variable independent of Z_α with $\mathcal{L}(V) = H$. Here $\mathcal{L}(V)$ means the law of V

Definition 2.1. Let $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. A real-valued random variable X is said to be of type S_α , if it satisfies (2.1) with an infinitely divisible $V > 0$. If X is of type S_α for some $\alpha \in (0, 2]$, it is called of type S . If $\alpha = 2$, it is of type G . (For type G distributions, see, e.g., Maejima and Rosiński [6] and the references therein.)

Proposition 2.2. For any $0 < \alpha < 2$, a type S_α random variable is of type G and thus infinitely divisible.

This statement follows from the fact that iteration of subordination is again subordination, (see Sato [9], Theorem 30.4).

Theorem 2.3. Let Y be the random variable given in Theorem 1.2. If $\{L_t\}$ is a symmetric α -stable Lévy process $\{S_t\}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, then Y is of type S_α , and thus infinitely divisible.

Proof. It is known that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}, a \neq 0, b > 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{aB_t - bt} dt \stackrel{d}{=} 2 (a^2 \Gamma_{2ba-2})^{-1},$$

where Γ_γ is the gamma random variable with parameter $\gamma > 0$. (Dufresne [2].) It is also known that the reciprocal of gamma random variable is infinitely divisible. Now, we have

$$E[\exp(i\theta Y)] = E\left[\exp\left(i\theta \int_0^\infty e^{-U_s} dS_s\right)\right] = E_U\left[E_S\left[\exp\left(i\theta \int_0^\infty e^{-U_s} dS_s\right)\right]\right].$$

where E_U and E_S are the expectations with respect to $\{U_t\}$ and $\{S_t\}$, respectively. Since

$$E\left[\exp\left\{i\theta \int_0^\infty f_s dS_s\right\}\right] = \exp\left\{-|\theta|^\alpha \int_0^\infty |f_s|^\alpha ds\right\}$$

(see, e.g., Samorodnitsky-Taquq [8]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[e^{i\theta Y}] &= E_U \left[\exp \left(-|\theta|^\alpha \int_0^\infty e^{-\alpha U_s} ds \right) \right] \\ &= E_U \left[\exp \left\{ -|\theta|^\alpha \int_0^\infty \exp(\alpha \sigma B_u - \alpha(\lambda + 2^{-1}\sigma^2)u) du \right\} \right] \\ &= E_U \left[\exp \left\{ -|\theta|^\alpha 2 \left(\alpha^2 \sigma^2 \Gamma_{\frac{2\alpha(\lambda+2^{-1}\sigma^2)}{\alpha^2 \sigma^2}} \right)^{-1} \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we put

$$H(dx) = P \left(2 \left(\alpha^2 \sigma^2 \Gamma_{\frac{2\alpha(\lambda+2^{-1}\sigma^2)}{\alpha^2 \sigma^2}} \right)^{-1} \in dx \right),$$

then

$$E[e^{i\theta Y}] = \int_0^\infty e^{-|\theta|^\alpha u} H(du),$$

where, as we have seen, H is the distribution function of a positive infinitely divisible random variable. Thus, Y is of type S_α , and hence infinitely divisible. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Carmona, F. Petit and M. Yor (2001) : Exponential functionals of Lévy processes, in O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, T. Mikosch and S. I. Resnick (eds.), *Lévy Processes, Theory and Applications*, Birkhauser, 41-55.
- [2] D. Dufresne (1990) : The disiribution of a perpetuity, with applications to risk theory and pension funding, *Scand. Actuarial J.* **1990**, 39-79.
- [3] K.B. Erickson and R.A. Maller (2004) : Generalised Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and the convergence of Lévy integrals, preprint.
- [4] H. Kesten (1973) : Random difference equations and renewal theory for products of random matrices, *Acta Math.* **131**, 207-248.
- [5] A. Lindner and R. Maller (2004) : Lévy integrals and the stationarity of generalised Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, preprint.
- [6] M. Maejima and J. Rosiński (2002) : Type G distributions on \mathbb{R}^d , *J. Theor. Probab.* **15**, 323-341.
- [7] P. Protter (2004) : *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, 2nd ed. Springer.
- [8] G. Samorodnitsky and M. S. Taquq (1994) : *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*, Chapman & Hall.
- [9] K. Sato (1999) : *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [10] K. Sato and M. Yamazato (1983) : Stationary processes of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type, *Lect. Notes in Math.* No.1021, Springer, 541-551.
- [11] W. Vervaat (1979) : On a stochastic equation and a representation of non-negative infinitely divisible random variables, *Adv. Appl. Prob.* **11**, 750-783.