

DISTRIBUTIONS OF EXPONENTIAL INTEGRALS OF INDEPENDENT INCREMENT PROCESSES RELATED TO GENERALIZED GAMMA CONVOLUTIONS

ANITA BEHME¹, MAKOTO MAEJIMA²,
MUNEYA MATSUI³ AND NORIYOSHI SAKUMA⁴

ABSTRACT. It is known that in many cases distributions of exponential integrals of Lévy processes are infinitely divisible and in some cases they are also selfdecomposable. In this paper, we give some sufficient conditions under which distributions of exponential integrals are not only selfdecomposable but furthermore are generalized gamma convolutions. We also study exponential integrals of more general independent increment processes. Several examples are given for illustration.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(\xi, \eta) = \{(\xi_t, \eta_t), t \geq 0\}$ be a bivariate càdlàg independent increment process. In most cases, (ξ, η) is assumed as a bivariate Lévy process, but we also treat more general cases where ξ or η is a compound sum process, which is not necessarily a Lévy process but is another typical independent increment process. Our concern in this paper is to examine distributional properties of the exponential integral

$$(1.1) \quad V := \int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-\xi_t} d\eta_t,$$

provided that this integral converges almost surely. More precisely, we are interested in when $\mathcal{L}(V)$, the law of V , is selfdecomposable and moreover is a generalized gamma convolution.

We say that a probability distribution μ on \mathbb{R} (resp. an \mathbb{R} -valued random variable X) is selfdecomposable, if for any $b > 1$ there exists a probability distribution μ_b (resp.

Date: April 26, 2011.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60E07.

Key words and phrases. generalized gamma convolutions, exponential integral, Lévy process, selfdecomposable distribution.

¹Corresponding author, TU Braunschweig, Pockelsstr. 14, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany

²Keio University, 3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

³Department of Business Administration, Nanzan University, 18 Yamazato-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8673, Japan

⁴Department of Mathematics Education, Aichi University of Education, 1 Hirosawa, Igaya-cho, Kariya-shi, 448-8542, Japan

a random variable Y_b independent of X) such that

$$\mu = D_{b^{-1}}(\mu) * \mu_b, \quad (\text{resp. } X \stackrel{d}{=} b^{-1}X + Y_b),$$

where $D_a(\mu)$ means the distribution induced by $D_a(\mu)(aB) := \mu(B)$ for $B \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $*$ is the convolution operator and $\stackrel{d}{=}$ denotes equality in distribution. Every selfdecomposable distribution is infinitely divisible. Some well-known distributional properties of non-trivial selfdecomposable distributions are absolute continuity and unimodality, (see Sato [17] p.181 and p.404).

First we review existing results on $\mathcal{L}(V)$. Bertoin et al. [3] (in the case when $\eta = \{\eta_t\}$ is a one-dimensional Lévy process) and Kondo et al. [11] (in the case when η is a multi-dimensional Lévy process) showed that if $\xi = \{\xi_t\}$ is a spectrally negative Lévy process satisfying $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi_t = +\infty$ a.s. and if the integral (1.1) converges a.s., or equivalently, if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \log^+ |y| \nu_\eta(dy) < \infty$ for the Lévy measure ν_η of η_1 , then $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is selfdecomposable.

On the other hand, there is an example of non-infinitely divisible $\mathcal{L}(V)$, which is due to Samorodnitsky, (see Klüppelberg et al. [10]). In fact if $(\xi_t, \eta_t) = (S_t + at, t)$, where $\{S_t\}$ is a subordinator and $a > 0$ some constant, then the support of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is bounded so that $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is not infinitely divisible.

Recently, Lindner and Sato [13] considered the exponential integral $\int_{(0, \infty)} \exp(-(\log c)N_{t-}) dY_t = \int_{(0, \infty)} c^{-N_{t-}} dY_t$, $c > 0$, where $\{(N_t, Y_t)\}$ is a bivariate compound Poisson process whose Lévy measure is concentrated on $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$, and showed a necessary and sufficient condition for the infinite divisibility of $\mathcal{L}(V)$. They also pointed out that $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is always c^{-1} -decomposable, namely there exists a probability distribution ρ such that $\mu = D_{c^{-1}}(\mu) * \rho$. Note that a c^{-1} -decomposable distribution is not necessarily infinitely divisible, unless ρ is infinitely divisible. In their second paper (Lindner and Sato [14]), they also gave a condition under which $\mathcal{L}(V)$, generated by a bivariate compound Poisson process $\{(N_t, Y_t)\}$ whose Lévy measure is concentrated on $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$ and $(1, c^{-1})$, is infinitely divisible.

For other distributional properties of exponential integrals, like the tail behavior, see, e.g. Maulik and Zwart [15], Rivero [16] and Behme [2].

In this paper we focus on “Generalized Gamma Convolutions” (GGCs, for short) to get more explicit distributional informations of V than selfdecomposability.

Throughout this paper, we say that for $r > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ a random variable $\gamma_{r,\lambda}$ has a gamma(r, λ) distribution if its probability density function f on $(0, \infty)$ is

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^r}{\Gamma(r)} x^{r-1} e^{-\lambda x}.$$

A gamma($1, \lambda$) distribution is an exponential distribution with parameter $\lambda > 0$. When we do not have to emphasize the parameters (r, λ) , we just write γ for a gamma random variable.

The class of GGCs is defined to be the smallest class of distributions on the positive half line that contains all gamma distributions and is closed under convolution and weak convergence. By including gamma distributions on the negative real axis, we obtain the class of distributions on \mathbb{R} which will be called ‘‘Extended Generalized Gamma Convolutions’’ (EGGCs, for short). We refer to Bondesson [5] and Steutel and van Harn [18] for many properties of GGCs and EGGCs with relations among other subclasses of infinitely divisible distributions.

One well-known concrete example of exponential integrals is the following. When $(\xi_t, \eta_t) = (B_t + at, t)$ with a standard Brownian motion $\{B_t\}$ and a drift $a > 0$, the law of (1.1) equals $\mathcal{L}(1/(2\gamma))$ which is GGC (and thus is selfdecomposable).

When choosing ξ to be deterministic, i.e. $(\xi_t, \eta_t) = (t, \eta_t)$, the exponential integral (1.1) is defined and is an EGGC if and only if η admits a finite log-moment (needed for the convergence) and $\mathcal{L}(\eta_1)$ is included in the Goldie-Steutel-Bondesson Class, a superclass of EGGC as defined e.g. in Barndorff-Nielsen et al. [1]. This fact follows directly from [1, Eq. (2.28)]. In the same paper the authors characterized the class of GGCs by using stochastic integrals with respect to Lévy processes as follows. Let $e(x) = \int_x^\infty u^{-1} e^{-u} du, x > 0$, and let $e^*(\cdot)$ be its inverse function. Then $\mathcal{L}\left(\int_{(0,\infty)} e^*(s) d\eta_s\right)$ is GGC if η is a Lévy process and $\mathcal{L}(\eta_1)$ has a finite log-moment.

In this paper, via concrete examples, we investigate distributional properties of exponential integrals connected with GGCs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some preliminaries. In Section 3 we consider exponential integrals for two independent Lévy processes ξ and η such that ξ or η is a compound Poisson process, and construct concrete examples related to our question. In the special case that both ξ and η are compound Poisson processes, we also allow dependence between the two components of (ξ, η) . In Section 4 we consider exponential integrals for independent increment processes such that ξ

and η are independent and one is a compound sum process (which is not necessarily a Lévy process) while the other is a Lévy process.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The class of all infinitely divisible distributions on \mathbb{R} (resp. \mathbb{R}_+) is denoted by $I(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $I(\mathbb{R}_+)$). We denote the class of selfdecomposable distributions on \mathbb{R} (resp. \mathbb{R}_+) by $L(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $L(\mathbb{R}_+)$). The class of EGGCs on \mathbb{R} (resp. GGCs on \mathbb{R}_+) is denoted by $T(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$). The moment generating function of a random variable X and of a distribution μ are written as \mathbb{L}_X and \mathbb{L}_μ , respectively. If X is positive and μ has support in \mathbb{R}_+ , \mathbb{L}_X and \mathbb{L}_μ coincide with the Laplace transforms.

We are especially interested in distributions on \mathbb{R}_+ . The class $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is characterized by the Laplace transform as follows: A probability distribution μ is GGC if and only if there exist $a \geq 0$ and a measure U satisfying

$$\int_{(0,1)} |\log x^{-1}| U(dx) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(1,\infty)} x^{-1} U(dx) < \infty,$$

such that the Laplace transform $\mathbb{L}_\mu(z)$ can be uniquely represented as

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{L}_\mu(u) = \int_{[0,\infty)} e^{-ux} \mu(dx) = \exp \left\{ -au + \int_{(0,\infty)} \log \left(\frac{x}{x+u} \right) U(dx) \right\}.$$

Another class of distributions which we are interested in is the class of distributions on \mathbb{R}_+ whose densities are hyperbolically completely monotone (HCM, for short). Here we say that a function $f(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$ with values in \mathbb{R}_+ is HCM if for every $u > 0$, the mapping $f(uv) \cdot f(u/v)$ is completely monotone with respect to the variable $w = v + v^{-1}$, $v > 0$. Examples of HCM functions are x^β ($\beta \in \mathbb{R}$), e^{-cx} ($c > 0$) and $(1+cx)^{-\alpha}$ ($c > 0$, $\alpha > 0$). The class of all distributions on \mathbb{R}_+ whose probability densities are HCM is denoted by $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Note that $H(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset T(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset L(\mathbb{R}_+) \subset I(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

For illustration we give some examples. Log-normal distributions are in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ [5, Example 5.2.1]. So these are also GGCs. Positive strictly stable distributions with Laplace transform $\mathbb{L}(u) = \exp(-u^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in \{1/2, 1/3, \dots\}$ are in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ [5, Example 5.6.2] while they are GGCs for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ [18, Proposition 5.7]. Let $Y = \exp(\gamma_{r,\lambda}) - 1$. If $r \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ is in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$, but if $r < 1$, $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ is not in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ [5, p. 88]. But $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ or equivalently $\mathcal{L}(\exp(\gamma_{r,\lambda}))$ is always in $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$, independent of the value of r [5, Theorem 6.2.3]. Remark that by treating $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ we cannot replace $\exp(\gamma_{r,\lambda}) - 1$ by $\exp(\gamma_{r,\lambda})$. Namely, set $r = 1$ and observe that the probability

density function $\lambda(x+1)^{-\lambda-1}1_{[0,\infty)}(x)$ is HCM, but the probability density function $\lambda x^{-\lambda-1}1_{[1,\infty)}(x)$ is not HCM. It follows from this that $\mathcal{L}(\exp(\gamma_{1,\lambda}) - 1)$ is in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ but $\mathcal{L}(\exp(\gamma_{1,\lambda}))$ is not in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

In addition, we also investigate the modified HCM class denoted by $\tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$, which gives some interesting examples of $\mathcal{L}(V)$ on \mathbb{R} . The class $\tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ is characterized to be the class of distributions of random variables $\sqrt{X}Z$, where $\mathcal{L}(X) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and Z is a standard normal random variable (see Bondesson [5, p. 115]). By the definition, any distribution in $\tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ is a type G distribution, which is the distribution of the variance mixture of a standard normal random variable. Note that $H(\mathbb{R}_+) \not\subseteq \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{H}(\mathbb{R}) \subset T(\mathbb{R})$. As will be seen in Proposition 2.1, there are nice relations between $\tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ and $T(\mathbb{R})$ in common with those of $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Here we state some known facts that we will use later.

Proposition 2.1 (Bondesson [5] and Steutel and van Harn [18]).

- (1) A continuous function $\mathbb{L}(u)$, $u > 0$, with $\mathbb{L}(0+) = 1$ is HCM if and only if it is the Laplace transform of a GGC.
- (2) If $\mathcal{L}(X) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\mathcal{L}(Y) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and X and Y are independent, then $\mathcal{L}(XY) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$.
- (3) Suppose that $\mathcal{L}(X) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\mathcal{L}(Y) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ and that X and Y are independent. If $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}(\sqrt{X}Y) \in T(\mathbb{R})$.
- (4) Suppose that $\mathcal{L}(X) \in \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ and that X and Y are independent. If $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}(XY) \in T(\mathbb{R})$.
- (5) If $\mathcal{L}(X) \in \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\mathcal{L}(|X|^q) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for all $|q| \geq 2$, $q \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{L}(|X|^q \text{sign}(X)) \in \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \neq 2$, but not always for $q = 2$.

Remark 2.2. Notice that the distribution of a sum of independent random variables with distributions in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ does not necessarily belong to $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$. See Bondesson [5], p.101.

Some distributional properties of GGCs are stated in the following proposition [5, Theorems 4.1.1. and 4.1.3.].

Proposition 2.3.

- (1) The probability density function of a GGC without Gaussian part satisfying $0 <$

$\int_{(0,\infty)} U(du) = \beta < \infty$ with the measure U as in (2.1) admits the representation $x^{\beta-1}h(x)$, where $h(x)$ is some completely monotone function.

(2) Let f be the probability density of a GGC distribution without Gaussian part satisfying $1 < \int_{(0,\infty)} U(du) = \beta \leq \infty$. Let k be an integer such that $k < \beta - 1$. Then the density f is continuously differentiable any times on $(0, \infty)$, and at 0 at least k times differentiable with $f^{(j)}(0) = 0$ for $j \geq k$.

Examples of GGCs and the explicit calculation of their Lévy measure are found in Bondesson [5] and James et al. [8].

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence of (1.1) for bivariate Lévy processes were given by Erickson and Maller [6]. More precisely, in their Theorem 2.1, they showed that V converges a.s. if and only if for some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $A_\xi(x) > 0$ for all $x > \epsilon$ it holds

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \xi_t = \infty \text{ a.s. and } \int_{(\epsilon^\epsilon, \infty)} \left(\frac{\log y}{A_\xi(\log y)} \right) |\nu_\eta((dy, \infty)) + \nu_\eta((-\infty, -dy))| < \infty.$$

Here $A_\xi(x) = a_\xi + \nu_\xi((1, \infty)) + \int_{(1,x]} \nu_\xi((y, \infty)) dy$ while (Σ_X, ν_X, a_X) denotes the Lévy-Khintchine triplet of an infinitely divisible random variable X .

3. EXPONENTIAL INTEGRALS FOR COMPOUND POISSON PROCESSES

In this section we study exponential integrals of the form (1.1), where either ξ or η is a compound Poisson process and the other is an arbitrary Lévy process. First we assume the two processes to be independent, later we also investigate the case that (ξ, η) is a bivariate compound Poisson processes.

3.1. Independent component case. We start with a general lemma which gives a sufficient condition for distributions of perpetuities to be GGCs.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose A and B are two independent random variables such that $\mathcal{L}(A) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathcal{L}(B) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Let $(A_j, B_j), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be i.i.d. copies of (A, B) . Then, given its a.s. convergence, the distribution of the perpetuity $Z := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} A_i \right) B_k$ belongs to $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{L}(A) \in \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{L}(B) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}(B)$ is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}(Z) \in T(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. If we put

$$Z_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} A_i \right) B_k,$$

then we can rewrite

$$Z_n = B_0 + A_0(B_1 + A_1(B_2 + \cdots + A_{n-2}(B_{n-1} + A_{n-1}B_n) \cdots)).$$

Since A_{n-1} and B_n are independent, $\mathcal{L}(A_{n-1}) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathcal{L}(B_n) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$, we get $\mathcal{L}(A_{n-1}B_n) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by Proposition 2.1. Further B_{n-1} and $A_{n-1}B_n$ are independent and both distributions belong to $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and hence $\mathcal{L}(B_{n-1} + A_{n-1}B_n) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$. By induction, we can conclude that $\mathcal{L}(Z_n) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Since the class $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ is closed under weak convergence, the first part follows immediately. A similar argument with (4) in Proposition 2.1 gives the second part. \square

Case 1: The process ξ is a compound Poisson process

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that the processes ξ and η are independent Lévy processes and further that $\xi_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} X_i$ is a compound Poisson process with i.i.d. jump heights X_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ such that $0 < E[X_1] < \infty$, $\mathcal{L}(e^{-X_1}) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and η has finite log-moment $E \log^+ |\eta_1|$ and it holds $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for an exponential random variable τ independent of η having the same distribution as the waiting times of N . Then the integral (1.1) converges a.s. and*

$$\mathcal{L} \left(\int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-\xi_t - d\eta_t} \right) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{L}(e^{-X_1}) \in \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau)$ is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}(V) \in T(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Convergence of the integral follows from (2.2).

Set $T_0 = 0$ and let $T_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ be the time of the j -th jump of $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$.

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-\xi_t - d\eta_t} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{(T_j, T_{j+1}]} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^j X_i} d\eta_t = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^j X_i} \int_{(T_j, T_{j+1}]} d\eta_t \\ &=: \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j A_i \right) B_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_i = e^{-X_i}$ and $B_j = \int_{(T_j, T_{j+1}]} d\eta_t \stackrel{d}{=} \eta_{T_{j+1} - T_j}$. Now Lemma 3.1 yields the conclusion. \square

In the following we first give some examples for possible choices of ξ fulfilling the assumptions of Proposition 3.2 and then continue with examples for η . Hence any combination of them yields an exponential integral which is a GGC.

Example 3.3 (The case when X_1 is a normal random variable with positive mean). We see that $\mathcal{L}(e^{-X_1})$ is log-normal and hence is in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Example 3.4 (The case when X_1 is the logarithm of the power of a gamma random variable). Let $Y = \gamma_{r,\lambda}$ and $X_1 = c \log Y$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Recall that $\mathcal{L}(\log Y) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ and so $\mathcal{L}(cX_1) = \mathcal{L}(\log Y^c) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $E[X_1] = c\psi(\lambda)$, where $\psi(x)$ the derivative of $\log \Gamma(x)$. If we take $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $c\psi(\lambda) > 0$, we conclude that $\mathcal{L}(e^{-X_1}) = \mathcal{L}(\gamma_{r,\lambda}^{-c}) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Example 3.5 (The case when X_1 is logarithm of some positive strictly stable random variable). Let $X_1 = \log Y$ be a random variable, where Y is a positive stable random variable with parameter $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then X_1 is in the class of EGGC when $\alpha = 1/n$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$ (see Bondesson [5, Example 7.2.5]) and

$$E[e^{uX_1}] = E[Y^u] = \frac{\Gamma(1 - u/\alpha)}{\Gamma(1 - u)}.$$

It follows that $E[X_1] = -\frac{1}{\alpha}\psi(1) + \psi(1) = (1 - 1/\alpha)\psi(1) > 0$ and $\mathcal{L}(e^{-X_1}) = \mathcal{L}(Y^{-1}) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by [5, Example 5.6.2].

Example 3.6 (The case when X_1 is the logarithm of the ratio of two exponential random variables). Let $X_1 = \log(Y_1/Y_2)$, where $Y_j, j = 1, 2$, are independent exponential random variables with parameters $\lambda_j > 0, j = 1, 2$. The density function of X_1 is given by [5, Example 7.2.4]

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} \frac{e^{-\lambda_1 x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Beta-function. Now if $E[X_1] > 0$ we can set X_1 to be a jump distribution of ξ . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}(e^{-X_1}) = \mathcal{L}(Y_2/Y_1) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ since $\mathcal{L}(Y_j) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Example 3.7 (The case when η is nonrandom). When $\eta_t = t$, it holds that $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau) = \mathcal{L}(\tau) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Example 3.8 (The case when η is a stable subordinator). The Laplace transform of $B := \eta_\tau$ with $\tau = \gamma_{1,\lambda}$ is given by (see e.g. Steutel and van Harn [18, p.10])

$$\mathbb{L}_B(u) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \log \mathbb{L}_\eta(u)}.$$

Now consider η to be a stable subordinator without drift. Then the Laplace transform of η_1 is given by $\mathbb{L}_{\eta_1}(u) = \exp\{-u^\alpha\}$. Therefore we have

$$\mathbb{L}_B(u) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + u^\alpha}.$$

This function is HCM, since $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+u}$ is HCM by the definition and due to the fact that the composition of an HCM function and x^α , $|\alpha| \leq 1$, is also HCM (see [5, p. 68]). Thus the Laplace transform of B is HCM by Proposition 2.1 and we conclude that $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau)$ is GGC.

Remark that if η admits an additional drift term, the distribution $\mathcal{L}(B)$ is not GGC. This result was pointed out by Kozubowski [12].

Example 3.9 (The case when η is an inverse Gaussian Lévy process). We suppose η to be an inverse Gaussian subordinator with parameters $\beta > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. The Laplace transform of η_t is

$$\mathbb{L}_{\eta_t}(u) = \exp\left(-\delta t(\sqrt{\beta^2 + 2u} - \beta)\right).$$

Now by choosing the parameters satisfying $\lambda \geq \delta\beta$, we have, for $B = \eta_\tau$,

$$\mathbb{L}_B(u) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \delta\beta + \delta\sqrt{\beta^2 + 2u}}.$$

This function is HCM by argumentation as in Example 3.8 with $\alpha = 1/2$ and using Property xi) in [5, p. 68].

Remark 3.10. Although the Lévy measure of η_τ is known explicitly, it is an open question whether the parameter of the exponentially distributed random variable τ has an influence on the GGC-property of η_τ , or not. Examples 3.7 and 3.8 lead to the conjecture that there is no influence. So far, no counterexamples to this conjecture are known to the authors.

Case 2: The process η is a compound Poisson process

In the following we assume the integrator η to be a compound Poisson process, while ξ is an arbitrary Lévy process, independent of η . We can argue similarly as above to obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.11. *Let ξ and η be independent and assume $\eta_t = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} Y_i$ to be a compound Poisson process with i.i.d. jump heights Y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Suppose that*

$E[\xi_1] > 0$, $E \log^+ |\eta_1| < \infty$, $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathcal{L}(e^{-\xi\tau}) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for an exponentially distributed random variable τ independent of ξ having the same distribution as the waiting times of N . Then the integral (1.1) converges a.s. and it holds that

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\int_{(0,\infty)} e^{-\xi t} d\eta_t\right) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{L}(e^{-\xi\tau}) \in \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y_1)$ is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}(V) \in T(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Convergence of the integral is guaranteed by (2.2). Now set $T_0 = 0$ and let $T_j, j = 1, 2, \dots$ be the jump times of $\{N_t, t \geq 0\}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(0,\infty)} e^{-\xi t} d\eta_t &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\xi T_j} Y_j = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-(\xi T_j - \xi T_{j-1})} \dots e^{-(\xi T_1 - \xi T_0)} Y_j \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j e^{-(\xi T_i - \xi T_{i-1})} \right) Y_j =: \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j A_i \right) B_j, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_i = e^{-(\xi T_i - \xi T_{i-1})} \stackrel{d}{=} e^{-\xi T_i - T_{i-1}}$ and $B_j = Y_j$. Remark that the proof of Lemma 3.1 remains valid even if the summation starts from $j = 1$. Hence the assertion follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

3.2. Dependent component case. In this subsection, we generalize a model of Lindner and Sato [13] and study to which class $\mathcal{L}(V)$ belongs.

Let $0 < p < 1$. Suppose that (ξ, η) is a bivariate compound Poisson process with parameter $\lambda > 0$ and normalized Lévy measure

$$\nu(dx, dy) = p\delta_0(dx)\rho_0(dy) + (1-p)\delta_1(dx)\rho_1(dy),$$

where ρ_0 and ρ_1 are probability measures on $(0, \infty)$ and $[0, \infty)$, respectively, such that

$$\int_{(1,\infty)} \log y d\rho_0(y) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{(1,\infty)} \log y d\rho_1(y) < \infty.$$

For the bivariate compound Poisson process (ξ, η) we have the following representation (see Sato [17] p.18):

$$(\xi_t, \eta_t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_t} S_k = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N_t} S_k^{(1)}, \sum_{k=0}^{N_t} S_k^{(2)} \right),$$

where $S_0^{(1)} = S_0^{(2)} = 0$ and $\left\{S_k = \left(S_k^{(1)}, S_k^{(2)}\right)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of two-dimensional i.i.d. random variables. It implies that the projections of the compound Poisson process on \mathbb{R}^2 are also compound Poisson processes. Precisely in the given model, since $\mathbb{P}(S_1^{(1)} = 0) = p$ and $\mathbb{P}(S_1^{(1)} = 1) = 1 - p$, the marginal process ξ is a Poisson process with parameter $(1 - p)\lambda > 0$. Note that $S_k^{(1)}$ and $S_k^{(2)}$ may be dependent for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, $\rho_i(B)$ is equal to $\mathbb{P}(S_k^{(2)} \in B | S_k^{(1)} = i)$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.

Example 3.12. In Lindner and Sato [13] the authors considered the bivariate compound Poisson process with parameter $u + v + w$, $u, v, w \geq 0$ and normalized Lévy measure

$$\nu(dx, dy) = \frac{v}{u + v + w} \delta_0(dx) \delta_1(dy) + \frac{u + w}{u + v + w} \delta_1(dx) \left(\frac{u}{u + w} \delta_0(dy) + \frac{w}{u + w} \delta_1(dy) \right).$$

So in their setting $p = \frac{v}{u+v+w}$, $\rho_0 = \delta_1$ and $\rho_1 = \frac{u}{u+w} \delta_0 + \frac{w}{u+w} \delta_1$.

In the following theorem, we give a sufficient condition for $\mathcal{L}(V)$, given by (1.1) with (ξ, η) as described above, to be GGC.

Theorem 3.13. *If the function $\frac{(1-p)\mathbb{L}_{\rho_1}(u)}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u)}$ is HCM, then $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is GGC.*

Proof. Define T_ξ and M to be the first jump time of the Poisson process ξ and the number of the jumps of the bivariate compound Poisson process (ξ, η) before T_ξ , respectively. Due to the strong Markov property of the Lévy process (ξ, η) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(0, \infty)} \exp(-\xi_{s-}) d\eta_s &= \int_{(0, T_\xi]} \exp(-\xi_{s-}) d\eta_s + \int_{(T_\xi, \infty]} \exp(-\xi_{s-}) d\eta_s \\ &= \eta_{T_\xi} + \int_{(0, \infty)} \exp(-\xi_{T_\xi+s-}) d\eta_{s+T_\xi} \\ &= \eta_{T_\xi} + \exp(-\xi_{T_\xi}) \int_{(0, \infty)} \exp(-(\xi_{T_\xi+s-} - \xi_{T_\xi})) d((\eta_{(s+T_\xi)} - \eta_{T_\xi}) + \eta_{T_\xi}) \\ &\stackrel{d}{=} \eta_{T_\xi} + e^{-1} \int_{(0, \infty)} \exp(-\tilde{\xi}_{s-}) d\tilde{\eta}_s, \end{aligned}$$

where the process $(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta})$ is independent of $\{(\xi_t, \eta_t), t \leq T_\xi\}$ and has the same law as (ξ, η) . Therefore, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{L}_\mu(u) = \mathbb{L}_\mu(e^{-1}u) \mathbb{L}_\rho(u),$$

with $\mu = \mathcal{L}(V)$ and ρ denoting the distribution of η_{T_ξ} . Thus μ is e^{-1} -decomposable and it follows that

$$\mathbb{L}_\mu(u) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}_\rho(e^{-n}u).$$

In the given setting, we have

$$\eta_{T_\xi} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^M S_k^{(2)} \right) + S_{M+1}^{(2)},$$

where M is geometrically distributed with parameter p , namely,

$$\mathbb{P}(M = k) = (1 - p)p^k \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_\rho(u) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(-u\eta_{T_\xi}) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-u \left(\sum_{k=0}^M S_k^{(2)} + S_{M+1}^{(2)} \right) \right) \middle| M \right] \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[(\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u))^M \mathbb{L}_{\rho_1}(u) \right] \\ &= (1 - p) \mathbb{L}_{\rho_1}(u) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (p \mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u))^k \\ &= \frac{(1 - p) \mathbb{L}_{\rho_1}(u)}{1 - p \mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u)}. \end{aligned}$$

The class of HCM functions is closed under scale transformation, multiplication and limit. Therefore $\mathbb{L}_\mu(u)$ is HCM if $\mathbb{L}_\rho(u)$ is HCM and hence μ is GGC if ρ is GGC by Proposition 2.1 (6). As a result, μ is GGC if $\frac{(1-p)\mathbb{L}_{\rho_1}(u)}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u)}$ is HCM. \square

A distribution with the Laplace transform $\frac{(1-p)}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u)}$ is called a compound geometric distribution. It is compound Poisson, because every geometric distribution is compound Poisson with Lévy measure given by

$$\nu_p(\{k\}) = -\frac{1}{\log(1-p)} \frac{1}{k+1} p^{k+1}, k \in \mathbb{N}$$

(see p. 147 in Steutel and van Harn [18]). Since HCM functions are closed under multiplication we have the following.

Corollary 3.14. *If ρ_1 and the compound geometric distribution of ρ_0 are GGCs, then so is $\mathcal{L}(V)$.*

In addition, we observe the following.

Corollary 3.15.

(1) *For any $c > 1$, the distribution $\mu_c = \mathcal{L} \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} c^{-\xi_s} d\eta_s \right)$ is c^{-1} -selfdecomposable. Thus in the non-degenerate case it is absolutely continuous or continuous singular (Wolfe [19]) and Theorem 3.13 holds true also for μ_c instead of μ .*

(2) If ρ_1 is infinitely divisible, then μ_c is also infinitely divisible.

(3) Let $B(\mathbb{R}_+)$ be the Goldie-Steutel-Bondesson class, which is the smallest class that contains all mixtures of exponential distributions and is closed under convolution and weak convergence.

If $\frac{(1-p)}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u)}$ is the Laplace transform of a distribution in $B(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then μ_c is in $B(\mathbb{R}_+)$. Moreover μ_c will be a c^{-1} -semi-selfdecomposable distribution.

About the definition and basic properties of semi-selfdecomposable distributions, see [17]. The proof of (1) is obvious. For (2) remark that a distribution with Laplace transform $\frac{(1-p)}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u)}$ as compound Poisson distribution is always infinitely divisible. Hence only ρ_1 has influence on that property. The proof of (3) follows from the characterization of the class $B(\mathbb{R}_+)$ in Chapter 9 of [5] and our proof of Theorem 3.13.

Example 3.16. Let ρ_1 be a GGC, i.e. $\mathbb{L}_{\rho_1}(u)$ is HCM. Then if $\frac{(1-p)}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u)}$ is HCM, μ is found to be GGC. For example, if ρ_0 is an exponential random variable with density $f(x) = be^{-bx}$, $b > 0$, then $\frac{1}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u)}$ is HCM. To see this, for $u > 0$, $v > 0$, write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(uv)} \frac{1}{1-p\mathbb{L}_{\rho_0}(u/v)} &= \frac{1 + \frac{u}{b}(v + v^{-1}) + \frac{u^2}{b^2}}{(1-p)^2 + \frac{u}{b}(v + v^{-1})(1-p) + \frac{u^2}{b^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-p} + \frac{p + \left(1 - \frac{1}{1-p}\right) \frac{u^2}{b^2}}{(1-p)^2 + \frac{u}{b}(v + v^{-1})(1-p) + \frac{u^2}{b^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This is nonnegative and completely monotone as a function of $v + v^{-1}$.

Example 3.17. In the case of Example 3.12, the Lévy measure of μ_c is

$$\nu_{\mu_c} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m \delta_{c^{-n}m},$$

where $a_m = \frac{1}{m}(q^m - (-r/p)^m)$. This Lévy measure is not absolutely continuous. Thus μ_c is never GGC for any parameters u , v , w and c .

4. EXPONENTIAL INTEGRALS FOR INDEPENDENT INCREMENT PROCESSES

We say that a process $X = \{X_t = \sum_{i=1}^{M_t} X_i, t \geq 0\}$ is a compound sum process, if the $\{X_i\}$ are i.i.d. random variables, $\{M_t, t \geq 0\}$ is a renewal process and they are independent. When $\{M_t\}$ is a Poisson process, X is nothing but a compound Poisson process and is a Lévy process. Unless $\{M_t\}$ is a Poisson process, X is no

Lévy process. In this section we consider the case when either ξ or η is a compound sum process and the other is an arbitrary Lévy process. Although (ξ, η) is not a Lévy process, the exponential integral (1.1) can be defined and its distribution can be infinitely divisible and/or GGC in many cases as we will show in the following.

Case 1: The process ξ is a compound sum process

First we give a condition for the convergence of the exponential integral (1.1) when (ξ, η) is not a Lévy process.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that $(\xi_t, \eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a stochastic process where ξ and η are independent, η is a Lévy process and $\xi_t = \sum_{i=1}^{M_t} X_i$ is a compound sum process with i.i.d. jump heights X_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and i.i.d. waiting times W_i . Then (1.1) converges in probability to a finite random variable if and only if*

$$(4.1) \quad \xi_t \rightarrow \infty \text{ a.s. and } \int_{(1, \infty)} \left(\frac{\log q}{A_\xi(\log q)} \right) P(|\eta_{W_1}| \in dq) < \infty$$

for $A_\xi(x) = \int_{(0, x)} P(X_1 > u) du$.

Proof. As argued in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we can rewrite the exponential integral as perpetuity

$$\int_{(0, \infty)} e^{-\xi_t - d\eta_t} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j A_i \right) B_j,$$

where $A_i = e^{-X_i}$ and $B_j \stackrel{d}{=} \eta_{W_j}$. By Theorem 2.1 of [7] the above converges a.s. to a finite random variable if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow 0$ a.s. and

$$\int_{(1, \infty)} \left(\frac{\log q}{A(\log q)} \right) P(|B_1| \in dq) < \infty$$

for $A(x) = \int_{(0, x)} P(-\log A_1 > u) du$. Using the given expressions for A_1 and B_1 in our setting we observe that this is equivalent to (4.1). It remains to show that a.s. convergence of the perpetuity implies convergence in probability of (1.1). Therefore remark that

$$\int_{(0, t]} e^{-\xi_s - d\eta_s} = \int_{(0, T_{M_t}]} e^{-\xi_s - d\eta_s} + e^{-\xi_{T_{M_t}}} (\eta_t - \eta_{T_{M_t}})$$

where the first term converges to a finite random variable while the second converges in probability to 0 since $\sup_{t \in [T_{M_t}, T_{M_t+1})} |\eta_t - \eta_{M_t}| \stackrel{d}{=} \sup_{t \in [0, W_1)} |\eta_t|$. \square

Now we can extend Proposition 3.2 in this new setting as follows.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that the processes ξ and η are independent and that $\xi_t = \sum_{i=1}^{M_t} X_i$ is a compound sum process with i.i.d. jump heights X_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and i.i.d. waiting times W_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ such that (4.1) is fulfilled. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}(e^{-X_1}) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for τ being a random variable with the same distribution as W_1 and independent of η . Then*

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\int_{(0,\infty)} e^{-\xi_t - d\eta_t}\right) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{L}(e^{-X_1}) \in \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau)$ is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}(V) \in T(\mathbb{R})$.

In the following we give some examples fulfilling the assumptions of Proposition 4.2.

Example 4.3 (The case when η is non-random and $\mathcal{L}(W_1)$ is GGC). For the case $\eta_t = t$, $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau)$ belongs to $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}(\tau)$ does. Hence for all waiting times which are GGC and for a suitable jump heights of ξ , we have $\mathcal{L}(V) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Example 4.4 (The case when η is a stable subordinator and $\mathcal{L}(W_1)$ is GGC). Consider η to be a stable subordinator having Laplace transform $\mathbb{L}_\eta(u) = \exp\{-u^\alpha\}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then the Laplace transform of $B := \eta_\tau$ is given by $\mathbb{L}_B(u) = \mathbb{L}_\tau(u^\alpha)$. This function is HCM if and only if τ is GGC, since by Proposition 2.1, \mathbb{L}_τ is HCM and hence also its composition with x^α . Thus whenever $\mathcal{L}(\tau) = \mathcal{L}(W_1)$ is GGC, $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau)$ is GGC, too, fulfilling the assumption of Proposition 4.2.

Example 4.5 (The case when η is a standard Brownian motion and $\mathcal{L}(W_1)$ is GGC). Given that η is a standard Brownian motion, η_1 has characteristic function $Ee^{iz\eta} = \exp(-z^2/2)$, which yields $\mathbb{L}_B(u) = \mathbb{L}_\tau(u^2/2)$. We can not see $\mathcal{L}(B) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ from this, and in fact $\mathcal{L}(B)$ is in $T(\mathbb{R})$ but not in $T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (see Bondesson [5, p. 117]). Then using that η is symmetric, we can apply (4) in Proposition 2.1 and conclude that $\mathcal{L}(V) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ for suitable jump heights of ξ .

Example 4.6 (The case when η is a Lévy subordinator and $\mathcal{L}(W_1)$ is a half normal distribution). The $1/2$ -stable subordinator η and the standard half normal random variable τ have densities, respectively, given by,

$$f_{\eta_t}(x) = \frac{t}{2\sqrt{\pi}} x^{-3/2} e^{-t^2/2x} \quad \text{and} \quad f_\tau(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} e^{-x^2/2}, \quad x > 0.$$

These yield the density function of η_τ as

$$f_{\eta_\tau}(x) = \int f_{\eta_y}(x) f_\tau(y) dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{x^{-1/2}}{1+x}.$$

Interestingly, this is an F distribution (see Sato [17, p.46]) and since a random variable with an F distribution is constricted to be the quotient of two independent gamma random variables, we have that $\mathcal{L}(\eta_\tau) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$.

Case 2: The process η_t is a compound sum process

Again we start with a condition for the convergence of (1.1). It can be shown similar to Proposition 4.1.

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose ξ to be a Lévy process and that $\eta_t = \sum_{i=1}^{M_t} Y_i$ is a compound sum process with i.i.d. jump heights $Y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ and i.i.d. waiting times $U_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then (1.1) converges a.s. to a finite random variable if and only if*

$$(4.2) \quad \xi_t \rightarrow \infty \text{ a.s. and } \int_{(1,\infty)} \left(\frac{\log q}{A_\eta(\log q)} \right) P(|Y_1| \in dq) < \infty$$

for $A_\eta(x) = \int_{(0,x)} P(\xi_{U_1} > u) du$.

In the same manner as before we can now extend Proposition 3.11 to the new setting and obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.8. *Let ξ and η be independent and assume $\eta_t = \sum_{i=1}^{M_t} Y_i$ to be a compound renewal process with i.i.d. jump heights $Y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$ and i.i.d. waiting times U_i such that (4.2) holds. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\mathcal{L}(e^{-\xi\tau}) \in H(\mathbb{R}_+)$ for a random variable τ having the same distribution as U_1 and being independent of ξ . Then*

$$\mathcal{L} \left(\int_{(0,\infty)} e^{-\xi t - d\eta_t} \right) \in T(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{L}(e^{-\xi\tau}) \in \tilde{H}(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{L}(Y_1) \in T(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y_1)$ is symmetric, then $\mathcal{L}(V) \in T(\mathbb{R})$.

The following is a very simple example fulfilling the assumptions in Proposition 4.8.

Example 4.9 (The case when η is a random walk and ξ is a standard Brownian motion with drift). Suppose $\xi_t = B_t + at$ is a standard Brownian motion with drift $a > 0$ and U_1 is degenerated at 1. Then $\mathcal{L}(e^{-\xi\tau}) = \mathcal{L}(e^{-a}e^{-B_1})$ is a scaled log-normal

distribution and hence in $H(\mathbb{R}_+)$. So for all GGC jump heights $\mathcal{L}(Y_1)$, the exponential integral is GGC.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was carried out while Anita Behme was visiting Keio University with financial support of “Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst”. She gratefully thanks for hospitality and funding. We thank the referee for a careful reading of the manuscript and yielding suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, M. Maejima and K. Sato. Some classes of multivariate infinitely divisible distributions admitting stochastic integral representations. *Bernoulli* **12**, 1–33 (2006).
- [2] A. Behme. Distributional Properties of Solutions of $dV_t = V_{t-}dU_t + dL_t$ with Lévy noise. (submitted).
- [3] J. Bertoin, A. Lindner and R. Maller. On continuity properties of the law of integrals of Lévy processes. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer*, **1934**, 138–159 (2006).
- [4] J. Bertoin and M. Yor. Exponential integrals of Lévy processes. *Probab. Surveys* **2**, 191–212 (2005).
- [5] L. Bondesson. *Generalized gamma convolutions and related classes of distributions and densities. Lecture Notes in Statistics, Springer*, **76** (1992).
- [6] K. B. Erickson and R.A. Maller. Generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes and the convergence of Lévy integrals. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer*, **1857**, 70–94 (2005).
- [7] C. M. Goldie and R. Maller. Stability of perpetuities. *Ann. Probab.* **28**, 1195–1218 (2000).
- [8] L. F. James, B. Roynette and M. Yor. Generalized Gamma Convolutions, Dirichlet means, Thorin measures, with explicit examples. *Probab. Surveys* **5**, 346–415 (2008).
- [9] Z. J. Jurek and W. Vervaat. An integral representation for self-decomposable Banach space valued random variables. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **62**, 247–262 (1983).
- [10] C. Klüppelberg, A. Lindner and R. Maller. Continuous time volatility modelling: COGARCH versus Ornstein-Uhlenbeck models. In Y. Kabanov, R. Liptser and

- J. Stoyanov (eds.): *The Shiryaev Festschrift: From Stochastic Calculus to Mathematical Finance*, Springer, Berlin, 393–419.
- [11] H. Kondo, M. Maejima and K. Sato. Some properties of exponential integrals of Lévy processes and examples. *Elect. Comm. Probab.* **11**, 291–303 (2006).
- [12] T. J. Kozubowski. A note on self-decomposability of stable process subordinated to self-decomposable subordinator. *Statist. Probab. Lett.* **74**, 89–91 (2005).
- [13] A. Lindner and K. Sato. Continuity properties and infinite divisibility of stationary distributions of some generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Ann. Probab.* **37**, 250–274 (2009).
- [14] A. Lindner and K. Sato. Properties of stationary distributions of a sequence of generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. To appear in *Math. Nach.* (2010).
- [15] K. Maulik and B. Zwart. Tail asymptotics for exponential integrals of Lévy processes. *Stoch. Pros. Appl.* **116**, 156–177 (2006).
- [16] V. Rivero. Tail asymptotics for exponential integrals of Lévy processes: the convolution equivalent case. (preprint), arXiv:0905.2401, 2010.
- [17] K. Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [18] F. W. Steutel and K. van Harn. *Infinite Divisibility of Probability Distributions on the Real Line*. Marcel Dekker Inc., 2003.
- [19] S. J. Wolfe. Continuity properties of decomposable probability measure on Euclidean spaces. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **13**, 534–538 (1982).