

The rational cuspidal subgroup of $J_0(p^2M)$

Myungjun Yu

(joint with Jai-Wei Guo, Yifan Yang and Hwajong Yoo)

Korea Institute for Advanced Study

mju@kias.re.kr

PANT - Kyoto 2021

Dec 8, 2021

- 1 Modular curves $X_0(N)$ and the rational cuspidal group
- 2 Comparison of two cuspidal subgroups
- 3 Explicit conditions for modular units
- 4 Main theorems

Modular curves $X_0(N)$ and the rational cuspidal group

Modular curves $X_0(N)$

Let N be a positive integer. Let

$$\Gamma_0(N) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

Let \mathbb{H} be the upper half plane. Let

$$Y_0(N) := \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$$

Modular curves $X_0(N)$

Let N be a positive integer. Let

$$\Gamma_0(N) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}.$$

Let \mathbb{H} be the upper half plane. Let

$$Y_0(N) := \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$$

There is a compactification

$$X_0(N) = Y_0(N) \cup \{\text{cusps}\},$$

which is called a **modular curve** of level N .

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

We have

$$\{\text{cusps}\} = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

We have

$$\{\text{cusps}\} = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

A set of (inequivalent) cusps for $\Gamma_0(N)$ is given by

$$\left\{ \frac{a}{c} : c|N, (a, c) = 1, \text{ and } 1 \leq a \leq (c, N/c) \right\}.$$

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

We have

$$\{\text{cusps}\} = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

A set of (inequivalent) cusps for $\Gamma_0(N)$ is given by

$$\left\{ \frac{a}{c} : c|N, (a, c) = 1, \text{ and } 1 \leq a \leq (c, N/c) \right\}.$$

Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ N & 1 \end{pmatrix} \infty = \frac{1}{N} \implies \frac{1}{N} \sim \infty.$$

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

We have

$$\{\text{cusps}\} = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

A set of (inequivalent) cusps for $\Gamma_0(N)$ is given by

$$\left\{ \frac{a}{c} : c|N, (a, c) = 1, \text{ and } 1 \leq a \leq (c, N/c) \right\}.$$

Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ N & 1 \end{pmatrix} \infty = \frac{1}{N} \implies \frac{1}{N} \sim \infty.$$

The number of cusps of $X_0(N)$ is

$$\sum_{1 \leq c|N} \phi((c, N/c)).$$

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

- 1 A cusp a/c is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_d)$, where $d = (c, N/c)$ and μ_d denotes a primitive d -th root of unity.

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

- 1 A cusp a/c is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_d)$, where $d = (c, N/c)$ and μ_d denotes a primitive d -th root of unity.
- 2 If $\sigma_s \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_d)/\mathbb{Q})$ sends μ_d to μ_d^s , then

$$\sigma_s \left(\frac{a}{c} \right) = \frac{as^*}{c},$$

where s^* is such that $ss^* \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$.

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

- 1 A cusp a/c is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_d)$, where $d = (c, N/c)$ and μ_d denotes a primitive d -th root of unity.
- 2 If $\sigma_s \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_d)/\mathbb{Q})$ sends μ_d to μ_d^s , then

$$\sigma_s \left(\frac{a}{c} \right) = \frac{as^*}{c},$$

where s^* is such that $ss^* \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$.

- 3 Let L be the largest integer such that $L^2 | N$. Then all cusps are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_L)$.

Cusps of $X_0(N)$

- 1 A cusp a/c is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_d)$, where $d = (c, N/c)$ and μ_d denotes a primitive d -th root of unity.
- 2 If $\sigma_s \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_d)/\mathbb{Q})$ sends μ_d to μ_d^s , then

$$\sigma_s \left(\frac{a}{c} \right) = \frac{as^*}{c},$$

where s^* is such that $ss^* \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$.

- 3 Let L be the largest integer such that $L^2 | N$. Then all cusps are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_L)$.
- 4 In particular, if N is square-free (or more generally, if $N = 2^r M$ with $r \leq 3$ and M odd squarefree), then all cusps are rational.

A canonical model $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$

The modular curve $X_0(N)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ parametrizes (E, C) , where E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{C} and C is a cyclic subgroup of order N in $E(\mathbb{C})$.

A canonical model $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$

The modular curve $X_0(N)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ parametrizes (E, C) , where E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{C} and C is a cyclic subgroup of order N in $E(\mathbb{C})$.

There is a canonical model $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined over the rational field \mathbb{Q} such that

$$X_0(N) \cong X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$$

A canonical model $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$

The modular curve $X_0(N)_{/\mathbb{C}}$ parametrizes (E, C) , where E is an elliptic curve over \mathbb{C} and C is a cyclic subgroup of order N in $E(\mathbb{C})$.

There is a canonical model $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined over the rational field \mathbb{Q} such that

$$X_0(N) \cong X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$$

By abuse of notation, we also write $X_0(N)$ for $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The Jacobian $J_0(N)$ and the Mordell–Weil theorem

Let $J_0(N)$ denote the Jacobian of $X_0(N)$.

The Jacobian $J_0(N)$ and the Mordell–Weil theorem

Let $J_0(N)$ denote the Jacobian of $X_0(N)$.

$$J_0(N) = \text{Div}^0(X_0(N))/\text{PDiv}(X_0(N)),$$

which is an abelian variety defined over \mathbb{Q} .

- $\text{Div}^0(X_0(N))$: the group of degree zero divisors on $X_0(N)$.
- $\text{PDiv}(X_0(N))$: the group of principal divisors.

The Jacobian $J_0(N)$ and the Mordell–Weil theorem

Let $J_0(N)$ denote the Jacobian of $X_0(N)$.

$$J_0(N) = \text{Div}^0(X_0(N))/\text{PDiv}(X_0(N)),$$

which is an abelian variety defined over \mathbb{Q} .

- $\text{Div}^0(X_0(N))$: the group of degree zero divisors on $X_0(N)$.
- $\text{PDiv}(X_0(N))$: the group of principal divisors.

Theorem (Mordell–Weil)

The rational points $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})$ form a finitely generated abelian group. Therefore we have

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \oplus J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}},$$

where r is a non-negative integer and $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ is the torsion subgroup.

The rational cuspidal subgroup

The ultimate goal

We want to compute the torsion subgroup

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

The rational cuspidal subgroup

The ultimate goal

We want to compute the torsion subgroup

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

We call $D \in \text{Div}^0(X_0(N))$ a (degree 0) **cuspidal divisor** if D is supported only on the cusps of $X_0(N)$.

The rational cuspidal subgroup

The ultimate goal

We want to compute the torsion subgroup

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

We call $D \in \text{Div}^0(X_0(N))$ a (degree 0) **cuspidal divisor** if D is supported only on the cusps of $X_0(N)$.

- \mathcal{C}_N (called the cuspidal subgroup) is the subgroup of $J_0(N)$ generated by the cuspidal divisors.
- We call $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) := J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{C}_N$ the **rational cuspidal group**.

The rational cuspidal subgroup

The ultimate goal

We want to compute the torsion subgroup

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

We call $D \in \text{Div}^0(X_0(N))$ a (degree 0) **cuspidal divisor** if D is supported only on the cusps of $X_0(N)$.

- \mathcal{C}_N (called the cuspidal subgroup) is the subgroup of $J_0(N)$ generated by the cuspidal divisors.
- We call $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) := J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{C}_N$ the **rational cuspidal group**.

Generalized Ogg conjecture

Let N be a positive integer. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Generalized Ogg conjecture

Generalized Ogg conjecture

Let N be a positive integer. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Generalized Ogg conjecture

Generalized Ogg conjecture

Let N be a positive integer. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Theorem (Manin–Drinfeld)

$$\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Generalized Ogg conjecture

Generalized Ogg conjecture

Let N be a positive integer. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Theorem (Manin–Drinfeld)

$$\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Theorem (Mazur)

If N is a prime, then

$$\langle [0 - \infty] \rangle = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

Mazur's theorem above was previously referred to as the Ogg conjecture.

Mazur's torsion theorem

In the course of proving the Ogg conjecture, Mazur was able to get his celebrated torsion theorem:

Theorem (Mazur)

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{Q} . Then the torsion points $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ must be (isomorphic to) one of the following:

$$\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \quad 1 \leq N \leq 10 \text{ or } N = 12$$

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(2N)\mathbb{Z} \quad 1 \leq N \leq 4.$$

Moreover, each of these groups occurs infinitely many times.

Known results for Generalized Ogg conjecture

- (Lorenzini, 1995) If $p \not\equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ is a prime and $r \geq 2$, then

$$J_0(p^r)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2p)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2p)}.$$

Known results for Generalized Ogg conjecture

- (Lorenzini, 1995) If $p \not\equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ is a prime and $r \geq 2$, then

$$J_0(p^r)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2p)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2p)}.$$

- (Ohta, 2014) If N is squarefree, then

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2n)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2n)},$$

where $n = (3, N)$.

Known results for Generalized Ogg conjecture

- (Lorenzini, 1995) If $p \not\equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ is a prime and $r \geq 2$, then

$$J_0(p^r)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2p)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2p)}.$$

- (Ohta, 2014) If N is squarefree, then

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2n)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2n)},$$

where $n = (3, N)$.

- (Yoo, 2020) For any positive integer N , we have

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2n)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2n)},$$

where n is the largest perfect square dividing $3N$.

Known results for Generalized Ogg conjecture

- (Lorenzini, 1995) If $p \not\equiv 11 \pmod{12}$ is a prime and $r \geq 2$, then

$$J_0(p^r)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2p)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2p)}.$$

- (Ohta, 2014) If N is squarefree, then

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2n)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2n)},$$

where $n = (3, N)$.

- (Yoo, 2020) For any positive integer N , we have

$$J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}^{(2n)} = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})^{(2n)},$$

where n is the largest perfect square dividing $3N$.

- Some other results by Ling, Ren, Ligozat, Poulakis, Ozman–Siksek, Box, and so on.

Comparison of two cuspidal subgroups

The rational cuspidal divisor class group

Recall

- \mathcal{C}_N is the subgroup of $J_0(N)$ generated by the cuspidal divisors.
- $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{C}_N$ is the rational cuspidal group.

The rational cuspidal divisor class group

Recall

- \mathcal{C}_N is the subgroup of $J_0(N)$ generated by the cuspidal divisors.
- $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{C}_N$ is the rational cuspidal group.

We define a “possibly” smaller subgroup

$$\mathcal{C}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}),$$

which is generated by (the image of) rational cuspidal divisors.

The rational cuspidal divisor class group

Recall

- \mathcal{C}_N is the subgroup of $J_0(N)$ generated by the cuspidal divisors.
- $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{C}_N$ is the rational cuspidal group.

We define a “possibly” smaller subgroup

$$\mathcal{C}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}),$$

which is generated by (the image of) rational cuspidal divisors.

We call $\mathcal{C}(N)$ the **rational cuspidal divisor class group**.

The rational cuspidal divisor class group

Recall

- \mathcal{C}_N is the subgroup of $J_0(N)$ generated by the cuspidal divisors.
- $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathcal{C}_N$ is the rational cuspidal group.

We define a “possibly” smaller subgroup

$$\mathcal{C}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}),$$

which is generated by (the image of) rational cuspidal divisors.

We call $\mathcal{C}(N)$ the **rational cuspidal divisor class group**.

$\mathcal{C}(N)$ vs $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})$

Let $[D]$ be the image of D in the map $\text{Div}_{\text{cusp}}^0(X_0(N)) \rightarrow J_0(N)$.

- $\mathcal{C}(N) = \{[D] : D^\sigma = D \text{ for every } \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$.
- $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) = \{[D] : D^\sigma = D + \text{div}(f_\sigma) \text{ for some } f_\sigma \text{ for every } \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$.

The Ribet–Yoo conjecture

Ribet–Yoo Conjecture

For every positive integer N , we have

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The Ribet–Yoo conjecture

Ribet–Yoo Conjecture

For every positive integer N , we have

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Remark

① We have

$$\mathcal{C}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

The Ribet–Yoo conjecture

Ribet–Yoo Conjecture

For every positive integer N , we have

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Remark

- 1 We have

$$\mathcal{C}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}.$$

- 2 All known results toward the Generalized Ogg Conjecture showed the “stronger” equality

$$\mathcal{C}(N)[\ell^\infty] = J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}[\ell^\infty].$$

The group $\mathcal{C}(N)$

The group $\mathcal{C}(N)$ is very explicit to study.

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \left\langle \left[\sum_{\substack{(a,c)=1 \\ 0 < a < c}} \frac{a}{c} \right] : c|N \right\rangle.$$

The group $\mathcal{C}(N)$

The group $\mathcal{C}(N)$ is very explicit to study.

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \left\langle \left[\sum_{\substack{(a,c)=1 \\ 0 < a < c}} \frac{a}{c} \right] : c|N \right\rangle.$$

Also the structure of $\mathcal{C}(N)$ is known by the work of Hwajong Yoo.

Theorem (Yoo, 2019)

For every prime ℓ , there are rational cuspidal divisors $Z_\ell(d)$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}(N)[\ell^\infty] \cong \bigoplus_{1 < d|N} \langle [Z_\ell(d)] \rangle,$$

where the order of $[Z_\ell(d)]$ can be computed.

Known results for the Ribet–Yoo conjecture

Since we know the structure of $\mathcal{C}(N)$ well, it is desirable to prove Ribet–Yoo conjecture as it can be potentially helpful in proving the Generalized Ogg conjecture.

Known results for the Ribet–Yoo conjecture

Since we know the structure of $\mathcal{C}(N)$ well, it is desirable to prove Ribet–Yoo conjecture as it can be potentially helpful in proving the Generalized Ogg conjecture.

There are very few known cases for the Ribet–Yoo conjecture:

Known results for the Ribet–Yoo conjecture

Since we know the structure of $\mathcal{C}(N)$ well, it is desirable to prove Ribet–Yoo conjecture as it can be potentially helpful in proving the Generalized Ogg conjecture.

There are very few known cases for the Ribet–Yoo conjecture:

- Suppose that N is squarefree (or more generally, $N = 2^r M$ with $r \leq 3$ and M odd squarefree). Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}),$$

for a trivial reason.

Known results for the Ribet–Yoo conjecture

Since we know the structure of $\mathcal{C}(N)$ well, it is desirable to prove Ribet–Yoo conjecture as it can be potentially helpful in proving the Generalized Ogg conjecture.

There are very few known cases for the Ribet–Yoo conjecture:

- Suppose that N is squarefree (or more generally, $N = 2^r M$ with $r \leq 3$ and M odd squarefree). Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}),$$

for a trivial reason.

- (Wang–Yang, 2020) Let $N = n^2 M$ for $n|24$ and M squarefree. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The main results

Theorem (Guo–Yang–Yoo–Y. (2021))

Let p be an arbitrary prime. $N = p^2 M$ or $p^3 M$, where M is squarefree such that $(p, M) = 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The main results

Theorem (Guo–Yang–Yoo–Y. (2021))

Let p be an arbitrary prime. $N = p^2 M$ or $p^3 M$, where M is squarefree such that $(p, M) = 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Theorem (Yoo–Y. (2021+))

Let p, q be odd prime numbers. Let M be a squarefree integer. Let $N = p^r M$ or $N = p^r q^s M$ for non-negative integer r, s . Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Explicit conditions for modular units

Motivation for explicit conditions for modular units

Our Goal

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Our Goal

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Let $[D] \in \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})$. By definition, for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, there exists a **modular unit** f_σ such that

$$D^\sigma - D = \text{div}(f_\sigma).$$

Our Goal

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Let $[D] \in \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})$. By definition, for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, there exists a **modular unit** f_σ such that

$$D^\sigma - D = \text{div}(f_\sigma).$$

A modular unit on $X_0(N)$ is a meromorphic function on $X_0(N)$ whose divisor is supported only on cusps.

Our Goal

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Let $[D] \in \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})$. By definition, for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, there exists a **modular unit** f_σ such that

$$D^\sigma - D = \text{div}(f_\sigma).$$

A modular unit on $X_0(N)$ is a meromorphic function on $X_0(N)$ whose divisor is supported only on cusps.

To derive the rationality of “ D ”, it is helpful to have an explicit condition to be a modular unit.

Generalized Dedekind eta function (quotient)

$$E_{g,h}(\tau) := q^{B_2(g/N)/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \zeta_N^h q^{n-1+g/N}\right) \left(1 - \zeta_N^{-h} q^{n-g/N}\right),$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + 1/6$.

Generalized Dedekind eta function (quotient)

$$E_{g,h}(\tau) := q^{B_2(g/N)/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \zeta_N^h q^{n-1+g/N}\right) \left(1 - \zeta_N^{-h} q^{n-g/N}\right),$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + 1/6$.

Transformation properties of $E_{g,h}$

$$E_{g+N,h} = E_{-g,-h} = -\zeta_N^{-h} E_{g,h}, \quad E_{g,h+N} = E_{g,h}.$$

Moreover, let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for $c = 0$, we have

$$E_{g,h}(\tau + b) = e^{\pi i b B_2(g/N)} E_{g,bg+h}(\tau),$$

and for $c \neq 0$,

$$E_{g,h}(\gamma\tau) = \epsilon_\gamma e^{\pi i \delta} E_{ag+ch,bg+dh}(\tau),$$

for $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$ and some root of unity ϵ_γ

Generalized Dedekind eta function (quotient)

$$E_{g,h}(\tau) := q^{B_2(g/N)/2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \zeta_N^h q^{n-1+g/N}\right) \left(1 - \zeta_N^{-h} q^{n-g/N}\right),$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $B_2(x) = x^2 - x + 1/6$.

Transformation properties of $E_{g,h}$

$$E_{g+N,h} = E_{-g,-h} = -\zeta_N^{-h} E_{g,h}, \quad E_{g,h+N} = E_{g,h}.$$

Moreover, let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then for $c = 0$, we have

$$E_{g,h}(\tau + b) = e^{\pi i b B_2(g/N)} E_{g,bg+h}(\tau),$$

and for $c \neq 0$,

$$E_{g,h}(\gamma\tau) = \epsilon_\gamma e^{\pi i \delta} E_{ag+ch,bg+dh}(\tau),$$

for $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$ and some root of unity ϵ_γ

Construction of modular units

- $\mathcal{D}_N := \{m|N : m \neq N\}$.

Construction of modular units

- $\mathcal{D}_N := \{m \mid N : m \neq N\}$.

For each $m \in \mathcal{D}_N$, we fix a set $S_{m''} \subset \{1, \dots, m'' - 1\}$ of representatives of $(\mathbb{Z}/m''\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$. For each $\alpha \in S_{m''}$, let $\delta \in \{1, \dots, m'' - 1\}$ be an integer such that $\alpha\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{m''}$. If $m'' \neq 2$, we set

$$F_{m,h}(\tau) := \prod_{\alpha \in S_{m''}} E_{\alpha m \ell, \delta h N'}(N' \tau).$$

Construction of modular units

- $\mathcal{D}_N := \{m|N : m \neq N\}$.

For each $m \in \mathcal{D}_N$, we fix a set $S_{m''} \subset \{1, \dots, m'' - 1\}$ of representatives of $(\mathbb{Z}/m''\mathbb{Z})^\times / \{\pm 1\}$. For each $\alpha \in S_{m''}$, let $\delta \in \{1, \dots, m'' - 1\}$ be an integer such that $\alpha\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{m''}$. If $m'' \neq 2$, we set

$$F_{m,h}(\tau) := \prod_{\alpha \in S_{m''}} E_{\alpha m \ell, \delta h N'}(N' \tau).$$

Then for $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$, we have

$$F_{m,h}(\gamma\tau) = \epsilon(\gamma, m, h) F_{m,h}(\tau),$$

for a $\text{lcm}(2m'', 24)$ -th root unity $\epsilon(\gamma, m, h)$. Therefore, $F_{m,h}$ is “almost” a modular unit on $X_0(N)$.

More notation

- $\mathcal{D}_N = \{m|N : m \neq N \text{ and } m > 0\}$.
- $m \in \mathcal{D}_N$.
- $\ell(m)$: the largest integer such that $\ell(m)^2 | \frac{N}{m}$.
- $L = \ell(1)$: the largest integer such that $L^2 | N$.

More notation

- $\mathcal{D}_N = \{m|N : m \neq N \text{ and } m > 0\}$.
- $m \in \mathcal{D}_N$.
- $\ell(m)$: the largest integer such that $\ell(m)^2 | \frac{N}{m}$.
- $L = \ell(1)$: the largest integer such that $L^2 | N$.

We have

$$F_{m,h} = \epsilon F_{m,h+\ell(m)},$$

for a root of unity ϵ .

More notation

- $\mathcal{D}_N = \{m|N : m \neq N \text{ and } m > 0\}$.
- $m \in \mathcal{D}_N$.
- $\ell(m)$: the largest integer such that $\ell(m)^2 | \frac{N}{m}$.
- $L = \ell(1)$: the largest integer such that $L^2 | N$.

We have

$$F_{m,h} = \epsilon F_{m,h+\ell(m)},$$

for a root of unity ϵ .

So it suffices to consider $0 \leq h \leq \ell(m) - 1$ ($m \in \mathcal{D}_N$) to construct a modular unit with $F_{m,h}$.

Various expressions for modular units on $X_0(N)$

Theorem

Every modular unit on $X_0(N)$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$\epsilon \prod_{m \in \mathcal{D}_N} \prod_{h=0}^{\phi(\ell(m))-1} F_{m,h}^{e_{m,h}} \quad \text{for some } e_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Various expressions for modular units on $X_0(N)$

Theorem

Every modular unit on $X_0(N)$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$\epsilon \prod_{m \in \mathcal{D}_N} \prod_{h=0}^{\phi(\ell(m))-1} F_{m,h}^{e_{m,h}} \quad \text{for some } e_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Theorem

Every modular unit on $X_0(N)$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$\epsilon \prod_{m \in \mathcal{D}_N} \prod_{h=1}^{\phi(\ell(m))} F_{m,h}^{e_{m,h}} \quad \text{for some } e_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Sketch of proof

Let

- $\mathcal{I}_N := \{(m, h) : m \in \mathcal{D}_N \text{ and } 0 \leq h \leq \phi(\ell(m)) - 1\}$.
- $\mathcal{U}_N =$ the (multiplicative) group of modular units on $X_0(N)$.
- $\mathcal{U}_N^0 =$ subgroup of \mathcal{U}_N , which consists of the product of $F_{m,h}$,

Sketch of proof

Let

- $\mathcal{I}_N := \{(m, h) : m \in \mathcal{D}_N \text{ and } 0 \leq h \leq \phi(\ell(m)) - 1\}$.
- \mathcal{U}_N = the (multiplicative) group of modular units on $X_0(N)$.
- \mathcal{U}_N^0 = subgroup of \mathcal{U}_N , which consists of the product of $F_{m,h}$,

Sketch of proof

- 1 The cardinality of the set \mathcal{I}_N is equal to the rank of \mathcal{U}_N , i.e., the number of cusps of $X_0(N)$ minus one.

Sketch of proof

Let

- $\mathcal{I}_N := \{(m, h) : m \in \mathcal{D}_N \text{ and } 0 \leq h \leq \phi(\ell(m)) - 1\}$.
- $\mathcal{U}_N =$ the (multiplicative) group of modular units on $X_0(N)$.
- $\mathcal{U}_N^0 =$ subgroup of \mathcal{U}_N , which consists of the product of $F_{m,h}$,

Sketch of proof

- 1 The cardinality of the set \mathcal{I}_N is equal to the rank of \mathcal{U}_N , i.e., the number of cusps of $X_0(N)$ minus one.
- 2 There are no multiplicative relations among $F_{m,h}$ with $(m, h) \in \mathcal{I}_N$.
(related fact : $\zeta_{\ell(m)}^0, \zeta_{\ell(m)}^1, \dots, \zeta_{\ell(m)}^{\phi(\ell(m))-1}$ are linearly independent)

Sketch of proof

Let

- $\mathcal{I}_N := \{(m, h) : m \in \mathcal{D}_N \text{ and } 0 \leq h \leq \phi(\ell(m)) - 1\}$.
- \mathcal{U}_N = the (multiplicative) group of modular units on $X_0(N)$.
- \mathcal{U}_N^0 = subgroup of \mathcal{U}_N , which consists of the product of $F_{m,h}$,

Sketch of proof

- 1 The cardinality of the set \mathcal{I}_N is equal to the rank of \mathcal{U}_N , i.e., the number of cusps of $X_0(N)$ minus one.
- 2 There are no multiplicative relations among $F_{m,h}$ with $(m, h) \in \mathcal{I}_N$.
(related fact : $\zeta_{\ell(m)}^0, \zeta_{\ell(m)}^1, \dots, \zeta_{\ell(m)}^{\phi(\ell(m))-1}$ are linearly independent)
- 3 \mathcal{U}_N^0 has the same rank as \mathcal{U}_N .

Sketch of proof

Let

- $\mathcal{I}_N := \{(m, h) : m \in \mathcal{D}_N \text{ and } 0 \leq h \leq \phi(\ell(m)) - 1\}$.
- $\mathcal{U}_N =$ the (multiplicative) group of modular units on $X_0(N)$.
- $\mathcal{U}_N^0 =$ subgroup of \mathcal{U}_N , which consists of the product of $F_{m,h}$,

Sketch of proof

- 1 The cardinality of the set \mathcal{I}_N is equal to the rank of \mathcal{U}_N , i.e., the number of cusps of $X_0(N)$ minus one.
- 2 There are no multiplicative relations among $F_{m,h}$ with $(m, h) \in \mathcal{I}_N$.
(related fact : $\zeta_{\ell(m)}^0, \zeta_{\ell(m)}^1, \dots, \zeta_{\ell(m)}^{\phi(\ell(m))-1}$ are linearly independent)
- 3 \mathcal{U}_N^0 has the same rank as \mathcal{U}_N .
- 4 If $g \in \mathcal{U}_N$ and $g^k \in \mathcal{U}_N^0$, then $g \in \mathcal{U}_N^0$.

Theorem

Let

$$f = \prod_{m|N, m \neq N} \prod_{h=0}^{\ell(m)-1} F_{m,h}^{e_{m,h}} \quad \text{for some } e_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then f^L is a modular unit if the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1 The order of f at ∞ is an integer.
- 2 The order of f at 0 is an integer.
- 3 The order of f at $1/N_0$ is an integer (if $N_0 := N/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$)
- 4 $\sum_{m:m''=p^r} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell(m)-1} e_{m,h} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Criterion for a modular unit

Corollary

Let $n = (3, L)$. Then

$$\prod_{m|N, m \neq N} \prod_{h=1}^{\ell(m)-1} \left(\frac{F_{m,h}}{F_{m,0}} \right)^{nLa_{m,h}} \quad \text{for } a_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

is a modular unit.

Criterion for a modular unit

Corollary

Let $n = (3, L)$. Then

$$\prod_{m|N, m \neq N} \prod_{h=1}^{\ell(m)-1} \left(\frac{F_{m,h}}{F_{m,0}} \right)^{nLa_{m,h}} \quad \text{for } a_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

is a modular unit.

Lemma

Let $m \in \mathcal{D}_N$. Then for an integer h , the order of $F_{m,h}$ at a cusp $\frac{a}{c}$ of $X_0(N)$ is

$$\frac{\ell(N', c)^2}{4c(c, N/c)} \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/m'\mathbb{Z})^\times} P_2 \left(\frac{\alpha a'}{m''} + \frac{\delta hc'}{\ell} \right),$$

where $P_2(x) = B_2(\{x\})$ is the second Bernoulli function, $a' = \frac{N'a}{(N',c)}$ and $c' = \frac{c}{(N',c)}$.

Main theorems

The main result

Theorem (Guo–Yang–Yoo–Y. (2021))

Let p be an arbitrary prime. Let $N = p^2M$ or p^3M , where M is squarefree such that $(p, M) = 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

The main result

Theorem (Guo–Yang–Yoo–Y. (2021))

Let p be an arbitrary prime. Let $N = p^2M$ or p^3M , where M is squarefree such that $(p, M) = 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Note the following two statements are equivalent.

- 1 $\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})$.
- 2 $\mathcal{C}(N)[q^\infty] = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})[q^\infty]$ for any prime q .

The main result

Theorem (Guo–Yang–Yoo–Y. (2021))

Let p be an arbitrary prime. Let $N = p^2M$ or p^3M , where M is squarefree such that $(p, M) = 1$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Note the following two statements are equivalent.

- 1 $\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})$.
- 2 $\mathcal{C}(N)[q^\infty] = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})[q^\infty]$ for any prime q .

Let D be a cuspidal divisor of degree 0 such that $[D] \in \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})[q^\infty]$. It is enough to show that $[D] \in \mathcal{C}(N)$, or equivalent, there exists a rational cuspidal divisor D' such that $[D] = [D']$.

Case (i): $q \nmid \phi(L)$

Suppose that $[D] \in \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})[q^\infty]$ has order q^r in $J_0(N)$. Let

$$D' := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_L)/\mathbb{Q})} \sigma(D).$$

Then by definition, we have

$$\phi(L)[D] = [D'] \quad \text{and} \quad [D'] \in \mathcal{C}(N).$$

There exist $a, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(k, q) = 1$ and

$$[D] = (1 + aq^r)[D] = k\phi(L)[D] = k[D'].$$

Therefore it follows that

$$[D] \in \mathcal{C}(N).$$

Case (ii): $q \mid \phi(L)$

Recall that $[D] \in \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})[q^\infty]$ has order q^r in $J_0(N)$. Then $q^r D = \text{div}(f)$ for some modular unit f . Then

①

$$f = \prod_{m \in \mathcal{D}_N} \prod_{h=0}^{\phi(\ell(m))-1} F_{m,h}^{e_{m,h}} \quad \text{for some } e_{m,h} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

② $q^r \mid e_{m,h}$ if $h \neq 0$.

③ The product

$$g = \prod_{m \in \mathcal{D}_N^1} \prod_{h=0}^{p-2} \left(\frac{F_{m,h}}{F_{m,0}} \right)^{npq^{-r} e_{m,h}},$$

where $n = (3, p)$, is a modular unit.

Put $D' = npD - \text{div}(g)$. Then D' is a rational cuspidal divisor. It turns out that there exists k coprime to q such that

$$[D] = k[D'].$$

Theorem (Yoo–Y.2021+)

Let $N = p^r M$ or $N = p^r q^s M$, where p, q are odd primes and M is squarefree. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Theorem (Yoo–Y.2021+)

Let $N = p^r M$ or $N = p^r q^s M$, where p, q are odd primes and M is squarefree. Then

$$C(N) = C_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

To use the same strategy as in the previous work, we need more explicit criterion for modular units on $X_0(N)$.

The modular unit criterion

Theorem (Yoo–Y.)

Suppose that L is odd (Recall $L = \max(n : n^2 | N)$). Let

$$f = \prod_{m|N, m \neq N} \prod_{h=0}^{\ell(m)-1} F_{m,h}^{k(m,h)} \quad \text{for } k(m,h) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then f is a modular unit on $X_0(N)$ if and only if the following hold.

- 1 The order of f at a cusp ∞ is an integer.
- 2 The order of f at a cusp 0 is an integer.
- 3 The order of f at a cusp $1/N_0$ is an integer.
- 4 (the mod L conditions)

$$\sum_{m|N, m \neq N} \psi_i(m) \sum_{h=1}^{\ell(m)-1} hk(m,h) \equiv 0 \pmod{L}.$$

- 5 (the mod 2 condition) $\sum_{m:m''=p^r} \sum_{h=0}^{\ell(m)-1} k(m,h) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$

Conjecture A

Conjecture A

Let $[D] \in \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q})$, Suppose that the order of $[D]$ is n , so there is a modular unit

$$f = \prod_{m|N, m \neq N} \prod_{h=0}^{\phi(\ell(m))-1} F_{m,h}^{e(m,h)}$$

such that $\text{div}(f) = nD$. Then $e(m, h)$ is divisible by n when $h \neq 0$.

It turns out that (when L is odd)

Conjecture A \implies Ribet–Yoo conjecture.

Conjecture A \implies Ribet–Yoo conjecture

Let $g(m, h) := \frac{1}{n}e(m, h) \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $h \neq 0$ and $g(m, 0) := 0$. We define

$$G = \begin{cases} \prod_{m \in \mathcal{D}_N^1} \prod_{h=0}^{\ell(m)-1} \left(\frac{F_{m,h}}{F_{m,0}} \right)^{g(m,h)} & \text{if } 3 \nmid L \\ F_{N/3,0}^{16a} \prod_{m \in \mathcal{D}_N^1} \prod_{h=0}^{\ell(m)-1} \left(\frac{F_{m,h}}{F_{m,0}} \right)^{g(m,h)} & \text{if } 3 \mid L \end{cases}$$

One can check G is a modular unit by the previous theorem. Put $D' = D - \text{div}(G)$. Then

$$nD' = \sum \text{div}(F_{m,0}^{r(m)}),$$

for some $r(m) \in \mathbb{Z}$, which means D' is a rational cuspidal divisor. Therefore, $[D] = [D'] \in \mathcal{C}(N)$.

Theorem

Conjecture A is true if $N = p^r M$ or $N = p^r q^s M$, where p, q are primes and M is squarefree.

Theorem

Conjecture A is true if $N = p^r M$ or $N = p^r q^s M$, where p, q are primes and M is squarefree.

Corollary (Yoo–Y. 2021+)

Let p, q are odd primes and let M be squarefree. Let $N = p^r M$ or $N = p^r q^s M$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}(N) = \mathcal{C}_N(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Thank you very much for your attention!