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ABSTRACT

We shall continue the problem of spectrum of function of the N.Wiener class $S$ after the preceding section 14 in this research report V and we shall prove that in the Theorem E, we need not always the hypothesis $(D;^\alpha)$ and present it as the Theorem $E^\alpha$. We shall also treat the same problems as for Generalized Hilbert Transforms.

15 The Spectral Analysis and Synthesis on the N.Wiener class $S$.

We shall explain these circumstances for the sake of completeness as follows

15.1 Let us suppose that for function $f$ of the class $S_0$, there exist the following limit

\[ (C_\lambda) \quad \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x)e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx = c_\lambda \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda). \]

Then we have by the one-sided Wiener formula

\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x)e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \{ s(u+\varepsilon, f) - s(u-\varepsilon, f) \} \, du \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda), \]

We shall begin to define the class $S_0$ after Prof. N.Wiener [1].

Definition of the class $S_0$. In case $f$ is measurable over $(-\infty, \infty)$ and integrable of its square modulus locally and exist the following limit
we shall say that \( f \) belongs to the class \( S_0 \).

Let us introduce the generalized Fourier transforms (G.F.T.) after Prof. N. Wiener

\[
s(u, f) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} f(x) e^{-inx} dx + \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} f(x) e^{-inx} dx.
\]

Then we have

\[
s(u+\varepsilon, f) - s(u-\varepsilon, f) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} f(x) \frac{2\sin x}{x} e^{-inx} dx
\]

and

\[
\int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon, f) - s(u-\varepsilon, f)\} du = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} f(x) \frac{2\sin x}{x} e^{-inx} dx.
\]

Let us define the following formulas

\[
F_A(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-A}^{A} f(x) \frac{2\sin x}{x} e^{-inx} dx
\]

and

\[
F(u) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-A}^{A} f(x) \frac{2\sin x}{x} e^{-inx} dx
\]

respectively, then we have by the Plancherel theorem

\[
\| F_A(u) - F(u) \|_2 \to 0 \quad (A \to \infty). \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)
\]

Since the strong convergence implies the weak convergence, we have

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_A(u) \chi_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(u) du \to \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) \chi_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(u) du \quad (A \to \infty), \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)
\]

where the \( \chi_{\lambda,\varepsilon}(u) \) denotes the characteristic function of interval \( (\lambda-\varepsilon, \lambda+\varepsilon) \) and this formula is written as follows

\[
\int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} F_A(u) du \to \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} F(u) du \quad (A \to \infty), \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda).
\]

Let us remark that this formula is also proved by the Schwartz inequality directly.

Now we have by the theorem of Fubini

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \frac{2\sin x}{x} e^{-inx} dx \right) du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \frac{2\sin x}{x} \left( \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} e^{-inx} du \right) dx
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-A}^{A} \left( f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \right) \left( \frac{2 \sin \varepsilon x}{x} \right)^2 \, dx \to \frac{4}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \right) \frac{\sin^2 \varepsilon x}{x^2} \, dx
\]

\((A \to \infty), \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)\).

Therefore we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon,f)-s(u-\varepsilon,f)\} \, du = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \right) \frac{\sin^2 \varepsilon x}{x^2} \, dx.
\]

The one-sided Wiener formula: Let us suppose that \( f(x) \) is measurable and integrable locally and \( \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^{T} |f(x)| \, dx \) is bounded as \( T \to \infty \). Then we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \right) \frac{\sin^2 \varepsilon x}{x^2} \, dx
\]
in the sense that if the limit of left hand side exist then the limit of right hand side also exist and their limiting values are equal.

Let us remark that if \( f(x) \) belongs to the class \( S_0 \), then the presupposed conditions of the one-sided Wiener formula are all satisfied. Then applying the one-sided Wiener formula we have

\[
c_{\lambda} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi} \varepsilon} \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon,f)-s(u-\varepsilon,f)\} \, du
\]

\((\forall \text{real } \lambda)\).

15.2 On the Lemma \( E \).

We have

Lemma \( E \): Let us suppose that \( f(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \) and satisfies the hypothesis \((C_\lambda)\) \((\forall \text{real } \lambda)\). Then we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon,f)-s(u-\varepsilon,f)\} \, du = \frac{\sigma(\lambda+0,\varphi)-\sigma(\lambda-0,\varphi)}{2\pi}
\]

\((\forall \text{real } \lambda)\).

Proof. Let us define \( \varphi(x) \) as auto-correlation function of \( f(x) \)

\[
\varphi(x) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x+t)f(t) \, dt
\]

and \( \sigma(u) = \sigma(u,\varphi) \) as its G.F.T. Then applying just the same argument as Lemma \( E \)(c.f. ibid.Vp.125) we have the above formula

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon,f)-s(u-\varepsilon,f)\} \, du = \frac{\sigma(\lambda+0,\varphi)-\sigma(\lambda-0,\varphi)}{2\pi}
\]
15.3 On the Theorem E.

We have

Theorem $E^*$. Let us suppose that $f(x)$ belongs to the class $S$ and satisfies $(C_\lambda)$ and $(R_\lambda)$. Then we have the same conclusions of the Theorem $E$ without the Hypothesis $(D_\lambda)$.

Proof. We shall prove Theorem $E^*$ step by step as follows.

Step (i) We have by the Schwartz inequality

$$
|c_\lambda|^2 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \left\{ s(u+\varepsilon, f) - s(u-\varepsilon, f) \right\} \, du
$$

$$
\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda-\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \left| s(u+\varepsilon, f) - s(u-\varepsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du = \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0, \varphi) - \sigma(u - 0, \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.
$$

Therefore we shall conclude that if $\sigma(u)$ is continuous at $u = \lambda$, then we have $c_\lambda = 0$.

Step (ii) Since $\sigma(u)$ is a bounded and monotone increasing function, there exists the set of at most countable points $\lambda$ and satisfies properties as follows.

Let us denote $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...)$ and $c_{\lambda_n} = c_n$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...)$ where $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $c_0 = 0$ may be permitted.

Then we have

(i) If $\lambda \notin \Lambda$, the we have

$$
\sigma(\lambda + 0, \varphi) - \sigma(u - 0, \varphi) = c_\lambda = 0.
$$

(ii) If $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...)$ Then we have

$$
|c_n|^2 \leq \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0, \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0, \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}
$$

and

$$
\sum_n |c_n|^2 \leq \sum_n \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0, \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0, \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \leq \frac{\sigma(\infty, \varphi) - \sigma(0, \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} < \infty.
$$

Then there exists the $B_\Lambda$-almost periodic function $g(x)$ of which Fourier series is as follows

$$
g(x) \sim \sum_n c_n e^{i\lambda_n x}.
$$

By the hypothesis $(C_\lambda)$, we have
\[ \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} f(x) e^{-i\lambda t} \, dx = \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} g(x) e^{-i\lambda t} \, dx \quad (\forall \text{ real } \lambda). \]

(c.f. V ibid. p.129).

Step (iii) Then if we put \( f(x) - g(x) = h(x) \) say. Then we shall prove that the function \( h(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \). Since \( f(x) \) and \( g(x) \) both belong to the class \( S \) and we have
\[
\lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} h(x + t) h(t) \, dt = \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} \{ f(x + t) - g(x + t) \} \{ f(t) - g(t) \} \, dt
\]
\[
= \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} f(x + t) f(t) \, dt - \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} g(x + t) f(t) \, dt + \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} g(x + t) g(t) \, dt
\]
and we have also
\[
\lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} f(x + t) g(t) \, dt = \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} g(x + t) f(t) \, dt = \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} g(x + t) g(t) \, dt
\]
(c.f. IV ibid. pp.105~108).

Therefore we have
\[
\lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} h(x + t) h(t) \, dt = \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} f(x + t) f(t) \, dt - \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} g(x + t) f(t) \, dt + \lim_{{t \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2T}} \int_{{-T}}^{T} g(x + t) g(t) \, dt
\]
Thus we shall prove that \( h(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \).

Step (iv) We shall consider auto-correlation functions \( \varphi(x; f), \psi(x; g) \) and \( \chi(x; h) \) of \( f, g, h \); their G.F.T. \( \sigma(u; \varphi), \sigma(u; \psi) \) and \( \sigma(u; \chi) \) of \( \varphi, \psi, \chi \) respectively.

Then we shall prove
\[
\varphi(x; f) = \psi(x; g) + \chi(x; h) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(u; \varphi) = \sigma(u; \psi) + \sigma(u; \chi)
\]
respectively.

Step (v) Since \( \sigma(u; \varphi) \) is continuous on the set \( \Lambda \) and discontinuous of the first kind with jump on the set \( \Lambda \), we have as for \( \lambda = \lambda_0, (n = 0, 1, 2, 3,...) \)
\[
|c_n|^2 \leq \frac{\sigma(\lambda_0 + 0; \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda_0 - 0; \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \lim_{{n \to \pm \infty}} \frac{1}{{2\pi \sqrt{2\pi} \lambda_0}} \int_{{-\infty}}^{\pm \infty} \{ \sigma(u + \epsilon; \varphi) - \sigma(u - \epsilon; \varphi) \} \, du
\]
On the other hand, since \( \sigma(u; \psi) \) is G.F.T. of \( \psi(x; g) \) and \( \psi(x; g) \) is the auto-correlation function of \( B_2 \)-almost periodic function \( g(x) \), we have
\[
\sigma(u; \psi) = \begin{cases} 
\sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{{n \neq 0}} |c_n|^2 & (u \neq \lambda_n) \\
\sqrt{2\pi} \left( \sum_{{n \neq 0}} |c_n|^2 + \frac{1}{{2}} |c_0|^2 \right) & (u = \lambda_n)
\end{cases}
\]
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and so we have
\[ |c_n|^2 = \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0, \psi) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0, \psi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \]
on the set \( A \) and
\[ c_n = \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0, \psi) - \sigma(\lambda - 0, \psi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = 0 \]
on the set \( A' \).
Step (vi) Therefore we have proved that \( \sigma(u; \chi) \) is the difference of two bounded, monotone increasing function and so function of bounded variation. The \( \sigma(u; \chi) \) is G.F.T. of \( \chi(x; h) \) and \( \chi(x; h) \) is the auto-correlation function of \( h(x) \), we could apply the N.Wiener Theorem[1] (Theorem 24, pp. 146-149) and we have
\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\chi(x; h)|^2 dx = \sum_{n} \left\{ \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0, \psi) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0, \psi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_n|^2 \right\}^2 \]
In particular, if the \( \sigma(u, \varphi) \) is continuous everywhere then it is satisfied
\[ \sigma(\lambda + 0, \varphi) - \sigma(u - 0, \varphi) = c_\lambda = 0 \quad (\forall \text{ real } \lambda) \]
Therefore we have
\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\chi(x; h)|^2 dx = 0 \]
Moreover we could apply to the formula the N.Wiener Theorem[1] (Theorem 20, p.138) and we have
\[ \chi(x; h) = 0, \ a.e. \ x, \ \text{ and } \varphi(x; f) = \psi(x; g) \ a.e. \ x. \]
15.4 In the last of this section we shall prove
Theorem F. Let us suppose that \( f(x) \in S_0 \). Then the necessary and sufficient condition for the hypotheses \( (C_\lambda) \) are satisfied for all real \( \lambda \), is the following conditions
\[ f(x) + \omega e^{-i\lambda x} \in S_0 \quad (\omega = \pm 1, \pm i) \]
are satisfied for all real \( \lambda \).
Lemma F. We have the following formula
\[ s(u + \epsilon, e^{i\lambda x}) - s(u - \epsilon, e^{i\lambda x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} & (\lambda - \epsilon < u < \lambda + \epsilon) \\ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} & (u = \lambda \pm \epsilon) \\ 0 & (u < \lambda - \epsilon, \lambda + \epsilon < u) \end{cases} \]
Proof of the Lemma F. Let us start to calculations of the G.F.T. of $e^{ix}$. We have by the definition of G.F.T.

\[
s(u + \varepsilon, e^{i\lambda x}) - s(u - \varepsilon, e^{i\lambda x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{i\lambda x} e^{-i(u+\varepsilon)x} - e^{-i(u-\varepsilon)x} dx + l.i.m. \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left[ \int_{-1}^{1} e^{i\lambda x} e^{-i(u+\varepsilon)x} - e^{-i(u-\varepsilon)x} dx \right]
\]

\[
= P.V. \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i(u-\lambda+\varepsilon)x} - e^{-i(u-\lambda-\varepsilon)x} dx
\]

Therefore we have

\[
s(u + \varepsilon, e^{i\lambda x}) - s(u - \varepsilon, e^{i\lambda x}) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} \left\{ \text{sign}(u - \lambda + \varepsilon) - \text{sign}(u - \lambda - \varepsilon) \right\}
\]

\[
= P.V. \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(u - \lambda + \varepsilon)x}{x} dx - P.V. \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(u - \lambda - \varepsilon)x}{x} dx
\]

where we have

\[
P.V. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \eta x}{x} dx = (\text{sign} \eta) \pi
\]

and then we have

\[
s(u + \varepsilon, e^{i\lambda x}) - s(u - \varepsilon, e^{i\lambda x}) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} & (\lambda - \varepsilon < u < \lambda + \varepsilon) \\
0 & (u = \lambda \pm \varepsilon) \\
\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{2} & (u < \lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon < u).
\end{cases}
\]

Proof of Theorem F. (The necessity of condition): Let us suppose that $f(x)$ belongs to the class $S_0$ and satisfies the condition $(C_\lambda)$.

First of all, we shall remark the following identities

\[
| f(x) + \omega e^{-i\lambda x} |^2 = | f(x) |^2 + \text{Re} f(x) e^{i\lambda x} + \text{Re} f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} + | \omega |^2 (\omega = \pm 1, \pm i).
\]

Then applying the condition $(C_\lambda)$, the existence of limit of following formula
\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |f(x) + \omega e^{-i\lambda x}|^2 \, dx \quad (\omega = \pm 1, \pm i) \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda) \]

is guaranteed and therefore we have

\[ f(x) + \omega e^{-i\lambda x} \in S_0 \quad (\omega = \pm 1, \pm i) \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda). \]

(The sufficiency of condition): First of all, we shall remark also the identities

\[ f(x)e^{-i\lambda x} = f(x)_{\text{re}} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ |f(x)|^2 - |f(x) - e^{i\lambda x}|^2 + i\left|f(x) + ie^{i\lambda x}\right|^2 - i\left|f(x) - ie^{i\lambda x}\right|^2 \right\}. \]

Then applying the condition \( f(x) + \omega e^{-i\lambda x} \in S_0 \quad (\omega = \pm 1, \pm i) \), the existence of following limit

\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x)e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda) \]

is guaranteed and the condition \((C_4)\) is satisfied.

**Theorem F2** Let us suppose that \( f(x) \) belongs to the class \( S_0 \). Then the necessary and sufficient condition for existence of the following limit

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \, du \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda) \]

is the following condition

\[ f(x) + \omega e^{-i\lambda x} \in S_0 \quad (\omega = \pm 1, \pm i) \]

is satisfied for all real \( \lambda \).

**Proof.** The necessity of the condition is obtained by the expansion of the required formula as follows.

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \, du \]

\[ = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) + \omega(s(u + \varepsilon, e^{-i\lambda x}) - s(u - \varepsilon, e^{-i\lambda x})) \right\} \, du \]

\[ = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \, du \]

\[ -\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\partial}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, e^{-i\lambda x}) - s(u - \varepsilon, e^{-i\lambda x}) \right\} \, du \]
\[-\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\omega}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, e^{-i\lambda x}) - s(u - \varepsilon, e^{-i\lambda x}) \right\} du \]
\[+ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, e^{-i\lambda x}) - s(u - \varepsilon, e^{-i\lambda x}) \right|^2 du \]
\[= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 du + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\omega}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} du.
\]

The sufficiency of the condition is obtained by the expansion of the required formula

\[\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi \varepsilon}} \int_{-\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} du - \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\omega}{2\sqrt{2\pi \varepsilon}} \int_{-\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} du \]

Now we shall obtain the desired result by combining Theorems $F_1$ and $F_2$.

**Theorem $F_3$** Let us suppose that $f(x)$ belongs to the class $S_0$. Then the following formulas

\[\lim_{T \to 2\pi} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x)e^{-i\lambda x} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} du \]

are true for each $\lambda$ in the sense that either of the limit exists, then the other limit exists and assume the same value.

16. The Spectral Analysis and Synthesis of the G.H.T. $\tilde{f}_1(x)$

16.1 Remark (1). On the hypothesis $(R_1)$. Let us suppose that $f(x)$ belongs to the class $S$ and satisfies the condition $(C_2)$. Then
applying Lemma E*, we have for any constant \( a_\lambda \)

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\}^2 \, du
\]

\[
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\}^2 \, du - \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{2\pi |a_\lambda|^2}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \, du
\]

\[
- \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{2\pi |a_\lambda|^2}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \, du + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{2\pi |a_\lambda|^2}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \, du
\]

and we shall notice the following formulas

\[
c_\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} \, du \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)
\]

by the hypotheses \((C_\lambda)\). Then we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\}^2 \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0)}{2\pi} - c_\lambda \bar{a}_\lambda - \bar{c}_\lambda a_\lambda + |a_\lambda|^2
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0)}{2\pi} - |c_\lambda|^2 + |c_\lambda - a_\lambda|^2.
\]

and therefore the value of this formula attains to minimum if and only if \( a_\lambda = c_\lambda \) and we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\}^2 \, du = \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0)}{2\pi} - |c_\lambda|^2.
\]

and we have

\[
|c_\lambda|^2 \leq \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0)}{2\pi} \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda).
\]

Since \( \sigma(u) \) is bounded and monotone increasing function, there exists the set \( \Lambda \) of countable points \( \lambda = \lambda_n, (n = 0,1,2,...) \) at which \( \sigma(u) \) has jump and continuous elsewhere. Thus we have the following results.

(i) If \( \lambda \notin \Lambda \), then we have

\[
\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0) = c_\lambda = 0
\]

and
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left| s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du = 0.
\]

(ii) If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, that is $\lambda = \lambda_n$, $(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$, then we have
\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left| \left\{ s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) \right\} - \sqrt{2\pi} c_n \right|^2 \, du = \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \left| c_n \right|^2
\]

16.2 Remark (2). On the Hypothesis $\tilde{R}_3$

Let us introduce the generalized Hilbert transform
\[
\tilde{f}_1(x) = \text{P.V.} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{x - t} \, dt.
\]

On the case $\lambda = 0$. If $|u| \leq \epsilon$, we have by the Theorem A (c.f. ibid. I, p.4 , p.19) as for

G.F.T. of $\tilde{f}_1(x)$

\[
s(u + \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1)
\]

\[= i \left\{ s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) \right\} + 2r_1(u + \epsilon, f) + 2r_2(u + \epsilon, f)
\]

where it is satisfied that

\[
(R_1) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left| r_1(u + \epsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du = 0
\]

and we shall assume that there exist a constant $a(f)$ such as

\[
(R_2) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \left| r_2(u + \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} a(f) \right|^2 \, du = 0.
\]

Now let us suppose that $\tilde{f}_1(x)$ belongs to the class $S$ and the condition $(R_2)$ is satisfied. Then we have for any constant $\bar{a}_0 = ia_0 + a(f)$

\[
\left\{ s(u + \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - \sqrt{2\pi} \bar{a}_0 \right\}
\]

\[= i \left\{ s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi} a_0 \right\} + 2r_1(u + \epsilon, f) + 2 \left\{ r_2(u + \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} a(f) \right\}
\]

and we have by the Minkowski inequality
\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - \sqrt{2\pi \alpha} \right|^2 \right\} du \]

= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi \alpha} \right|^2 \right\} du

= \frac{\sigma(0+) - \sigma(0-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_0|^2 + |c_0 - \alpha|^2

Therefore the value of this integral attain to minimum if and only if \( \alpha = c_0 \), i.e. \( \tilde{a}_0 = \tilde{c}_0 \), and \( \tilde{c}_0 = ic_0 + a(f) \) and we have

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - \sqrt{2\pi \tilde{c}} \right|^2 \right\} du \]

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi \tilde{c}} \right|^2 \right\} du \]

= \frac{\sigma(0+)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - \frac{\sigma(0-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |c_0|^2.

In particular, if \( \sigma(u, \phi) \) is continuous at \( u = 0 \), then \( c_0 = 0 \), \( \tilde{c}_0 = a(f) \) and we have

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - \sqrt{2\pi} a(f) \right|^2 \right\} du = 0 \]

In the case \( \lambda \neq 0 \). If \( |u| < \varepsilon \) and \( |u + \varepsilon| > 0 \) for sufficiently small \( \varepsilon \), we have by the Theorem A (c.f. ibid. p.4)

\[ s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) = (-\text{sign}u) \{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \} \]

Then we have by the same arguments as Remark(1) for any constant \( \tilde{a}_\lambda \)

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_x) - \sqrt{2\pi \tilde{a}_\lambda} \right|^2 \right\} du \]

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi \tilde{a}_\lambda} \right|^2 \right\} du \]

= \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_\lambda|^2 + |c_\lambda - a_\lambda|^2

where \( \tilde{a}_\lambda = (-\text{sign}\lambda)a_\lambda \). Therefore the value of this integral attains to minimum if
and only if \( a_\lambda = c_\lambda \) and we have

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi} c_\lambda \, du
\]

\[
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int \left| s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi} c_\lambda \right|^2 \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_\lambda|^2
\]

where \( c_\lambda = (-\text{sign} \lambda) c_\lambda \). Therefore we shall conclude that

(i) If \( \lambda \notin \Lambda \). Then we have

\[
\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0) = c_\lambda = 0
\]

and

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi} c_\lambda \, du = 0
\]

(ii) If \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), that is \( \lambda = \lambda_n \), \((n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)\). Then we have

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int \left| s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi} c_n \right|^2 \, du
\]

\[
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int \left| s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi} c_n \right|^2 \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_n|^2
\]

where \( c_n = (-\text{sign} \lambda_n) c_n \).

If \( \lambda = \lambda_0 \) \((n = 0)\). Then we have

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int \left| s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi} c_0 \right|^2 \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma(0^+) - \sigma(0^-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_0|^2,
\]

where \( c_0 = i c_0 + a(f) \).

We have seen that the conditions \((R_0)\) and \((\tilde{R}_0)\) are destroyed (c.f. I ibid, p.23) and so
we could not necessarily apply the Minkowski inequality to estimations of remainder terms. We should correct these conditions and instead of them we should state here properties \((R_0)\) and \((\tilde{R}_0)\) of which we can prove respectively.

\[
(R_0) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u|<\epsilon} \left| \{s(u+\epsilon,f)-s(u-\epsilon,f)\} - \sqrt{2\pi}c_0 \right|^2 \, du = \frac{\sigma(0^+)-\sigma(0^-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_0|^2
\]

and

\[
(\tilde{R}_0) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u|<\epsilon} \left| \{s(u+\epsilon,\tilde{f}_1)-s(u-\epsilon,\tilde{f}_1)\} - \sqrt{2\pi}\tilde{c}_0 \right|^2 \, du = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(0^+)-\tilde{\sigma}(0^-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |\tilde{c}_0|^2
\]

where \(\tilde{c}_0 = ic_0 + a(f)\).

We shall remark that applying the Theorem \(E^*\), we have

\[
\frac{\tilde{\sigma}(0^+)-\tilde{\sigma}(0^-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{\sigma(0^+)-\sigma(0^-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2.
\]

Similarly we have proved in the case \(\lambda \neq 0\). \((R_\lambda)\) :

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u|<\epsilon} \left| \{s(u+\epsilon,f)-s(u-\epsilon,f)\} - \sqrt{2\pi}\lambda c_\lambda \right|^2 \, du = \frac{\sigma(\lambda^+)-\sigma(\lambda^-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_\lambda|^2
\]

and \((\tilde{R}_\lambda)^*\) :

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u|<\epsilon} \left| \{s(u+\epsilon,\tilde{f}_1)-s(u-\epsilon,\tilde{f}_1)\} - \sqrt{2\pi}\lambda \tilde{c}_\lambda \right|^2 \, du = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda^+)-\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda^-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |\tilde{c}_\lambda|^2
\]

respectively.

We shall also remark that applying the Theorem \(E^*\),the relation \(\tilde{c}_\lambda = (-i\text{sign}\lambda)c_\lambda\) and \(|\tilde{c}_\lambda| = |c_\lambda|\) we have

\[
\frac{\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda^+)-\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda^-)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{\sigma(\lambda^+\varphi)-\sigma(\lambda^-\varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}
\]

16.3 On the hypothesis \((\tilde{C}_\lambda)\). In the preceding sections, if it is required we are going to assume the existence of the following limits

\[
(\tilde{C}_\lambda) \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \tilde{f}_1(x)e^{-it\lambda} \, dx = \tilde{c}_\lambda \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)
\]

However we shall conclude that hypothesis \((\tilde{C}_\lambda)\) could be derived by the hypothesis
(C_\lambda) and condition (R_2) as follows

Theorem $F_4$ Let us suppose that $f(x)$ belongs to the class $S_0$ and satisfies the hypothesis

$$(C_\lambda) \quad \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx = c_\lambda \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)$$

and the condition

$$(R_2) \quad \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left| r_\varepsilon(u + \varepsilon, f) - r_\varepsilon(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 du \to 0 \quad (\varepsilon \to 0).$$

Then we have

and $(\tilde{C}_\lambda)$

$$(\tilde{C}_\lambda) \quad \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \tilde{f}_\lambda(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx = \tilde{c}_\lambda \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)$$

$$\tilde{c}_\lambda = \begin{cases} 
(-\text{sign} \lambda) c_\lambda & (\lambda \neq 0) \\
\tilde{c}_0 = \text{ic}_0 + a(f) & (\lambda = 0). 
\end{cases}$$

Proof. By the Theorem $F_3$, we have

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \tilde{f}_\lambda(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_\lambda) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_\lambda) \right\} du$$

in the sense that if either side exists, the other side exists and assumes the same value.

By the Theorem $A$ and the condition $(R_2)$ we shall prove existence of the limit of right hand side of the above formula.

(i) If $\lambda \neq 0$ By the Theorem $A$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_\lambda) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_\lambda) \right\} du = (-\text{sign} \lambda) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} du$$

(ii) If $\lambda = 0$ By the Theorem $A$ and $(R_2)$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_\lambda) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_\lambda) \right\} du = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{i}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} du + a(f)$$

Thus applying the hypothesis $(C_\lambda)$ we have proved limit of the following formula

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_\lambda) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_\lambda) \right\} du \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)$$

exists.
The remaining part of the theorem are obvious by the Theorem $F_3$.

16.4 As we have pointed out that the conditions $(R_q)$ and $(R_0)$ are destroyed, we should correct the Theorem $A_i$, Theorem $B_2$ and Theorem $C$.

(i) On the case Theorem $B_i$. We have

Theorem $B_i'$ Let us suppose that $f \in S_0$ and the hypothesis $(C_\lambda)$ and the condition $(R_2)$ are satisfied. Then we have that $\tilde{f}_1 \in S_0$ and the following equality

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\tilde{f}_1(x)|^2 \, dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s(u + \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1)|^2 \, dx$$

Proof. We shall prove the following equality

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\tilde{f}_1(x)|^2 \, dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f)|^2 \, dx - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2.$$

and apply the N.Wiener theorem (c.f.N.Wiener [1], Theorem 22, p.140).

For this purpose we shall divide the integral of left-hand side into two parts

$$\frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s(u + \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1)|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \epsilon} |(\nu)|^2 \, du + \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u| > \epsilon} |(\nu)|^2 \, du$$

$$= I_1 + I_2, \text{say.}$$

Then by the part (i) of the Theorem $A$ (c.f. ibid. I, p.4), we have

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \epsilon} |s(u + \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1)|^2 \, du = \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \epsilon} |(-\text{sign} u)\{s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f)\}|^2 \, du$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \epsilon} |s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f)|^2 \, du$$

and by the part (ii) of the Theorem $A$, we have

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u| > \epsilon} |s(u + \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \epsilon, \tilde{f}_1)|^2 \, du$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u| > \epsilon} |i\{s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f)\} + 2r_1(u + \epsilon, f) + 2r_2(u + \epsilon, f)\tilde{\zeta}_1]|^2 \, du$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi \epsilon} \int_{|u| > \epsilon} |i\{s(u + \epsilon, f) - s(u - \epsilon, f) - \sqrt{2\pi} \alpha(f)\} + 2r_1(u + \epsilon, f) + 2r_2(u + \epsilon, f) - \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \alpha(f)\tilde{\zeta}_1]|^2 \, du$$

Here we can apply the Minkowski inequality, and we have by the use of condition...
(R_2) \ (\text{c.f. ibid. I, p.19}) \text{ and hypothesis } (C_{\lambda}) \ (\text{c.f. ibid. VI, p.133})

\[ I_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{u \leq \varepsilon} \left| \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} - i\sqrt{2\pi} a(f) \right|^2 \, du + O(1) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{u \leq \varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du \]

\[ + \frac{i\sqrt{2\pi} a(f)}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{u \leq \varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} \, du - \frac{i\sqrt{2\pi} a(f)}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{u \leq \varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} \, du \]

\[ + \frac{|i\sqrt{2\pi} a(f)|^2}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{u \leq \varepsilon} \, du \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{u \leq \varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du + ic_0 a(f) - ic_0 a(f) + |a(f)|^2 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{u \leq \varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du + |ic_0|^2 + |ic_0 + a(f)|^2 \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{u \leq \varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2, \]

where \( \tilde{c}_0 = ic_0 + a(f) \)

Therefore we have proved the required formula and we can conclude that \( \tilde{f}_1 \in S_0 \).

(ii) On the case Theorem \( B_2 \). We have

Theorem \( B'_2 \). Let us suppose that \( f \in S \) and the hypothesis \( (C_{\lambda}) \) and the condition \( (R_2) \) are satisfied. Then we have that \( \tilde{f}_1 \in S \) and the following equality

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\pi r} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \right|^2 \, du \]

\[ = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\pi r} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2 \]

Moreover, we have by Theorem \( W'_1 \) \ (\text{c.f. II, ibid. pp.25~28})

\[ \lim_{T \to 2T} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f'(x + t) f(t) dt = \lim_{T \to 2T} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x + t) f(t) dt - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2. \]

Proof. We have

\[ J = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\pi r} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \right|^2 \, du \]
We have by the Theorem $A$ (c.f. ibid. VI 3$^{rd}$ ed. p.4)

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi e} \int e^{ix} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \right|^2 du = \frac{1}{4\pi e} \int e^{ix} \left| \langle -i\text{sign}u \rangle \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \} \right|^2 du$$

and also we have

$$J_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi e} \int e^{ix} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \right|^2 du$$

Since $\tilde{f}_1 \in S_0$ by Theorem $B_1$ and $e^{IX} = O(\varepsilon)$ ($\varepsilon \to 0$), we have

$$\frac{1}{4\pi e} \int (e^{ix} - 1) \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \right|^2 du = O(\varepsilon) \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\tilde{f}_1(x)|^2 dx = o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0)$$

Moreover applying the condition $(R_2)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{4\pi e} \int |s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1)|^2 du = \frac{1}{4\pi e} \int |s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)|^2 du - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2$$

Thus we have

$$J_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi e} \int e^{ix} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 du - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2 + o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0)$$

Therefore we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi e} \int e^{ix} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \right|^2 du = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi e} \int e^{ix} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 du - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2.$$
Thus we can conclude that \( \tilde{f}_i(x) \in S \) by the Theorem \( W_1 \) (c.f. II, ibid. pp.25-28) and we have
\[
lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \tilde{f}_i(x+t) \tilde{f}_i(t)dt = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x+t)f(t)dt - |c_0|^2 + |\tilde{c}_0|^2.
\]

(iii) On the case Theorem C. We have

Theorem C*. Let us suppose that \( f(x) \) is a \( B^2 \)-almost periodic function and satisfies condition \( (R_2) \). Let us write its Fourier series as follows
\[
f(x) \sim \sum_n c_n e^{i\lambda_n x}.
\]

Then its G.H.T. \( \tilde{f}_i(x) \) is also a function of \( B^2 \)-almost periodic and has its Fourier series as follows
\[
\tilde{f}_i(x) \sim \sum_n \tilde{c}_n e^{i\lambda_n x},
\]
where
\[
\tilde{c}_n = \begin{cases} (-\text{isign}\lambda_n)c_n & (n = 1,2,3,...) \\
ic_n + a(f) & (n = 0). \end{cases}
\]

Proof. Since \( f(x) \) is a function of \( B^2 \)-almost periodic and so it belongs to the class \( S \) and satisfies the condition \( (R_2) \), we have that its G.H.T. \( \tilde{f}_i(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \) and satisfies the hypothesis \( (\tilde{C}_\lambda) \) \((\forall \text{real } \lambda)\).

Let us denote the set \( \Lambda = \{\lambda_n, n = 0,1,2,\ldots\} \). Then we have
\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x)e^{-i\lambda x}dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon, f) - s(u-\varepsilon, f)\}du
\]
\[
= \begin{cases} 0 & (\lambda \not\in \Lambda) \\
c_n & (\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda = \lambda_n, n = 0,1,2,\ldots). \end{cases}
\]

Then we have by the Theorem A and condition \( (R_2) \)

(i) \( \lambda \neq 0 \)
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon, \tilde{f}_i) - s(u-\varepsilon, \tilde{f}_i)\}
\]
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\[
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{(-\text{sign}\lambda)}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} du
\]

\[= (-\text{sign}\lambda) \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x)e^{-i\lambda x} dx = (-\text{sign}\lambda)c_n\]

(ii) \( \lambda = 0 \)

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int \{s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1)\}
\]

\[= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} i \int \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} du + a(f) = ic_0 + a(f).
\]

Therefore we have by the Theorem F_3 (c.f. ibid.VI,15.4) the hypothesis \( (\tilde{C}_\lambda) \) \( (\forall \text{real } \lambda) \)
is satisfied and we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \tilde{f}_1(x)e^{-i\lambda x} dx = \begin{cases} 
0 & (\lambda \notin \Lambda) \\
\tilde{c}_n & (\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda = \lambda_n, n = 0,1,2,...). 
\end{cases}
\]

Since \( f(x) \) is to be \( B^2 \) almost periodic, we have \( \sum |c_n|^2 < \infty \) and \( \sum |\tilde{c}_n|^2 < \infty \) too.

Therefore we shall conclude that \( \tilde{f}_1(x) \) is to be almost periodic and has its Fourier series as follows

\[\tilde{f}_1(x) \sim \sum_n \tilde{c}_n e^{i\lambda_n x}.
\]

16.5 On the spectral analysis and synthesis of G.H.T. \( \tilde{f}_1(x) \)

Now we shall going to construct the theory of spectral analysis and synthesis of G.H.T. \( \tilde{f}_1(x) \).

First of all we should remark the following results.
Let us suppose that \( f(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \) and satisfies the hypothesis \( (C_{\lambda}) \) and the condition \( (R_2) \). Then \( \tilde{f}_1(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \) by the Theorem \( B_2^* \) (c.f. ibid.}
VI,p.149) and it satisfies the hypothesis \((\tilde{C}_x)\) by the Theorem \(F_4\) (c.f. ibid. VI, p.147).

Let us denote that \(\varphi(x) = \varphi(x,f)\) as the auto-correlation function of \(f(x)\) and 
\(\sigma(u) = \sigma(u,\varphi)\) as the G.F.T. of \(\varphi(x)\). Then since \(\sigma(u)\) is a function to be bounded and monotone increasing, there exist the set \(\Lambda = \{\lambda_n, n = 0,1,2,\ldots\}\) at most countable and the \(\sigma(u)\) is discontinuous of first kind there and continuous elsewhere. Then we have

\[
c_\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} f(x)e^{-ix\lambda} \, dx
\]

\[
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} du
\]

where \(c_\lambda = 0\) \((\lambda \not\in \Lambda)\) and \(c_\lambda \neq 0\) \((\lambda \in \Lambda)\). We shall denote \(c_n\) instead of \(c_\lambda\) and promise that \(\lambda_0 = 0\) and we may permit \(c_0 = 0\).

We have also

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 du = \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0,\varphi) - \sigma(\lambda - 0,\varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}
\]

by the Lemma \(E^*\) (c.f. ibid. VI, p.135). Then we have by the Schwartz inequality

\[
|c_\lambda|^2 \leq \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0,\varphi) - \sigma(\lambda - 0,\varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda)
\]

Now let us suppose that \(f(x)\) belongs to the class \(S\) and satisfies the hypothesis \((C_x)\) and condition \((R_2)\). We shall try the same problem as \(f(x)\) to its G.H.T. \(\tilde{f}(x)\).

We shall state them step by step steadily for the sake of completeness.

Step (i) Let us define \(\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x,\tilde{f})\) as the auto-correlation function of \(\tilde{f}(x)\) and 
\(\tilde{\sigma}(u) = \sigma(u,\tilde{\varphi})\) as the G.F.T. of \(\tilde{\varphi}(x)\) respectively. Then we have

\[
\tilde{c}_\lambda = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}) \right\} du
\]

\[
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \left\{ s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right\} du
\]

153
and if $\lambda = 0$

$$
\tilde{c}_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1)\} \, du
$$

$$
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{i}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} \, du
$$

$$
+ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{2}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} r_1(u + \varepsilon, f) \, du
+ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{2}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left(r_1(u + \varepsilon, f) - \sqrt{\frac{2}{2\pi}} a(f)\right) \, du + a(f)
$$

$$
= ic_0 + a(f)
$$

by the Theorem A (c.f. ibid. I, p.4) and hypotheses $(C_\lambda)$, $(\tilde{C}_\lambda)$ and condition $(R_2)$ (c.f. ibid. VI, Theorem $F_4$, 147 and p.143). Therefore we have

$$
\tilde{c}_\lambda = \begin{cases} 
(-isign\lambda)c_{\lambda} & (\lambda \neq 0) \\
ic_0 + a(f) & (\lambda = 0). 
\end{cases}
$$

Step (ii) Let us denote $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x, f)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x, \tilde{f}_1)$ the auto-correlation function of $f(x)$ and $\tilde{f}_1(x)$ respectively. Let us also denote $\sigma(u) = \sigma(u, \varphi)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(u) = \sigma(u, \tilde{\varphi})$ the G.F.T. of $\varphi(x)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ respectively. Then we have

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du = \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0, \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda - 0, \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 
$$

by the Lemma $E^*$ (c.f. ibid. VI, p.153). Since $f_1(x)$ satisfies the hypothesis $(\tilde{C}_\lambda)$ with the condition $(R_2)$ as for $f(x)$, we have also

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \right|^2 \, du = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda + 0, \tilde{\varphi}) - \tilde{\sigma}(\lambda - 0, \tilde{\varphi})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 
$$

Therefore we have by the Theorem A

if $\lambda \neq 0$

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \right|^2 \, du
$$
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} (-\text{sign} u) \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\}^2 \, du
\]

\[
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\}^2 \, du
\]

and if \( \lambda = 0 \)

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1)\}^2 \, du
\]

where the integrand rewrite as follows

\[
i \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} + 2r_1(u + \varepsilon, f) + 2r_2(u + \varepsilon, f)
\]

\[
= i \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) - i\sqrt{2\pi}a(f)\} + 2r_1(u + \varepsilon, f) + 2r_2(u + \varepsilon, f)
\]

and since we could apply the Minkowski inequality, we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{i \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} - i\sqrt{2\pi}a(f)\}^2 \, du
\]

Furthermore we shall expand the integrand as follows

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{i \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} - i\sqrt{2\pi}a(f)\}^2 \, du
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\}^2 \, du
\]

\[
- \frac{a(f)}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} du - \frac{a(f)}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} du + |a(f)|^2
\]

and we shall also remark that
\[ c_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} f(x) \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} \, du \]

by the one-sided Wiener formula (c.f. ibid. VI, p. 135). Therefore we have

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_i) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_i) \right|^2 \, du \]

\[ = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left(i \{s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f)\} - i\sqrt{2\pi} a(f) \right)^2 \, du \]

\[ = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \left| s(u + \varepsilon, f) - s(u - \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 \, du + |i\varepsilon_0 + a(f)|^2 - |c_0|^2 \]

Thus we shall conclude that

\[ \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0, \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda - 0, \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (\lambda \neq 0) \]

\[ \frac{\sigma(0+, \varphi) - \sigma(0-, \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + |i\varepsilon_0 + a(f)|^2 - |c_0|^2 \quad (\lambda = 0) \]

Since \( \tilde{f}_i(x) \) belongs to the class \( \mathcal{S} \) and satisfies hypothesis \( (\mathcal{C}_k) \), we have that \( \tilde{\sigma}(u) = \sigma(u, \tilde{\varphi}) \) is a bounded and monotone increasing function, and so there exists \( \Lambda \) the set of \( \lambda \) to be at most countable and \( \tilde{\sigma}(u) \) is discontinuous of the first kind there and continuous elsewhere. By the arguments of Step(i) and Step(ii) above, we shall conclude that the set \( \Lambda \) is just the same the set \( \Lambda \) and we have

\[ \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (\lambda \neq 0) \]

\[ \frac{\sigma(0+, \varphi) - \sigma(0-, \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + |i\varepsilon_0 + a(f)|^2 - |c_0|^2 \quad (\lambda = 0) \]

and furthermore we shall write the above formula as follows

\[ \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0) - \sigma(\lambda - 0)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \begin{cases} 
0, & (\lambda \not\in \Lambda) \\
\sigma(\lambda_n + 0) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0), & (\lambda_n \in \Lambda, n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)
\end{cases} \]
Step (iii) In the first we shall remark that the following formula is satisfied
\[
\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \tilde{f}_1(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int \{ s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \} \, du \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda). 
\]
in the sense that either of the limit exists, then the other limit exists and assume the same value (c.f. ibid. VI, Theorem F', pp.141-2; Hypothesis (C\text{'}\lambda), p.146; Theorem B_2^*, p.149).

Then we have by the Schwartz inequality
\[
| \tilde{c}_\lambda |^2 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int \{ s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) \} \, du \\
\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int |s(u + \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon, \tilde{f}_1)|^2 \, du \\
= \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0, \tilde{\phi}) - \sigma(\lambda - 0, \tilde{\phi})}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.
\]

Therefore we have
\[
\sum_n | \tilde{c}_n |^2 \leq \frac{\sigma(\infty, \tilde{\phi}) - \sigma(-\infty, \tilde{\phi})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} < \infty
\]
and so there exists \(B^2\)-almost periodic function \(\tilde{g}(x)\) and its Fourier series
\[
\tilde{g}(x) \sim \sum_n \tilde{c}_n e^{i\lambda_n x}
\]
where we shall denote \(\tilde{c}_n\) instead of \(\tilde{c}_\lambda\).

Since we have already shown that \(\tilde{f}_1(x)\) satisfies the hypothesis \((\tilde{C}_\lambda)\), we have
\[
\lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \tilde{f}_1(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} \tilde{g}(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda).
\]

Then we shall set
\[
\tilde{f}_1(x) - \tilde{g}(x) = \tilde{h}(x), \quad \text{say}
\]
and we shall prove that \(\tilde{h}(x)\) belongs to the N.Wiener class \(S\). This can be done just the same arguments as the decomposition.
\[ f(x) - g(x) = h(x) \]
of the Theorem \( E^* \) (c.f. ibid. VI, 15.3, Step. (iii), p.137).

Thus we have proved
\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \tilde{h}_i(x + t) \overline{\tilde{h}_j(t)} dt = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \tilde{f}_i(x + t) \overline{\tilde{f}_j(t)} dt - \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \tilde{g}_i(x + t) \overline{\tilde{g}_j(t)} dt.
\]

Step. (iv) We shall also consider the auto-correlation functions of \( \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{g} \) and \( \tilde{h} \)

\[
\tilde{\phi}(x) = \phi(x, \tilde{f}_i), \quad \tilde{\psi}(x) = \psi(x, \tilde{g}_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\chi}(x) = \chi(x, \tilde{h}_i)
\]

and their G.F.T. \( \sigma(u, \tilde{\phi}), \sigma(u, \tilde{\psi}) \) and \( \sigma(u, \tilde{\chi}) \).

Then we have proved in the Step (iii)

\[
\phi(x; \tilde{f}_i) = \psi(x; \tilde{g}_i) + \chi(x; \tilde{h}_i)
\]

and therefore we have also

\[
\sigma(u, \tilde{\phi}) = \sigma(u, \tilde{\psi}) + \sigma(u, \tilde{\chi}).
\]

Thus \( \sigma(u, \tilde{\chi}) \) is the difference of two bounded, monotone increasing function and so function of bounded variation. Therefore we could apply the N.Wiener Theorem (c.f. N.Wiener[1], Theorem 24, pp.146-9)

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\chi(x, \tilde{h}_i)|^2 dx = \sum_n \left\{ \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0; \tilde{\phi}) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0; \tilde{\phi})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} |c_n|^2 \right\}^2.
\]

In particular \( \sigma(u) = \sigma(u, \phi) \) is continuous everywhere, then it is satisfied by the results of the Step (ii)

\[
\frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0, \tilde{\phi}) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0, \tilde{\phi})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0, \phi) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0, \phi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = 0, \quad (\lambda_n, n = 1,2,3,...)
\]

and

\[
\frac{\sigma(0, \tilde{\phi}) - \sigma(0, \tilde{\phi})}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = |a(f)|^2, \quad |c_0|^2 = |a(f)|^2 \quad (\lambda = 0).
\]

Therefore we have proved

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\chi(x, \tilde{h}_i)|^2 dx = 0.
\]

Then we have by the N.Wiener Theorem [1] (c.f. Theorem 20, p.138)

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\chi(x, \tilde{h}_i)|^2}{1 + x^2} dx \leq (1 + 2\pi) \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\chi(x, \tilde{h}_i)|^2 dx = 0
\]
Then we have
\[ \chi(x; \tilde{h}_t) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \quad x. \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(x; \tilde{f}_t) = \psi(x; \tilde{g}_t) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad x. \]
In addition to if \( f(x) \) belongs to the class \( S' \), then since \( \tilde{f}_t(x) \) and \( \tilde{g}_t(x) \) are both belong to the class \( S' \), \( \tilde{h}_t(x) \) does too. Then since \( \chi(x; \tilde{h}_t) \) is continuous everywhere we have \( \chi(x, \tilde{h}_t) = 0 \) for all \( x \) and in particular we have
\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\tilde{h}_t(x)|^2 \, dx = \chi(0; \tilde{h}_t) = 0
\]
and repeating the same arguments as above we have
\[ \tilde{h}_t(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \quad x. \]
and we have
\[ \tilde{f}_t(x) = \tilde{g}_t(x) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad x. \]
We shall call it Theorem \( G_1 \).

17 The Spectral Analysis and Synthesis of the G.C.I. \( C_i(z, f) \).

We shall define the G.C.I. of \( f(z) \) that is real-valued and belongs to the class \( W^2 \) as follows
\[ f_i(z) = 2C_i(z; f) = \frac{2}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{dt}{z + it} \quad (z = x + iy, \quad y > 0) \]
and we shall going to construct the theory of spectral analysis and synthesis of G.C.I. \( f_i(z) = 2C_i(z; f) \). We shall state them step by step for the sake of completeness.
Step (i) Let us suppose that \( f(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \) and satisfies condition \((R_2)\). Let us put \( F(x) = f(x) + i\tilde{f}_t(x) \) then \( \tilde{f}_t(x) \) and \( F(x) \) belong to the class \( W^2 \) and we shall denote
\[ F(z) = C_i(z; F) = \frac{2}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{dt}{z + it} \]
Then since we have
\[ \lim_{y \to 0} f_i(z) = f(x) + i\tilde{f}_t(x) = F(x) \]
and
\[ \lim_{y \to 0} F(z) = \frac{1}{2} (F(x) + i\tilde{F}_1(x)) = \frac{1}{2} f(x) + i(f(x) + i\tilde{f}_1(x)) = F(x) \]

by the skew reciprocal property of G.H.T. (c.f. E.C. Titchmarsh [3], Chapter V).

We have
\[ f_1(z) = F(z) \quad (\forall x, \forall y > 0). \]

Thus we could apply Theorem A and Theorem D to \( F(z) = C_1(z; F) \) instead of \( f_1(z) \) and we have

If \( |u| \geq \varepsilon \), then we have
\[
s(u + \varepsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f_1(z)) = \frac{(1 + \text{sign} u)}{2} e^{-y\theta} \left\{ (s(u + \varepsilon; F) - s(u - \varepsilon; F)) + r_0(u, y, \varepsilon; F) \right\}
\]
where
\[
s(u + \varepsilon; F) - s(u - \varepsilon; F) = \left\{ (s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)) + i \left\{ (s(u + \varepsilon; \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon; \tilde{f}_1) \right\} \right\}
\]
\[
= (1 + \text{sign} u) \{ (s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f) \right\}
\]
and then we have
\[
s(u + \varepsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f_1(z)) = \frac{(1 + \text{sign} u)}{2} e^{-y\theta} \left\{ 2(s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)) + r_0(u, y, \varepsilon; F) \right\}
\]
where
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u| > \varepsilon} |r_0(u, y, \varepsilon; F)|^2 \, du = 0.
\]

If \( |u| < \varepsilon \), then we have
\[
s(u + \varepsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f_1(z)) = i\tau(u + \varepsilon; F) + i\tau_2(u + \varepsilon; F) + \tau_3(u + \varepsilon, y; F)
\]
where
\[
\tau_1(u + \varepsilon; F) + i\tau_2(u + \varepsilon; F) = s(u + \varepsilon; F) - s(u - \varepsilon; F)
\]
\[
= \left\{ (s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)) + i \left\{ (s(u + \varepsilon; \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon; \tilde{f}_1) \right\} \right\}
\]
\[
= 2i\tau_1(u + \varepsilon; f) + 2i\tau_2(u + \varepsilon; f)
\]
and then we have
\[
s(u + \varepsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f_1(z)) = 2i\tau_1(u + \varepsilon; f) + 2i\tau_2(u + \varepsilon; f) + \tau_3(u + \varepsilon, y; F)
\]
where

\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} |r_1(u+\varepsilon;f)|^2 \, du = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} |r_2(u,\varepsilon,F)|^2 \, du = 0 \]

and there exist constant \( a(f) \) such as

\[ (R_2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} |r_2(u,\varepsilon,F) - \sqrt{2\pi} a(f)|^2 \, du . \]

Step (ii) Now we shall intend to prove that \( f_1(z) \) belongs to the class \( S \). This can be done by the application of Theorem \( W_1 \). We shall estimate it by the integration by parts and apply the Lemma \( E^* \).

Let us estimate the following integral

\[ \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} e^{iu|x|} \left| s(u+\varepsilon;f(z)) - s(u-\varepsilon;f(z)) \right|^2 \, du \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} e^{iu|x|} \left| n \right|^2 \, du + \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} e^{iu|x|} \left| n \right|^2 \, du = I_1 + I_2, \quad \text{say}. \]

We have

\[ I_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} e^{iu|x|} \left| (1+\text{signmu}) \frac{1}{2} e^{-yu} \left\{ 2(s(u+\varepsilon;f)-s(u-\varepsilon;f)) + r_0(u,\varepsilon,F) \right\} \right|^2 \, du \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} e^{-yu} \left\{ s(u+\varepsilon,f) - s(u-\varepsilon,f) \right\} \left| n \right|^2 \, du + o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \to 0) \]

by the Minkowski inequality and we have

\[ \frac{1}{\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{iu|x-2y\varepsilon|} \left| s(u+\varepsilon,f) - s(u-\varepsilon,f) \right|^2 \, du = \frac{e^{(ix-2y\varepsilon)u}}{\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left| s(v+\varepsilon,f) - s(v-\varepsilon,f) \right|^2 \, dv \]

\[ - \frac{(ix-2y)\varepsilon}{\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left| s(v+\varepsilon,f) - s(v-\varepsilon,f) \right|^2 \, dv \right) du \]

by the integration by parts.

Now we have by the Lemma \( E^* \)

\[ \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left| s(v+\varepsilon,f) - s(v-\varepsilon,f) \right|^2 \, dv \to \frac{\sigma(\infty) - \sigma(0+)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad (\varepsilon \to 0) \]
and
\[ \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \left| s(v + \varepsilon; f) - s(v - \varepsilon; f) \right|^2 dv \rightarrow \frac{\sigma(u) - \sigma(0^+)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad \text{a.e. } u \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0) \]
boundedly. Therefore we have
\[ I_1 = -4(ix - 2y) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} e^{\frac{i}{2}(x+y)u} \frac{\sigma(u) - \sigma(0^+)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} du + o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0) \]
Next we have
\[ I_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \varepsilon} e^{iux} \left| s(u + \varepsilon; f_i(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f_i(z)) \right|^2 du \]
\[ = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \varepsilon} e^{iux} \left| 2ir_1(u + \varepsilon; f) + 2ir_1(u - \varepsilon; f) + r_1(u + \varepsilon, y; F) \right|^2 du \]
where let us remark the following properties
\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \varepsilon} \left| r_1(u + \varepsilon, f) \right|^2 du = 0 \]
and the condition
\[ (R_2) \quad \exists \ a(f) : \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \varepsilon} \left| r_1(u + \varepsilon, f) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} a(f) \right|^2 du = 0. \]
Then we have by the Minkowski inequality
\[ I_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u| \leq \varepsilon} \left| r_1(u + \varepsilon, f) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} a(f) \right|^2 du + |a(f)|^2 + o(1) = |a(f)|^2 + o(1) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0) \]
Therefore we have proved
\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{iux} \left| s(u + \varepsilon; f_i(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f_i(z)) \right|^2 du \]
\[ = -4(ix - 2y) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\frac{i}{2}(x+y)u} \frac{\sigma(u) - \sigma(0^+)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} du + |a(f)|^2. \]
Thus we have proved \( f_i(z) = 2C_1(z; f) = C_1(z; F) \) where \( F(x) = f(x) + i\tilde{f}_1(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \) by the Theorem \( W_1 \). We shall present it as follows
\[
\varphi(x; f_1(z)) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f_1(x + t, y) f_1(t, y) dt
\]

\[
= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4 \pi \epsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iux} \left| s(u + \epsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \epsilon; f_1(z)) \right|^2 du \quad (z = x + iy, \ y > 0)
\]

Step (iii) Let us suppose that \( f(x) \) belongs to the class \( S \) and satisfies the hypothesis \( (C_1) \) and the condition \( (R_2) \). Then we shall notice that G.H.T. \( f_1(z) \) satisfies the hypothesis \( (C_\lambda) \) too.

Now we shall prove

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f_1(z) e^{-i\lambda x} dx = \begin{cases} 
\frac{(1 + \text{sign} \lambda)^2}{2} e^{-i\lambda \xi} C_\lambda & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \\
\text{ia}(f) & \text{if } \lambda = 0
\end{cases}
\]

where \( z = x + iy, \ y > 0 \).

For this purpose we shall need the support of the Theorem F_3 (ibid. IV, p.141). There we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f_1(z) e^{-i\lambda x} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2 \epsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ s(u + \epsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \epsilon; f_1(z)) \right\} du
\]

where \( z = x + iy, \ y > 0 \). Then we shall intend to estimate the formula in the right hand side with aide of the Theorems D_2, D_3 (c.f. ibid. III, pp.47–8) and Theorem A (c.f. ibid. I, p.4).

(i) The case \( \lambda \neq 0 \).

We have by the Theorem D_3

\[
s(u + \epsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \epsilon; f_1(z)) = \frac{1 + \text{sign} \epsilon}{2} e^{-\epsilon \nu} \left( \left\{ s(u + \epsilon; F) - s(u - \epsilon; F) \right\} + r_0(u, y, \epsilon; F) \right)
\]

where \( F(x) = f(x) + i\tilde{f}_1(x) \) and we have by the Theorem A

\[
s(u + \epsilon; F) - s(u - \epsilon; F) = \left\{ s(u + \epsilon; f) - s(u - \epsilon; f) \right\} + i \left\{ s(u + \epsilon; \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \epsilon; \tilde{f}_1) \right\}
\]

\[
= \left\{ s(u + \epsilon; f) - s(u - \epsilon; f) \right\} + i(-\text{sign} \epsilon) \left\{ s(u + \epsilon; f) - s(u - \epsilon; f) \right\}
\]
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\[= (1 + \text{sign} u) \{s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)\}\]

Therefore we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon; f(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f(z))\} du
\]

\[
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \left(1 + \text{sign} u\right)^2 e^{-\varepsilon u} \{s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)\} du
\]

\[
= \frac{(1 + \text{sign} \lambda)^2}{2} e^{-\lambda^2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)\} du
\]

where we shall use the condition

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{u \geq \varepsilon} \left| \mathcal{F}_0(u, y, \varepsilon; F) \right|^2 du = 0
\]

for the estimation of the remainder term.

Since we have by the Theorem \( F_3 \)

\[
c_\lambda = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x) e^{-ix} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)\} du
\]

we have proved

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f_1(z) e^{-ix} dx = \frac{(1 + \text{sign} \lambda)^2}{2} e^{-\lambda^2} c_\lambda.
\]

(ii) The case \( \lambda = 0 \). We have by the Theorem \( D_3 \)

\[
s(u + \varepsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f_1(z)) = \text{ir}_1(u + \varepsilon; F) + \text{ir}_2(u + \varepsilon; F) + \text{r}_3(u + \varepsilon, y; F)
\]

where

\[
\text{ir}_1(u + \varepsilon; F) + \text{ir}_2(u + \varepsilon; F) = s(u + \varepsilon; F) - s(u - \varepsilon; F)
\]

and we have by the Theorem \( A \)

\[
s(u + \varepsilon; F) - s(u - \varepsilon; F) = \{s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)\} + i\{s(u + \varepsilon; \tilde{f}_1) - s(u - \varepsilon; \tilde{f}_1)\}
\]

\[
= 2i\text{ir}_1(u + \varepsilon; f) + 2i\text{ir}_2(u + \varepsilon; f)
\]

Therefore we have

\[
s(u + \varepsilon; f_1(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f_1(z)) = 2i\text{ir}_1(u + \varepsilon; f) + 2i\text{ir}_2(u + \varepsilon; f) + \text{r}_3(u + \varepsilon, y; F)
\]

where we shall notice the following properties
\[ \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} |r_1(u+\varepsilon;f)|^2 \, du = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} |r_3(u+\varepsilon,y;F)|^2 \, du = 0 \]

and the condition

\[ (R_2) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} |r_3(u+\varepsilon;f) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} a(f)|^2 \, du = 0 \]

Therefore we have by the condition \( (R_2) \)

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon;f_1(z)) - s(u-\varepsilon;f_1(z))\} du = \\
\frac{1}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} 2ir_1(u+\varepsilon;f) \, du + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} 2ir_2(u+\varepsilon;f) \, du + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} r_3(u+\varepsilon,y;F) \, du \\
= \frac{2i}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} \left\{ r_3(u+\varepsilon;f) - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} a(f) \right\} du + ia(f) + o(1) = ia(f) + o(1), \quad (\varepsilon \to 0). \]

Thus we have proved

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f_1(z) \, dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} \{s(u+\varepsilon;f_1(z)) - s(u-\varepsilon;f_1(z))\} du \\
= ia(f).
\]

Now we shall consider as for \( F(z) = C_1(z;F), \, F(x) = f(x) + if_1(x). \) Then we have the final results as follows

(i) \( |u| \geq \varepsilon \)

\[ s(u+\varepsilon;F(z)) - s(u-\varepsilon;F(z)) = (1 + \text{sign}(u))e^{-\pi u} \left\{ (s(u+\varepsilon;f) - s(u-\varepsilon;f)) + r_0(u,y,\varepsilon;F) \right\} \]

where

\[ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} |r_0(u,y,\varepsilon;F)|^2 \, du = o(1), \quad (\varepsilon \to 0). \]

(ii) \( |u| \leq \varepsilon \)

\[ s(u+\varepsilon;F(z)) - s(u-\varepsilon;F(z)) = 2ir_1(u+\varepsilon;f) + 2ir_2(u+\varepsilon;f) + 2r_3(u+\varepsilon,y;F) \]

where \( F(x) = f(x) + if_1(x) \) and

\[ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} r_1(u+\varepsilon;f) \, du = o(1), \quad \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{|u|<\varepsilon} |r_3(u+\varepsilon,y;F)|^2 \, du = o(1), \quad (\varepsilon \to 0) \]

and
Then we have

(i) \( \lambda \neq 0 \)

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} s(u + \varepsilon; f(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f(z)) \, du
\]

\[
= (1 + \text{sign} \lambda) e^{-\lambda/2} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon; f(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f(z))\} \, du.
\]

(ii) \( \lambda = 0 \)

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{s(u + \varepsilon; f(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon; f(z))\} \, du
\]

\[
= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{2i}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} \{r_i(u + \varepsilon; f(z)) - \frac{\pi}{2} a(f(z)) \} \, du + ia(f(z)) = ia(f(z)).
\]

Therefore if we apply the Theorem \( F_3 \), then we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} F(z) e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx = \begin{cases} 
(1 + \text{sign} \lambda) e^{-\lambda/2} c_\lambda & (\lambda \neq 0) \\
\quad ia(f(z)) & (\lambda = 0)
\end{cases}
\]

where \( z = x + iy \), \( y > 0 \) and

\[
c_\lambda = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x) e^{-i\lambda x} \, dx \quad (\forall \text{ real } \lambda).
\]

Step (iv) There exists \( B_2 \)-almost periodic function \( G(z) \) \( (z = x + iy, y > 0) \) as for variable \( x \) and any \( y > 0 \) of which Fourier series are as follows

\[
G(z) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + \text{sign } \lambda_n) c_n e^{i\lambda_n z} \quad (z = x + iy, y > 0)
\]

where

\[
c_n = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} f(x) e^{-i\lambda_n x} \, dx \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots)
\]

Because we shall remark that
\[ G(x, y) \sim ia(f) + \sum_{\lambda_n > 0} (1 + \text{sign} \lambda_n) c_n e^{-\lambda_n y} e^{i\lambda_n x} \]

where

\[ c_n e^{-\lambda_n y} e^{i\lambda_n x} = c_n e^{i\lambda_n(x + iy)} = c_n e^{i\lambda_n x}, \]

therefore we shall write \( G(z) \) as for \( G(x, y) \).

Then we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} F(z) e^{-i\lambda_n x} dx = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} G(z) e^{-i\lambda_n x} dx \quad (\forall \text{real } \lambda) .
\]

Because if \( \lambda \in \Lambda \), then \( \lambda = \lambda_n \) for some \( n \) and so we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} F(z) e^{-i\lambda_n x} dx = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} G(z) e^{-i\lambda_n x} dx = \begin{cases} (1 + \text{sign} \lambda_n) e^{-\lambda_n y} c_n , \quad (n \neq 0) \\ ia(f) , \quad (n = 0) . \end{cases}
\]

and if \( \lambda \notin \Lambda \), then we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} F(z) e^{-i\lambda x} dx = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} G(z) e^{-i\lambda x} dx = 0 .
\]

Therefore if we set \( F(z) - G(z) = H(z) \), then \( H(z) \) belongs to the class \( S \) and we have

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} H(x + t + iy) H(t + iy) dx = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} F(x + t + iy) F(t + iy) dx - \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} G(x + t + iy) G(t + iy) dx
\]

Now let us denote \( \varphi(x, y; F(z)) \) \( \psi(x, y; G(z)) \), and \( \chi(x, y; H(z)) \) as for their auto-correlation function of \( F(z) \), \( G(z) \), and \( H(z) \) respectively. Let us denote also \( \sigma(u, y; \varphi) \), \( \sigma(u, y; \psi) \), and \( \sigma(u, y; \chi) \) as for their G.F.T. respectively.

Then we have

\[
\varphi(x, y; F(z)) = \psi(x, y; G(z)) + \chi(x, y; H(z))
\]

and

\[
\sigma(u, y; \varphi) = \sigma(u, y; \psi) + \sigma(u, y; \chi).
\]

Since the \( \sigma(u, y; \chi) \) is bounded and monotone increasing function, we have by the N.Wiener Theorem (c.f. N.Wiener [1] Theorem 24, pp.146-9)

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |H(x + iy)|^2 dx = \left\{ \frac{\sigma(0+, y; \varphi) - \sigma(0-, y; \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |ia(f)|^2 \right\}^2
\]

167
\[ + \sum_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0; \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0; \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_n e^{-i\lambda_n \varphi}|^2 \right\}^2. \]

Step (v) We have by the Lemma $E^*$

\[ \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0; \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda - 0, y; \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} |s(u + \varepsilon, y; F(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon, y; F(z))|^2 \, du \]

We have also by the Theorem A and Theorem D,

(i) \( \lambda > 0 \)

\[ \frac{\sigma(\lambda + 0, y; \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda - 0, y; \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} |s(u + \varepsilon, y; F(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon, y; F(z))|^2 \, du \]

\[ = 4 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{\lambda - \varepsilon}^{\lambda + \varepsilon} |s(u + \varepsilon; f) - s(u - \varepsilon; f)|^2 \, du = \frac{4 \sigma(\lambda + 0, \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda - 0; \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \]

(ii) \( \lambda = 0 \) If \(|u| < \varepsilon\), we have

\[ s(u + \varepsilon, y; F(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon, y; F(z)) = 2ir_1(u + \varepsilon, y; f) + 2ir_2(u + \varepsilon, y; f) + 2r_1(u + \varepsilon, y; F) \]

where \( F(x) = f(x) + \frac{d}{dx}f(x) \).

We have also by the hypothesis \( (R_2) \) and the Minkowski inequality

\[ \frac{\sigma(0+, y; \varphi) - \sigma(0-, y; \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon} \int_{|u| < \varepsilon} |s(u + \varepsilon, y; F(z)) - s(u - \varepsilon, y; F(z))|^2 \, du = |ia(f)|^2. \]

Therefore we have

\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\chi(x, y; H(z))|^2 \, dx = 4 \sum_{\lambda > 0} \left\{ \frac{\sigma(\lambda_n + 0; \varphi) - \sigma(\lambda_n - 0; \varphi)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} - |c_n e^{-i\lambda_n \varphi}|^2 \right\}^2. \]

In particular if the \( \sigma(u; \varphi) \) is continuous on \( u > 0 \), then we have

\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |\chi(x, y; H(z))|^2 \, dx = 0 \quad (\forall \ y > 0). \]

Since \( F(z) \) and \( G(z) \) are both belong to the class \( S' \), and therefore \( H(z) \) does too. Then applying the N.Wiener Theorem[1] (c.f. Theorem 20, p.138), we have
\[ \chi(x, y; H(z)) = 0 \quad (\forall x, \forall y > 0) \]

and in particular

\[ \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} |H(x + iy)|^2 dx = 0 \quad (\forall y > 0). \]

Then applying the N. Wiener Theorem[1](c.f. Theorem 20, p. 138) to \( H(x + iy) \) again, we have

\[ H(x + iy) = 0 \quad (\forall x, \forall y > 0) \]

and therefore we have

\[ F(x + iy) = G(x + iy) \quad (\forall x, \forall y > 0). \]

We shall call it as Theorem \( G_2 \)
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