

Research Report

KSTS/RR-87/008  
6 Oct. 1987

**Complete space-like surfaces  
in the Minkowski 3-space  
with constant mean curvature**

by

**Kotaro Yamada**

Kotaro Yamada

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Keio University

Hiyoshi 3-14-1, Kohoku-ku  
Yokohama, 223 Japan

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Keio University

© 1987 KSTS  
Hiyoshi 3-14-1, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, 223 Japan

**Complete space-like surfaces in the Minkowski 3-space  
with constant mean curvature**

KOTARO YAMADA

ABSTRACT. In the Minkowski 3-space, the pseudospheres and hyperbolic cylinders are the typical complete space-like surfaces with constant mean curvature. In this paper, we characterize the hyperbolic cylinder among such surfaces.

§0. Introduction.

Let  $L^3$  be the Minkowski 3-space, that is,  $\mathbf{R}^3$  with the indefinite metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 - (dx^3)^2$ . A surface in  $L^3$  is called *space-like* if the induced metric of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is positive definite. On a space-like surface, the notions of the first fundamental form, the second fundamental form, and the mean curvature are defined in the same way as on a surface in the euclidean space.

The existence of the space-like surface in  $L^3$  with constant mean curvature  $H$  has been studied by Calabi [Ca] and Cheng-Yau [CY], who established the Bernstein-type theorem for such surfaces in the case  $H = 0$ , *maximal* space-like surface.

In this paper, we investigate *complete* space-like surfaces with non-zero constant mean curvature  $H$ . The most well-known example of such a surface is the *pseudosphere*:

$$(0.1) \quad S(H) = \{(x^1, x^2, x^3) \in L^3 : (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2 = -\frac{1}{H^2}, x^3 > 0\},$$

which is the only complete, totally umbilic space-like surface with constant mean curvature  $H$ . Note that  $S(H)$  is isometric to the Poincaré disc with constant curvature  $-H^2$ .

Among non-umbilical space-like surfaces, the following *hyperbolic cylinder* is the simplest one:

$$(0.2) \quad C(H) = \{(x^1, x^2, x^3) \in L^3 : (x^1)^2 - (x^3)^2 = -\frac{1}{4H^2}, x^3 > 0\}.$$

This is the only complete, flat space-like surface with non-zero constant mean curvature  $H$ .

Though many other constant mean curvature surfaces are constructed by Treibergs [Tr] as entire graphs on the  $x^1x^2$ -plane which solve his asymptotic Dirichlet problem,  $S(H)$  and  $C(H)$  are distinctive among such surfaces.

For example, Choquet-Bruhat [CB] characterized  $S(H)$  as the only constant mean curvature slices in  $L^3$  with some assumptions, and Goddard [Go] showed that any perturbation of  $S(H)$  with constant mean curvature must be translation of  $L^3$ .

In this paper, we characterize the hyperbolic cylinder  $C(H)$  as the complete space-like surface with constant mean curvature which is "uniformly" non-umbilic. More precisely, our main theorem is the following:

**Theorem.** *The hyperbolic cylinder  $C(H)$  is the only complete space-like surface in  $L^3$  with non-zero constant mean curvature  $H$  whose principal curvatures  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  satisfy*

$$(0.3) \quad (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 \geq \varepsilon^2$$

for some positive number  $\varepsilon$ .

In §1, the fundamental equations for space-like surfaces are reviewed. Using these equations, we show in §2 that the second fundamental form of a space-like surface satisfying the assumptions of the theorem is determined when the surface is conformal to  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . In this case, the Gauss equation shows that there exists an entire solution of the equation  $\Delta\rho = \lambda \sinh \rho$  on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , where  $\lambda$  is a positive constant. As a consequence of the maximum principle, we prove in §3 that the only entire solution of this equation is the trivial one, which gives  $C(H)$ . The proof of the theorem follows immediately this fact.

The author would like to thank Dr. Masahiko Kanai for his helpful remarks.

### §1. Space-like surfaces with constant mean curvature.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a space-like surface in  $L^3$  with constant mean curvature  $H$ . Then the first fundamental form, *i.e.*, the induced metric  $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\Sigma}$  gives a riemannian metric on  $\Sigma$ . So, we can take isothermal parameters  $(u, v)$  as local coordinates of  $\Sigma$  in which  $g$  is written as

$$(1.1) \quad g = e^{\sigma}(du^2 + dv^2)$$

with some smooth function  $\sigma(u, v)$ . Using a complex parameter  $z = u + \sqrt{-1}v$ , we can also write

$$g = e^{\sigma} dzd\bar{z}.$$

Take the unit normal vector field of  $\Sigma$ , *i.e.*, a vector field  $\nu$  along  $\Sigma$  which satisfies  $\langle \nu, \nu \rangle = -1$ . So, the second fundamental form  $h$  of  $\Sigma$  is defined as a symmetric 2-tensor on  $\Sigma$  by

$$h(X, Y) = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_X \nu, Y \rangle \quad \text{for } X, Y \in T_p \Sigma$$

at each point  $p$  on  $\Sigma$ , where  $\bar{\nabla}$  is the canonical connection of  $L^3$ . Since the mean curvature  $H = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}_g h$ ,  $h$  is written as

$$h = Ldu^2 + 2Mdudv + (2e^{\sigma}H - L)dv^2$$

in the present isothermal coordinates.

Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be principal curvatures of  $\Sigma$ , i.e., the eigenvalues of  $h$  with respect to the metric  $g$ . So, the Gaussian curvature  $K$  and the mean curvature  $H$  are written as

$$\begin{aligned} K &= -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = e^{-2\sigma} \{M^2 - L(2e^\sigma H - L)\}, \\ H &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 = 4(H^2 + K) = 4e^{2\sigma} \{(L - e^\sigma H)^2 + M^2\}$$

holds.

Define a function  $\Phi$  on  $\Sigma$  locally as

$$(1.3) \quad \Phi(z) = (L - e^\sigma H) - \sqrt{-1}M.$$

So,

$$(1.4) \quad (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 = 4|\Phi|^2 e^{-2\sigma}.$$

Note that a point  $p$  on  $\Sigma$  with a complex coordinate  $z$  is an umbilical point if and only if  $\Phi(z) = 0$ .

In the present coordinates, the fundamental equations of  $\Sigma$  become as the following:

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a space-like surface in  $L^3$  with constant mean curvature  $H$ , and  $(u, v)$  its isothermal coordinates in which the first fundamental form  $g$  is written as (1.1). Then,*

- (1) (Codazzi equation) *The locally defined function  $\Phi(z)$  in (1.3) is holomorphic.*
- (2) (Gauss' equation) *The Gaussian curvature  $K$  of  $\Sigma$  is the intrinsic sectional curvature of  $(\Sigma, g)$ , i.e.,*

$$K = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-\sigma} \Delta \sigma = (H^2 e^\sigma - |\Phi|^2 e^{-\sigma}), \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}.$$

For example, let  $\Sigma = C(H)$ , the hyperbolic cylinder defined in (0.2). Putting  $u = H^{-1} \tanh^{-1}(x^1/x^3)$  and  $v = x^2$ , we have the global isothermal coordinates  $(u, v)$  on  $\Sigma$  in which  $g$ ,  $h$  and  $\Phi$  are written as the following:

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} g = du^2 + dv^2 \\ h = 2H du^2 \\ \Phi = H = \text{constant} . \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $C(H)$  is isometric to the euclidean plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

Conversely, a complete flat space-like surface with non-zero constant mean curvature  $H$  is congruent to  $C(H)$ .

By (1.2), the Gaussian curvature  $K$  of  $\Sigma$  satisfies  $-H^2 \leq K$ . On the other hand,  $K$  must be non-positive if  $\Sigma$  is closed with respect to the euclidean topology [CY]. In particular, if  $H = 0$ ,  $\Sigma$  must be flat. This proves the Bernstein-type theorem for space-like surfaces in  $L^3$ .

## §2. Complete space-like surface conformal to $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

In this section, a complete space-like surface  $\Sigma$  is assumed to be conformal to the euclidean plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . So, we can take the standard coordinates  $(u, v)$  of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  as the *global* isothermal coordinates of  $\Sigma$  in which the first fundamental form  $g$  has the form

$$(2.1) \quad g = e^\sigma(du^2 + dv^2) = e^\sigma dzd\bar{z}$$

with some smooth function  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . So, the complex valued function  $\Phi(z)$  is defined on the whole plane  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}^2$ , and holomorphic because of Lemma 1.1(1). That is,  $\Phi$  is an entire holomorphic function on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Though there are many entire function on  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\Phi$  must be constant under the assumptions of our theorem.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a complete surface as above whose principal curvatures  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  satisfy*

$$(2.2) \quad (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 > 0$$

*for some positive  $\varepsilon$ . Then the function  $\Phi(z)$  in (1.2) must be constant.*

*Proof:* Substituting (2.1) into (2.3), we have

$$(2.3) \quad 2\varepsilon^{-1}|\Phi| \geq e^\sigma.$$

Consider a riemannian metric

$$\hat{g} = 2\varepsilon^{-1}|\Phi|(du^2 + dv^2) = 2\varepsilon^{-1}|\Phi|dzd\bar{z}$$

on  $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{C}$ . Then, (2.3) shows  $\hat{g} \geq g$  as quadratic forms on  $T\mathbf{R}^2$ . So, by the completeness of  $g$ ,  $\hat{g}$  is also a complete metric on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

On the other hand, the Gaussian curvature of  $\hat{g}$  is

$$K_{\hat{g}} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{4}|\Phi|^{-1}\Delta \log |\Phi| = 0$$

since  $\Phi$  is holomorphic.

Hence,  $\hat{g}$  is the flat complete metric on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then, there exists an isometry

$$\mu : (\mathbf{C}, \hat{g}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{C}, g_0),$$

where  $g_0$  is the standard metric of  $\mathbf{C}$ . The isometry  $\mu$  can be considered as an entire holomorphic function which maps  $\mathbf{C}$  onto  $\mathbf{C}$  injectively, since it is conformal. So,  $\mu$  must have a pole of order 1 at  $\infty$ . Thus  $\mu$  is linear, *i.e.*,

$$\mu(z) = az + b$$

for some constant  $a \neq 0$  and  $b$ .

Hence

$$2\varepsilon^{-1}|\Phi|dzd\bar{z} = \hat{g} = \mu^*g_0 = |a|^{-2}dzd\bar{z},$$

and then,  $\Phi$  must be constant. ■

Substituting this into the Gauss equation, Lemma 1.1(2), and putting  $\lambda = 4|H/\Phi|$ , we have the following equation.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be as in Lemma 2.1 and  $\rho = \sigma + \log |H/\Phi|$ . Then  $\rho$  satisfies the equation*

$$(2.4) \quad \Delta\rho = \lambda \sinh \rho \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}^2,$$

where  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}$ , and  $\lambda = 4|H/\Phi|$ , a positive constant.

The trivial solution  $\rho \equiv 0$  gives the flat metric on  $\Sigma$ , and hence, it corresponds to the hyperbolic cylinder  $C(H)$ .

### §3. Non existence of non-trivial solutions of (2.4).

In this section, we shall prove the following proposition, the maximum principle for the equation (2.4).

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $\lambda$  be a positive number. Then the equation*

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta\rho = \lambda \sinh \rho \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}^2$$

*has no entire solutions except  $\rho \equiv 0$ .*

To prove this, we look at radially symmetric solutions of (3.1). Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \varphi''(r) + \frac{1}{r}\varphi'(r) = \lambda \sinh \varphi(r) \quad \text{for } r \geq 0, \\ \text{(b)} \quad & \varphi(0) = a > 0, \quad \varphi'(0) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ' is the derivation with respect to  $r$ . So, the solution of (3.2) is a radially symmetric solution of (3.1) with  $r = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ . First, we claim the local existence of a solution of (3.2).

**Lemma 3.2.** *There exists a local solution of (3.2)(a) and (3.2)(b).*

*Proof:* Write (3.2) as

$$\varphi(r) = a + \int_0^r \frac{ds}{s} \int_0^s t \sinh \varphi(t) dt,$$

and use a usual iteration argument. ■

Nevertheless, there exist no global solutions of (3.2) except the trivial solution  $\rho \equiv 0$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *There exists no entire, radially symmetric solution  $\varphi(r)$  of (3.1) with  $\varphi(0) > 0$ .*

*Proof:* Suppose  $\varphi(r)$  be an entire radially symmetric solution of (3.1) with  $\varphi(0) = a > 0$ . So,  $\varphi$  satisfies (3.2).

Write the equation (3.2) as

$$(3.3) \quad (r\varphi')' = r \sinh \varphi.$$

By (3.2)(b) and (3.3),

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi'(r) > 0 \quad \text{for } r > 0$$

holds, and then,  $\varphi$  is a simply increasing function of  $r$ . In particular,  $\sinh \varphi(r) \geq \sinh a$  for  $r \geq 0$ . Substituting this into (3.3), we have

$$(r\varphi')' \geq \lambda \sinh a.$$

Integrating this twice, the inequality

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi - a \geq \frac{r^2}{4} \lambda \sinh a$$

holds, and hence  $\varphi$  tends to  $+\infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

On the other hand,

$$\lambda \sinh \varphi \leq \varphi''$$

because of (3.2)(a) and (3.4). Integrating this,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi'(r)\}^2 &= \int_0^r \{\varphi'(s)\}^2 ds = 2 \int_0^r \varphi''(s) \varphi'(s) ds \\ &\leq 2\lambda \int_0^r \sinh \varphi(s) \varphi'(s) ds = 2\lambda \int_a^{\varphi(r)} \sinh x dx \\ &\leq 2\lambda(\cosh \varphi(r) - \cosh a) \\ &\leq 2\lambda(\cosh^2 \varphi(r) - 1) = 2\lambda \sinh^2 \varphi(r), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\cosh \varphi(r) \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varphi'}{r} &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{r} \sinh \varphi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \sinh \varphi \quad \text{for } r > r_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_1 = \sqrt{2/\lambda}$ . Substituting this into (3.2)(a), we have

$$\varphi'' \geq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \sinh \varphi \quad \text{for } r > r_1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi'(r)\}^2 - \{\varphi'(r_1)\}^2 &= 2 \int_{r_1}^r \varphi'(s) \varphi''(s) ds \\ &= \lambda \int_{\varphi(r_1)}^{\varphi(r)} \sinh x dx \\ &= \lambda \{\cosh \varphi(r) - \cosh \varphi(r_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a positive number  $r_2$  such that for  $r \geq r_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(r) &\geq \sqrt{\lambda \{\cosh \varphi(r) - \cosh \varphi(r_1)\}} \\ &\geq C_1 \exp\left(\frac{\varphi(r)}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  is a positive constant. Integrating this inequality, we have

$$(3.6) \quad C_1^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{\varphi(r)}{2}\right) \geq r + C_2 \quad \text{for } r > r_2$$

with some constant  $C_2$ . Here,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r) = +\infty$  because of (3.5). Then, the left-hand side of (3.6) tends to 0 when  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . This shows that  $r$  is bounded, and contradicts the assumption. ■

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a non-trivial radially symmetric solution of (3.1) with  $\varphi(0) > 0$ . Then, there exists a positive number  $R$  for which  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R} \varphi(r) = +\infty$ .*

*Proof:* By (3.4),  $\varphi(r)$  is an increasing function of  $r$ .

On the other hand,  $\varphi$  is a solution of (3.2)(a) in a finite interval  $[0, R)$  because of Lemma 3.2. Hence,  $\varphi$  tends to  $+\infty$  as  $r \rightarrow R$ . ■

*Proof of Proposition 3.1:* Let  $\rho$  be an entire solution of (3.1) which is not identically 0. So, we can suppose  $\rho(0) \neq 0$ . Assume  $\rho(0) = 2a > 0$  and take a radially symmetric solution of (3.1) with  $\varphi(0) = a$ . So, there exists a positive number  $R$  such that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R} \varphi(r) = +\infty$  because of Corollary 3.4.



Let  $f = \varphi - \rho$ , a function defined on  $B_R = \{(u, v) : r = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} < R\}$  with  $\lim_{r \rightarrow R} f = +\infty$ . Then,  $f$  takes a minimum at some point  $p$  in  $B_R$ . Assume  $f(p) < 0$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f(p) &= \Delta \varphi(p) - \Delta \rho(p) \\ &= \lambda \{ \sinh \varphi(p) - \sinh \rho(p) \} \\ &= 2\lambda \cosh \frac{\varphi(p) + \rho(p)}{2} \sinh \frac{f(p)}{2} \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction to that  $f$  takes its minimum at  $p$ . Hence  $f = \varphi - \rho \geq 0$  in  $B_R$ . In particular,  $f(0) = \varphi(0) - \rho(0) = a - 2a = -a < 0$ . This is impossible. Thus there exists no entire solution  $\rho$  of (3.1) which takes a positive value.

When  $\rho(0) < 0$ , we have the same conclusion by considering  $-\rho$  instead of  $\rho$ . ■

*Remark.* In [Os], Ossermann showed the non-existence of entire solutions of  $\Delta u \geq f(u)$ , where  $f$  is a positive, increasing function with large growth rate. Though our equation (2.4) does not satisfy his assumptions, almost all parts of his proof are valid for Proposition 3.1.

#### §4. Proof of the main theorem.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a complete space-like surface satisfying the assumptions of the theorem. Note that a complete space-like surface can be represented an entire graph on the  $x_1x_2$ -plane in  $L^3$ . In particular,  $\Sigma$  must be simply connected. Thus,  $\Sigma$  is conformal to either the Poincaré disc  $\mathbf{H}^2$  or the euclidean plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , since it is non-compact.

By the assumptions,

$$(4.1) \quad 2\epsilon^{-1}|\Phi| \geq e^\sigma$$

holds in the isothermal coordinates as in §2.

Assume  $\Sigma$  is conformal to  $\mathbf{H}^2 = (D, g_0)$ , where  $D = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and  $g_0 = 4dzd\bar{z}/(1 - |z|^2)$ . So,  $(\Sigma, g)$  is isometric to  $(D, g = e^\sigma dzd\bar{z})$  for some function  $\sigma$  on  $D$ . Here, the completeness of  $g$  implies

$$\lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow \partial D} e^\sigma = +\infty.$$

So, the function  $\Phi$  is a non-vanishing holomorphic function on  $D$  which satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{(u,v) \rightarrow \partial D} |\Phi| = +\infty$$

because of (4.1). Let  $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$ . Then,  $\Psi$  is holomorphic in  $D$  and continuous on  $\bar{D}$  with  $\Psi|_{\partial D} = 0$ . Then, by Cauchy's formula,

$$\Psi(0) = -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} \frac{\Psi(z)}{z} dz = 0.$$

This is impossible. Therefore  $\Sigma$  cannot be conformal to  $\mathbf{H}^2$ .

Hence  $\Sigma$  must be conformal to  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then we can take global coordinates  $(u, v)$  of  $\Sigma$  in which the first fundamental form  $g$  is written as (2.1). So,  $\sigma$  in (2.1) satisfies the equation (2.4) and then, must be constant because of Lemma 3.1. Thus  $g$  is the flat metric and hence,  $\Sigma$  is congruent to the hyperbolic cylinder  $C(H)$ . This completes the proof of the main theorem. ■

*Remark.* If a non-trivial radially symmetric solution of (2.4) satisfies (4.1),  $\rho(0)$  must be negative.

Take a radially symmetric solution  $\rho$  of (2.4) with  $\rho(0) > 0$ . So  $\sigma$  becomes a metric on a disc  $B_R = \{(u, v) : u^2 + v^2 < R^2\}$  and  $(B_R, g)$  is a complete metric on  $B_R$  because of Lemma 3.4. This gives a complete space-like surface with constant mean curvature  $H$  which is conformal to  $\mathbf{H}^2$ , but it does not satisfy the assumption (0.3).

#### REFERENCES

- [Ah] L. V. Ahlfors, "Complex Analysis", 3rd Ed., McGraw-Hill, 1979.
- [Ca] E. Calabi, *Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations*, Proc. Symp. Pure. Appl. Math. **15** (1968), 223-230.
- [CB] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, *Maximal submanifolds and submanifolds with constant mean curvature of a Lorentzian manifold*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **3** (1976), 361-376.
- [CY] S.-Y. Cheng, S.-T. Yau, *Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces*, Annals of Math. **104** (1976), 407-419.
- [Go] A. J. Goddard, *Some remarks on the existence of spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **82** (1977), 489-495.
- [Hp] H. Hopf, "Differential Geometry in the Large", Lect. Notes in Math. 1000, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Os] R. Ossermann, *On the inequality  $\Delta u \geq f(u)$* , Pacific J. Math. **7** (1957), 1641-1647.
- [Tr] A. E. Treibergs, *Entire spacelike hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in Minkowski space*, Invent. math. **66** (1982), 39-56.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Keio University  
Yokohama 223, JAPAN