# Solvability of the initial value problem to the Isobe–Kakinuma model for water waves

Ryo Nemoto and Tatsuo Iguchi

#### Abstract

We consider the initial value problem to the Isobe–Kakinuma model for water waves and the structure of the model. The Isobe–Kakinuma model is the Euler–Lagrange equations for an approximate Lagrangian which is derived from Luke's Lagrangian for water waves by approximating the velocity potential in the Lagrangian. The Isobe–Kakinuma model is a system of second order partial differential equations and is classified into a system of nonlinear dispersive equations. Since the hypersurface t = 0 is characteristic for the Isobe– Kakinuma model, the initial data have to be restricted in an infinite dimensional manifold for the existence of the solution. Under this necessary condition and a sign condition, which corresponds to a generalized Rayleigh–Taylor sign condition for water waves, on the initial data, we show that the initial value problem is solvable locally in time in Sobolev spaces. We also discuss the linear dispersion relation to the model.

#### **1** Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the solvability locally in time of the initial value problem to the Isobe–Kakinuma model for water waves. The water wave problem is mathematically formulated as a free boundary problem for an irrotational flow of an inviscid and incompressible fluid under the gravitational field. We consider the water filled in (n + 1)-dimensional Euclidean space. Let t be the time,  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  the horizontal spatial coordinates, and z the vertical spatial coordinate. We assume that the water surface and the bottom are represented as z = $\eta(x,t)$  and z = -h + b(x), respectively, where  $\eta = \eta(x,t)$  is the surface elevation, h is the mean depth, and b = b(x) represents the bottom topography. J. C. Luke [16] showed that the water wave problem has a variational structure, that is, he gave a Lagrangian in terms of the velocity potential  $\Phi = \Phi(x, z, t)$  and the surface elevation  $\eta$  in the form

(1.1) 
$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{Luke}}(\Phi,\eta) = \int_{-h+b(x)}^{\eta(x,t)} \left(\partial_t \Phi(x,z,t) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_X \Phi(x,z,t)|^2 + gz\right) \mathrm{d}z$$

and the action function

$$\mathscr{J}(\Phi,\eta) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_{\Omega} \mathscr{L}_{\text{Luke}}(\Phi,\eta) \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t,$$

where  $\nabla_X = (\nabla, \partial_z) = (\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}, \partial_z)$ , g is the gravitational constant, and  $\Omega$  is an appropriate region in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . He showed that the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation is exactly the basic equations for water waves. M. Isobe [8, 9] and T. Kakinuma [10, 11, 12] approximated the velocity potential  $\Phi$  in Luke's Lagrangian by

$$\Phi^{\text{app}}(x,z,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \Psi_i(z;b)\phi_i(x,t),$$

where  $\{\Psi_i\}$  is an appropriate function system in the vertical coordinate z and may depend on the bottom topography b and  $(\phi_0, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_N)$  are unknown variables, and derived an approximate Lagrangian  $\mathscr{L}^{app}(\phi_0, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_N, \eta) = \mathscr{L}_{Luke}(\Phi^{app}, \eta)$ . The Isobe–Kakinuma model is the corresponding Euler–Lagrange equation for the approximated Lagrangian. Different choices of the function system  $\{\Psi_i\}$  give different Isobe–Kakinuma models. In this paper we adopt the approximation

(1.2) 
$$\Phi^{\text{app}}(x,z,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} (z+h-b(x))^{p_i} \phi_i(x,t),$$

where  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_N$  are nonnegative integers satisfying  $0 = p_0 < p_1 < \cdots < p_N$ . As we will see later, a natural choice of these exponents is given by  $p_i = 2i$  in the case of the flat bottom, that is the case  $b(x) \equiv 0$ , and  $p_i = i$  in the case of variable bottom topographies. In order to treat the both cases at the same time, we consider such a general case. Plugging this into Luke's Lagrangian (1.1) we obtain an approximate Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{L}^{\text{app}}(\phi_{0},\phi_{1},\ldots,\phi_{N},\eta) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}+1} H^{p_{i}+1} \partial_{t} \phi_{i} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{p_{i}+p_{j}+1} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}+1} \nabla \phi_{i} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} - \frac{2p_{i}}{p_{i}+p_{j}} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}} \phi_{i} \nabla b \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} \\ &+ \frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{i}+p_{j}-1} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}-1} (1+|\nabla b|^{2}) \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g(\eta^{2} - (-h+b)^{2}), \end{split}$$

where H = H(x,t) is the depth of the water and is given by  $H(x,t) = h + \eta(x,t) - b(x)$ . Here and in what follows we use the notational convention 0/0 = 0. Then, the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation has the form

$$(1.3) \begin{cases} H^{p_{i}}\partial_{t}\eta + \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{p_{i} + p_{j} + 1} H^{p_{i} + p_{j} + 1} \nabla \phi_{j} - \frac{p_{j}}{p_{i} + p_{j}} H^{p_{i} + p_{j}} \phi_{j} \nabla b \right) \\ + \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i} + p_{j}} H^{p_{i} + p_{j}} \nabla b \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} - \frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{i} + p_{j} - 1} H^{p_{i} + p_{j} - 1} (1 + |\nabla b|^{2}) \phi_{j} \right\} = 0 \\ \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{N} H^{p_{i}} \partial_{t} \phi_{i} + g\eta + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} \left( H^{p_{i} + p_{j}} \nabla \phi_{i} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} - 2p_{i} H^{p_{i} + p_{j} - 1} \phi_{i} \nabla b \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} \\ + p_{i} p_{j} H^{p_{i} + p_{j} - 2} (1 + |\nabla b|^{2}) \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

This is the Isobe–Kakinuma model that we are going to consider in this paper. We consider the initial value problem to this Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) under the initial conditions

(1.4) 
$$(\eta, \phi_0, \dots, \phi_N) = (\eta_{(0)}, \phi_{0(0)}, \dots, \phi_{N(0)})$$
 at  $t = 0$ .

Unique solvability locally in time of the initial value problem (1.3)–(1.4) in the case N = 1 and  $p_1 = 2$  and fundamental properties of the model are presented in Y. Murakami and T. Iguchi [17]. Therefore, this paper is a generalization of their results.

One of the interesting features of the model is its linear dispersion relation. In the following section we will consider the linearized equations of the model around the rest state in the case of the flat bottom and calculate the linear dispersion relation together with the phase speed  $c_{IK}(\xi)$  of the plane wave solution related to the wave vector  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . See (2.4). It is well known that the phase speed  $c_{WW}(\xi)$  of the plane wave solution to the linearized equations for water waves is given by

$$c_{WW}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{gh \frac{\tanh(h|\xi|)}{h|\xi|}}.$$

If we choose  $p_i = 2i$ , then we can show that

(1.5) 
$$(c_{IK}(\xi))^2 = [2N/2N]$$
 Padé approximant of  $(c_{WW}(\xi))^2$ ,

which will be given in Theorem 2.2. Concerning Padé approximants we refer to G. A. Baker and P. Graves-Morris [2]. This relation (1.5) implies that the Isobe–Kakinuma model gives a good approximation of the basic equations for water waves in the shallow water regime  $h|\xi| \ll 1$ . In fact, T. Iguchi [7] gave a mathematically rigorous justification of the Isobe–Kakinuma model in the case of the flat bottom with the choice N = 1 and  $p_1 = 2$ . He showed that the Isobe-Kakinuma model gives a higher order shallow water approximation for water waves with an error of order  $O(\delta^6)$ , where  $\delta$  is a small nondimensional parameter defined as the ratio of the mean depth h to the typical wave length. We note that the Green–Naghdi equations are known as a higher order shallow water approximation for water waves with an error of order  $\delta^4$ . Therefore, the Isobe–Kakinuma model gives a better approximation than the Green–Naghdi equations in the shallow water regime. Concerning the shallow water approximations and the rigorous justifications of the Green–Naghdi equations we refer to T. Iguchi [5, 6], B. Alvarez-Samaniego and D. Lannes [1], Y. A. Li [15], H. Fujiwara and T. Iguchi [4], and D. Lannes [14]. The relation (1.5) anticipates that the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) in the case of the flat bottom with the choice  $p_i = 2i$  would give an approximation with an error of order  $O(\delta^{4N+2})$ . We postpone this subject in the future research. If we choose  $p_i = i$ , we do not have such a beautiful relation as (1.5) any more. However, this choice of  $p_i$  would be important in the case of the variable bottom topographies and we still have a good approximation, which will be stated in Theorem 2.3.

The Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) is written in the matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} H^{p_0} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots\\ H^{p_N} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & H^{p_0} & \cdots & H^{p_N} \end{pmatrix} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \eta\\ \phi_0\\ \vdots\\ \phi_N \end{pmatrix} + \{\text{spatial derivatives}\} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since the coefficient matrix always has the zero eigenvalue, the hypersurface t = 0 in the spacetime  $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}$  is characteristic for the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3), so that the initial value problem (1.3)–(1.4) is not solvable in general. In fact, if the problem has a solution  $(\eta, \phi_0, \ldots, \phi_N)$ , then by eliminating the time derivative  $\partial_t \eta$  from the equations we see that the solution has to satisfy the relation

$$(1.6) \qquad H^{p_{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{p_{j}+1} H^{p_{j}+1} \nabla \phi_{j} - \frac{p_{j}}{p_{j}} H^{p_{j}} \phi_{j} \nabla b \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left\{ \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{p_{i}+p_{j}+1} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}+1} \nabla \phi_{j} - \frac{p_{j}}{p_{i}+p_{j}} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}} \phi_{j} \nabla b \right) \\ + \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}+p_{j}} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}} \nabla b \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} - \frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{i}+p_{j}-1} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}-1} (1+|\nabla b|^{2}) \phi_{j} \right\}$$

for i = 1, ..., N. Therefore, as a necessary condition the initial date  $(\eta_{(0)}, \phi_{0(0)}, ..., \phi_{N(0)})$  and the bottom topography b have to satisfy the relation (1.6) for the existence of the solution. As we will see in Proposition 1.3, such initial data are constructed from the three scalar functions  $b, \eta_{(0)}$ , and  $\phi_{(0)}$ , where  $\phi_{(0)}$  is the initial data for the trace of the velocity potential on the water surface.

The water wave problem has a conserved energy

$$E_{WW}(t) = \frac{\rho}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ \int_{-h+b(x)}^{\eta(x,t)} \left| \nabla_X \Phi(x,z,t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}z + g(\eta(x,t))^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x,$$

where  $\rho$  is the constant density of the water. The first term in the right-hand side is the kinetic energy and the second one is the potential energy due to the gravity. The Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) has also a conserved energy

(1.7) 
$$E(t) = \frac{\rho}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ \int_{-h+b(x)}^{\eta(x,t)} \left| \nabla_X \Phi^{\text{app}}(x,z,t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}z + g \left( \eta(x,t) \right)^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x,$$

where  $\Phi^{\text{app}}$  is given by (1.2). See (5.6) for more explicit expression of this energy function E(t). Under a physically reasonable condition on the water surface  $\eta$  and the bottom topography b we have an equivalence

$$E(t) \simeq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ |\nabla \phi_0(x,t)|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left( |\nabla \phi_i(x,t)|^2 + \left( \phi_i(x,t) \right)^2 \right) + \left( \eta(x,t) \right)^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x$$

Therefore, it is natural to work in the function space  $\eta, \nabla \phi_0 \in C([0,T]; H^m)$  and  $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_N \in C([0,T]; H^{m+1})$ , where  $H^m = W^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is the standard  $L^2$  Sobolev space of order m on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

It is well known that the well-posedness of the initial value problem to the water wave problem may be broken unless a generalized Rayleigh–Taylor sign condition  $-\frac{\partial P}{\partial N} \ge c_0 > 0$  on the water surface is satisfied, where P is the pressure and N is the unit outward normal on the water surface. For example, we refer to S. Wu [18, 19] and D. Lannes [13]. This sign condition is equivalent to  $-\partial_z P \ge c_0 > 0$  because the pressure P is equal to the constant atmospheric pressure  $P_0$  on the water surface. By using Bernoulli's law

$$\partial_t \Phi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_X \Phi|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} (P - P_0) + gz \equiv 0,$$

the sign condition can be written in term of our unknowns  $(\eta, \phi_0, \ldots, \phi_N)$  and b as  $a(x, t) \ge c_0 > 0$ , where

(1.8) 
$$a = g + \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_i H^{p_i - 1} \partial_t \phi_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} \Big\{ (p_i + p_j) H^{p_i + p_j - 1} \nabla \phi_i \cdot \nabla \phi_j - 2p_i (p_i + p_j - 1) H^{p_i + p_j - 2} \phi_i \nabla b \cdot \nabla \phi_j + p_i p_j (p_i + p_j - 2) H^{p_i + p_j - 3} (1 + |\nabla b|^2) \phi_i \phi_j \Big\}.$$

In fact, we have  $-\frac{1}{\rho}\partial_z P^{\text{app}} = g + \partial_z \partial_t \Phi^{\text{app}} + \nabla_X \partial_z \Phi^{\text{app}} + \nabla_X \Phi^{\text{app}} = a$  on  $z = \eta(x, t)$ . In this paper, we assume that this sign condition is satisfied at the initial time t = 0.

Now, we are ready to give our main result in this paper.

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $g, h, c_0, M_0$  be positive constants and m an integer such that m > n/2 + 1. There exists a time T > 0 such that if the initial data  $(\eta_{(0)}, \phi_{0(0)}, \ldots, \phi_{N(0)})$  and b satisfy the relation (1.6) and

(1.9) 
$$\begin{cases} \|\eta_{(0)}\|_m + \|\nabla\phi_{0(0)}\|_m + \|(\phi_{1(0)}, \dots, \phi_{N(0)})\|_{m+1} + \|b\|_{W^{m+2,\infty}} \le M_0, \\ h + \eta_{(0)}(x) - b(x) \ge c_0, \quad a(x,0) \ge c_0 \quad for \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

then the initial value problem (1.3)–(1.4) has a unique solution  $(\eta, \phi_0, \ldots, \phi_N)$  satisfying

$$\eta, \nabla \phi_0 \in C([0,T]; H^m), \quad \phi_1, \dots, \phi_N \in C([0,T]; H^{m+1}).$$

Moreover, the energy function E(t) defined by (1.7) is a conserved quantity.

**Remark 1.2** (1) If we impose an additional condition  $\phi_{(0)} \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then the solution satisfies an additional integrability  $\phi_0 \in C([0,T]; H^{m+1})$ .

(2) In the sign condition  $a(x,0) \ge c_0 > 0$  we use the quantities  $\partial_t \phi_1(x,0), \ldots, \partial_t \phi_N(x,0)$ which should be written in terms of the initial data. Although the hypersurface t = 0 is characteristic for the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3), we can express  $\partial_t \phi_1(x,0), \ldots, \partial_t \phi_N(x,0)$  in terms of the initial data and b. For details, we refer to Remark 4.1.

(3) Let  $\phi$  be the trace of the velocity potential  $\Phi$  on the water surface. In view of our approximation (1.2),  $\phi$  should be related to our variables approximately by the formula

(1.10) 
$$\phi = \sum_{i=0}^{N} H^{p_i} \phi_i.$$

Given the initial data  $\eta_{(0)}$  and  $\phi_{(0)}(=\phi|_{t=0})$  and the bottom topography b, the necessary condition (1.6) and the relation (1.10) determine uniquely the initial data  $\phi_{0(0)}, \ldots, \phi_{N(0)}$ . In fact, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.3** Let  $h, c_0, M_0$  be positive constants and m an integer such that m > n/2 + 1. There exists a positive C > 0 such that if the initial data  $(\eta_{(0)}, \phi_{(0)})$  and b satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \|\eta_{(0)}\|_m + \|b\|_{W^{m,\infty}} \le M_0, \quad \|\nabla\phi_{(0)}\|_{m-1} < \infty, \\ h + \eta_{(0)}(x) - b(x) \ge c_0 \quad for \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

then the necessary condition (1.6) and the relation (1.10) determine uniquely the initial data  $\phi_{0(0)}, \ldots, \phi_{N(0)}$ , which satisfy

$$\|\nabla\phi_{0(0)}\|_{m-1} + \|(\phi_{1(0)}, \dots, \phi_{N(0)})\|_m \le C \|\nabla\phi_{(0)}\|_{m-1}.$$

The contents of this paper are as follows. In Section 2 we consider the linearized equations of the Isobe–Kakinuma model around the rest state in the case of the flat bottom and analyze the linear dispersion relation. Especially, we show the beautiful relation (1.5). In Section 3 we consider the linearized equations of the Isobe–Kakinuma model around an arbitrary flow, reveal a hidden symmetric structure of the model, and give an idea to obtain an energy estimate for the solution of the nonlinear equations. In Section 4 we transform the Isobe–Kakinuma model to a system of equations for which the hypersurface t = 0 is noncharacteristic by using the necessary condition (1.6) and construct the solution of the initial value problem to the transformed system by using a standard parabolic regularization. In Section 5 we show that the solution constructed in Section 4 is the solution of the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) if the initial data satisfy the necessary condition (1.6).

**Notation**. We denote by  $W^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  the  $L^p$  Sobolev space of order m on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . The norms of the Lebesgue space  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and the Sobolev space  $H^m = W^{m,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  are denoted by  $|\cdot|_p$  and  $||\cdot||_m$ , respectively. The  $L^2$ -norm and the  $L^2$ -inner product are simply denoted by  $||\cdot||$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2}$ , respectively. We put  $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ ,  $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$ , and  $\partial_z = \partial/\partial z$ . For a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  we put  $\partial^{\alpha} = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\alpha_n}$ . [P,Q] = PQ - QP denotes the commutator. For a matrix A we denote by  $A^T$  the transpose of A. For a vector  $\boldsymbol{\phi} = (\phi_0, \phi_1, \ldots, \phi_N)^T$  we denote the last N components by  $\boldsymbol{\phi}' = (\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_N)^T$ . We use the notational convention 0/0 = 0.

## 2 Linear dispersion relation

In this section we consider the linearized equations of the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) in the case of the flat bottom. The linearized equations have the form

(2.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta + \sum_{j=0}^N \left( \frac{1}{p_i + p_j + 1} h^{p_j + 1} \Delta \phi_j - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_i + p_j - 1} h^{p_j - 1} \phi_j \right) = 0 \\ \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{i=0}^N h^{p_i} \partial_t \phi_i + g\eta = 0. \end{cases}$$

Putting  $\boldsymbol{\psi} = (h^{p_0}\phi_0, \dots, h^{p_N}\phi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$  we can rewrite the above equations as the following simple matrix form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & h\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r & r \\ r & r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r & h \\ r & r \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & h\mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -h\mathbf{1} & O \end{pmatrix} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} gh & \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & A(hD) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

where  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^{\mathrm{T}}$  and  $A(hD) = -A_0h^2\Delta + A_1$ . The  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  matrices  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are given by

$$A_{0} = \left(\frac{1}{p_{i} + p_{j} + 1}\right)_{0 \le i, j \le N}, \qquad A_{1} = \left(\frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{i} + p_{j} - 1}\right)_{0 \le i, j \le N}$$

where we used a rather nonstandard notation for matrices. Since it might not cause any confusion, we will continue to use this notation in the following. Therefore, the linear dispersion relation is given by

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} gh & \sqrt{-1}h\omega \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\sqrt{-1}h\omega \mathbf{1} & A(h\xi) \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$  is the wave vector,  $\omega \in \mathbf{C}$  is the angular frequency, and  $A(h\xi) = (h|\xi|)^2 A_0 + A_1$ . We can rewrite the above dispersion relation as

(2.2) 
$$h^2 \omega^2 \det \tilde{A}(h\xi) - gh \det A(h\xi) = 0.$$

Throughout this section we use the notation

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\mathbf{1} & A \end{array}\right)$$

for a matrix A. Concerning the determinants in the dispersion relation (2.2) we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.1** 1. For any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , the symmetric matrix  $A(h\xi)$  is positive.

- 2. There exists  $c_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$  we have  $\det \tilde{A}(h\xi) \ge c_0$ .
- 3.  $(h|\xi|)^{-2} \det A(h\xi)$  is a polynomial in  $(h|\xi|)^2$  of degree N and the coefficient of  $(h|\xi|)^{2N}$  is det  $A_0$ .
- 4. det  $\tilde{A}(h\xi)$  is a polynomial in  $(h|\xi|)^2$  of degree N and the coefficient of  $(h|\xi|)^{2N}$  is det  $\tilde{A}_0$ .

**Proof.** For any  $\boldsymbol{\psi} = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_N)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbf{R}^{N+1}$  we see that

(2.3) 
$$(A(h\xi)\psi) \cdot \psi = \int_0^1 \left\{ (h|\xi|)^2 \left( \sum_{i=0}^N \psi_i z^{p_i} \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^N p_i \psi_i z^{p_i-1} \right)^2 \right\} dz$$
$$\simeq (h|\xi|)^2 |\psi|^2 + |\psi'|^2,$$

where  $\psi' = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . This shows a positivity of  $A(h\xi)$  for  $\xi \neq \mathbf{0}$ .

Let  $\xi \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $C(h\xi) = (C_{ij}(h\xi))_{0 \leq i,j \leq N}$  be the cofactor matrix of  $A(h\xi)$ . Since  $A(h\xi)$  is symmetric,  $C(h\xi)$  is also symmetric and we have  $C(h\xi) = (\det A(h\xi))A(h\xi)^{-1}$ . Then, expanding the first row of the matrix  $\tilde{A}(h\xi)$  we see that

$$\det \tilde{A}(h\xi) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} C_{ij}(h\xi) = (C(h\xi)\mathbf{1}) \cdot \mathbf{1} = (\det A(h\xi))(A(h\xi)^{-1}\mathbf{1}) \cdot \mathbf{1},$$

which implies the positivity of det  $\tilde{A}(h\xi)$  due to the positivity of  $A(h\xi)$ . We proceed to consider the case  $\xi = \mathbf{0}$ . It holds that  $\tilde{A}(\mathbf{0}) = \tilde{A}_1$  and

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_1' \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1' = \begin{pmatrix} p_i p_j \\ p_i + p_j - 1 \end{pmatrix}_{1 \le i, j \le N}$$

By the similar calculation as (2.3) we see the positivity of the symmetric matrix  $A'_1$ . Moreover, we have det  $\tilde{A}(\mathbf{0}) = \det A'_1 > 0$ . Therefore, we obtain the strict positivity of det  $\tilde{A}(h\xi)$ .

It is easy to see that det  $A(h\xi)$  is a polynomial in  $(h|\xi|)^2$  of degree less than or equal to N+1and that the coefficient of  $(h|\xi|)^{2(N+1)}$  is det  $A_0$ . By the similar calculation as (2.3) we see the positivity of the symmetric matrix  $A_0$  so that the degree is in fact N + 1. Moreover,  $A(\mathbf{0})$  has the zero eigenvalue with an eigenvector  $(1, 0, \ldots, 0)^T$ , so that det  $A(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ . Therefore, the term of degree 0 in det  $A(h\xi)$  vanishes so that  $(h|\xi|)^{-2} \det A(h\xi)$  is a polynomial in  $(h|\xi|)^2$  of degree N. Similarly we see that det  $\tilde{A}(h\xi)$  is a polynomial in  $(h|\xi|)^2$  of degree less than or equal to Nand that the coefficient of  $(h|\xi|)^{2N}$  is det  $\tilde{A}_0$ , which is also positive, so that the degree is in fact N.  $\Box$ 

Thanks of this proposition and the dispersion relation (2.2), the linearized system (2.1) is classified into the dispersive system, so that the Isobe–Kakinuma model is a nonlinear dispersive system of equations. Therefore, we can define the phase speed  $c_{IK}(\xi)$  of the plane wave solution to (2.1) related to the wave vector  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$  by

(2.4) 
$$c_{IK}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{gh \frac{(h|\xi|)^{-2} \det A(h\xi)}{\det \tilde{A}(h\xi)}}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that

$$\lim_{|\xi| \to +\infty} c_{IK}(\xi) = \pm \sqrt{gh \frac{\det A_0}{\det \tilde{A}_0}},$$

which is not zero. It is not consistent with the linear water waves:  $\lim_{|\xi|\to+\infty} c_{WW}(\xi) = 0$ . This implies that the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) cannot give a good approximation to the water waves in deep water. However, as is shown by the following theorems the Isobe–Kakinuma model gives a very precise approximation in the shallow water regime  $h|\xi| \ll 1$ .

**Theorem 2.2** If we choose  $p_i = 2i$  (i = 0, 1, ..., N), then  $(c_{IK}(\xi))^2$  becomes the [2N/2N] Padé approximant of  $(c_{WW}(\xi))^2$ . More precisely, there exists a positive constant C depending only on N such that for any  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and any h, g > 0 we have

$$\left| \left( \frac{c_{WW}(\xi)}{\sqrt{gh}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{c_{IK}(\xi)}{\sqrt{gh}} \right)^2 \right| \le C(h|\xi|)^{4N+2}.$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality it is sufficient to consider the case h = 1 and to show that

(2.5) 
$$|\xi| \tanh |\xi| = \frac{\det A(\xi)}{\det \tilde{A}(\xi)} + O(|\xi|^{4N+4}) \text{ as } |\xi| \to 0$$

Let  $\hat{\Phi}(z) = \frac{1}{\cosh |\xi|} \cosh(|\xi|z)$ . In view of  $\hat{\Phi}''(z) = |\xi|^2 \hat{\Phi}(z)$ ,  $\hat{\Phi}(1) = 1$ ,  $\hat{\Phi}'(1) = |\xi| \tanh |\xi|$ , and  $\hat{\Phi}'(0) = 0$ , we have

(2.6) 
$$|\xi| \tanh |\xi| = \int_0^1 \{ |\xi|^2 (\hat{\Phi}(z))^2 + (\hat{\Phi}'(z))^2 \} dz.$$

By the Taylor series expansion of  $\cosh(|\xi|z)$  we have

$$\hat{\Phi}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{2N} \hat{\psi}_i z^{2i} + O(|\xi|^{4N+2}), \quad \hat{\Phi}'(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{2N} 2i\hat{\psi}_i z^{2i-1} + O(|\xi|^{4N+2})$$

uniformly with respect to  $z \in [0, 1]$ , where

(2.7) 
$$\hat{\psi}_i = \frac{|\xi|^{2i}}{(2i)! \cosh |\xi|}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

Plugging these expansions into (2.6) we see that

$$|\xi| \tanh |\xi| = \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{2(i+j)+1} |\xi|^2 + \frac{4ij}{2(i+j)-1} \right) \hat{\psi}_i \hat{\psi}_j + 2R + O(|\xi|^{4N+4}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} R &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} \frac{|\xi|^2}{2(i+j)+1} \hat{\psi}_i \hat{\psi}_j + \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} \frac{4ij}{2(i+j)-1} \hat{\psi}_i \hat{\psi}_j \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} \left( \frac{|\xi|^2}{2(i+j)+1} \hat{\psi}_i \hat{\psi}_j + \frac{4(i+1)j}{2(i+j)+1} \hat{\psi}_{i+1} \hat{\psi}_j \right) \\ &= \frac{|\xi|}{\cosh^2 |\xi|} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=N+1}^{2N} \frac{|\xi|^{2i+1}}{(2i+1)!} \frac{|\xi|^{2j}}{(2j)!} \\ &= \frac{|\xi| \tanh |\xi|}{\cosh |\xi|} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{2j}}{(2j)!} + O(|\xi|^{4N+4}). \end{split}$$

Here we used the explicit form (2.7) of  $\hat{\psi}_i$ . Therefore, we obtain

(2.8) 
$$(|\xi| \tanh |\xi|) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\cosh |\xi|} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{2j}}{(2j)!} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{2(i+j)+1} |\xi|^2 + \frac{4ij}{2(i+j)-1} \right) \hat{\psi}_i \hat{\psi}_j + O(|\xi|^{4N+4}).$$

Up to now we did not use the specific choice of  $p_i$ . From now on, we use the advantage of the choice  $p_i = 2i$ . Then, we can rewrite the above identity (2.8) as

(2.9) 
$$(|\xi| \tanh |\xi|) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\cosh |\xi|} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{2j}}{(2j)!} \right) = (A(\xi)\hat{\psi}) \cdot \hat{\psi} + O(|\xi|^{4N+4})$$

where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} = (\hat{\psi}_0, \dots, \hat{\psi}_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . On the other hand, we consider the following equations for  $\hat{\eta}$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = (\hat{\phi}_0, \dots, \hat{\phi}_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ .

(2.10) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\mathbf{1} & A(\xi) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\eta} \\ \hat{\phi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the coefficient matrix  $\tilde{A}(\xi)$  is nonsingular, we have a unique solution  $(\hat{\eta}, \hat{\phi})$  of these equations. Moreover, we see that

(2.11) 
$$(A(\xi)\hat{\phi}) \cdot \hat{\phi} = \hat{\eta} = \frac{\det A(\xi)}{\det \tilde{A}(\xi)}.$$

In view of this and (2.9), it is sufficient to compare  $\hat{\psi}$  with  $\hat{\phi}$ . Let  $A(\xi) = (a_0, \dots, a_N)$ . Then, by using the explicit form (2.7) of  $\hat{\psi}_i$  we see that

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{i} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} = \frac{1}{\cosh|\boldsymbol{\xi}|} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{2j+2}}{(2j+1)!} + \frac{1}{2(i+N)+1} \frac{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{2N+2}}{(2N)!} \right)$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ , and that

$$\mathbf{1} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} = \frac{1}{\cosh|\xi|} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{|\xi|^{2i}}{(2i)!} = 1 + O(|\xi|^{2N+2}).$$

Therefore, if we put  $\hat{\zeta} = \frac{1}{\cosh |\xi|} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{|\xi|^{2j+2}}{(2j+1)!}$ , then we have

(2.12) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\mathbf{1} & A(\xi) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\zeta} \\ \hat{\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + O(|\xi|^{2N+2})$$

By Proposition 2.1 the determinant of the coefficient matrix is strictly positive, so that this together with (2.10) implies  $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\phi} + O(|\xi|^{2N+2})$ . Moreover, it holds that

$$(A(\xi)\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}})\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{\psi}} = \frac{\det A(\xi)}{\det \tilde{A}(\xi)} \frac{1}{\cosh|\xi|} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{|\xi|^{2i}}{(2i)!},$$

which together with (2.11) implies

$$(A(\xi)\hat{\phi}) \cdot (\hat{\phi} - \hat{\psi}) = \frac{\det A(\xi)}{\det \tilde{A}(\xi)} \frac{1}{\cosh |\xi|} \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{2i}}{(2i)!}$$

so that

(2.13) 
$$(A(\xi)\hat{\psi}) \cdot \hat{\psi} = (A(\xi)\hat{\phi}) \cdot \hat{\phi} - 2(A(\xi)\hat{\phi}) \cdot (\hat{\phi} - \hat{\psi}) + (A(\xi)(\hat{\phi} - \hat{\psi})) \cdot (\hat{\phi} - \hat{\psi})$$
$$= \frac{\det A(\xi)}{\det \tilde{A}(\xi)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\cosh|\xi|} \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{2i}}{(2i)!}\right) + O(|\xi|^{4N+4}).$$

Plugging this into (2.9) we obtain the desired relation (2.5).

As was shown by J. Boussinesq [3], in the case of the flat bottom the velocity potential  $\Phi$  for the water wave problem can be expanded in a Taylor series with respect to the vertical spatial variable z around the bottom z = -h as

$$\Phi(x,z,t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z+h)^{2i}}{(2i)!} (-\Delta)^i \phi_0(x,t),$$

where  $\phi_0$  is the trace of the velocity potential  $\Phi$  on the bottom. Therefore, it is natural to choose  $p_i = 2i$  in such a case. However, in the case of variable bottom topographies the Taylor series with respect to z around the bottom z = -h + b(x) contains terms of odd degree too, so that the choice  $p_i = i$  would be important to such cases. If we choose  $p_i = i$ , we do not have such a beautiful result as Theorem 2.2 but we still have the following theorem, which asserts that the terms of odd degree in the approximation of the velocity potential  $\Phi$  do not affect the precision of the linear dispersion relation in the shallow water regime  $h|\xi| \ll 1$ .

**Theorem 2.3** If we choose  $p_i = i$  (i = 0, 1, ..., N), then for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any h, g > 0 we have

$$\left| \left( \frac{c_{WW}(\xi)}{\sqrt{gh}} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{c_{IK}(\xi)}{\sqrt{gh}} \right)^2 \right| \le C(h|\xi|)^{4[N/2]+2},$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on N and [N/2] is the integer part of N/2.

**Proof.** We will give the proof in the case of even integer N. As in the proof of the previous theorem, it is sufficient to show that

(2.14) 
$$|\xi| \tanh |\xi| = \frac{\det A(\xi)}{\det \tilde{A}(\xi)} + O(|\xi|^{4[N/2]+4}) \quad \text{as} \quad |\xi| \to 0.$$

In place of (2.7), we define  $\hat{\psi}_i$  by

$$\hat{\psi}_i = \begin{cases} \frac{|\xi|^i}{i! \cosh |\xi|} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and put  $\hat{\psi} = (\hat{\psi}_0, \dots, \hat{\psi}_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Then, we have

$$(A(\xi)\hat{\psi})\cdot\hat{\psi} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{[N/2]} \left(\frac{1}{2(i+j)+1}|\xi|^2 + \frac{4ij}{2(i+j)-1}\right)\hat{\psi}_{2i}\hat{\psi}_{2j},$$

so that we can rewrite the identity (2.8) as

(2.15) 
$$(|\xi| \tanh |\xi|) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{\cosh |\xi|} \sum_{j=[N/2]+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{2j}}{(2j)!} \right) = (A(\xi)\hat{\psi}) \cdot \hat{\psi} + O(|\xi|^{4[N/2]+4}).$$

Let  $(\hat{\eta}, \hat{\phi})$  be the solution of (2.10) as before. Then, we have the identity (2.11). If we put  $\hat{\zeta} = \frac{1}{\cosh |\xi|} \sum_{j=0}^{[N/2]-1} \frac{|\xi|^{2j+2}}{(2j+1)!}$ , then in place of (2.12) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\mathbf{1} & A(\xi) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\zeta} \\ \hat{\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} + O(|\xi|^{2[N/2]+2}).$$

This together with (2.10) implies  $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\phi} + O(|\xi|^{2[N/2]+2})$ . Therefore, in place of (2.13) we have

$$(A(\xi)\hat{\psi})\cdot\hat{\psi} = \frac{\det A(\xi)}{\det \tilde{A}(\xi)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{\cosh|\xi|} \sum_{i=[N/2]+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\xi|^{2i}}{(2i)!}\right) + O(|\xi|^{4[N/2]+4}).$$

Plugging this into (2.15) we obtain the desired relation (2.14). The case of odd integer N can be proved in the same way, so we omit it.  $\Box$ 

#### 3 Analysis of a linearized system

In this section we consider the linearized equations of the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) around an arbitrary flow  $(\eta, \phi_0, \ldots, \phi_N)$ , which is assumed to be sufficiently smooth. The hypersurface t = 0 is still characteristic for the linearized equations. We will transform the equations to a symmetric positive system of partial differential equations for which the hypersurface t = 0 is noncharacteristic and give an idea to derive a priori estimates for the solution to the nonlinear equations.

We introduce second order differential operators  $L_{ij} = L_{ij}(H, b)$  (i, j = 0, 1, ..., N) depending on the water depth H and the bottom topography b by

(3.1) 
$$L_{ij}\psi_{j} = -\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p_{i}+p_{j}+1}H^{p_{i}+p_{j}+1}\nabla\psi_{j} - \frac{p_{j}}{p_{i}+p_{j}}H^{p_{i}+p_{j}}\psi_{j}\nabla b\right) - \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}+p_{j}}H^{p_{i}+p_{j}}\nabla b \cdot \nabla\psi_{j} + \frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{i}+p_{j}-1}H^{p_{i}+p_{j}-1}(1+|\nabla b|^{2})\psi_{j}$$

Then, we have  $L_{ij}^* = L_{ji}$ , where  $L_{ij}^*$  is the adjoint operator of  $L_{ij}$  in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . In addition to the function *a* defined by (1.8) we introduce the functions *u* and *w* by

(3.2) 
$$\boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} (H^{p_i} \nabla \phi_i - p_i H^{p_i - 1} \phi_i \nabla b), \quad \boldsymbol{w} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_i H^{p_i - 1} \phi_i$$

Since  $\boldsymbol{u} = \nabla \Phi^{\text{app}}|_{z=\eta}$  and  $w = \partial_z \Phi^{\text{app}}|_{z=\eta}$ , where  $\Phi^{\text{app}}$  is the approximate velocity potential defined by (1.2),  $\boldsymbol{u}$  and w represent approximately the horizontal and the vertical components of the velocity field on the water surface, respectively. Then, the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) can be written simply as

$$\begin{cases} H^{p_i}\partial_t \eta - \sum_{j=0}^N L_{ij}\phi_j = 0 & \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N\\ \sum_{j=0}^N H^{p_j}\partial_t\phi_j + g\eta + \frac{1}{2}(|\boldsymbol{u}|^2 + w^2) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, let us linearize the above equations around  $(\eta, \phi_0, \ldots, \phi_N)$ . We denote by  $(\zeta, \psi_0, \ldots, \psi_N)$  the variation from  $(\eta, \phi_0, \ldots, \phi_N)$ . After a tedious but straightforward calculation we obtain the linearized equations

(3.3) 
$$\begin{cases} H^{p_i}(\partial_t \zeta + \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{u}\zeta)) + p_i H^{p_i - 1}(\partial_t \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \eta - w)\zeta - \sum_{j=0}^N L_{ij}\psi_j = f_i \\ \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{j=0}^N \Big\{ H^{p_j}(\partial_t \psi_j + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_j) - p_j H^{p_j - 1}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla b - w)\psi_j \Big\} + a\zeta = f_{N+1}, \end{cases}$$

where  $f_0, \ldots, f_{N+1}$  are given functions.

The hypersurface t = 0 is still characteristic for these linearized equations. In fact, by eliminating the time derivative  $\partial_t \zeta$  from these equations we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} (L_{ij} - H^{p_i} L_{0j}) \psi_j = p_i H^{p_i - 1} (\partial_t \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \eta - w) \zeta + H^{p_i} f_0 - f_i$$

for i = 1, ..., N. Now, we differentiate this with respect to the time t and use the first equation in (3.3) to eliminate the time derivative  $\partial_t \zeta$ . Then, we obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{N} (L_{ij} - H^{p_i} L_{0j}) \partial_t \psi_j = p_i H^{p_i - 1} (\partial_t \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \eta - w) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N} L_{0j} \psi_j - \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{u}\zeta) \right)$$
$$- \sum_{j=0}^{N} [\partial_t, L_{ij} - H^{p_i} L_{0j}] \psi_j + [\partial_t, p_i H^{p_i - 1} (\partial_t \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \eta - w)] \zeta$$
$$+ \partial_t (H^{p_i} f_0 - f_i) + p_i H^{p_i - 1} (\partial_t \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \eta - w) f_0.$$

On the other hand, it follows from the second equation in (3.3) that

(3.5) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} H^{p_j} \partial_t \psi_j = -\sum_{j=0}^{N} \Big\{ H^{p_j} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \psi_j - p_j H^{p_j-1} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla b - w) \psi_j \Big\} - a\zeta + f_{N+1}.$$

Here we note that the right hand sides of (3.4) and (3.5) do not include any time derivatives of  $(\zeta, \psi_0, \ldots, \psi_N)$ . In view of these equations we introduce linear operators  $\mathscr{L}_i = \mathscr{L}_i(H, b)$  $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, N)$  depending on the water depth H and the bottom topography b and acting on  $\varphi = (\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_N)^T$  by

(3.6) 
$$\mathscr{L}_{0}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} H^{p_{j}}\varphi_{j}, \qquad \mathscr{L}_{i}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \sum_{j=0}^{N} (L_{ij} - H^{p_{i}}L_{0j})\varphi_{j} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

and put  $\mathscr{L}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\mathscr{L}_0\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \dots, \mathscr{L}_N\boldsymbol{\varphi})^{\mathrm{T}}$ . For a given  $\boldsymbol{F} = (F_0, \dots, F_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$  we consider the equation

$$(3.7) \qquad \qquad \mathscr{L}\boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{F}.$$

Once we show the solvability of  $\varphi$  of this equation, we could express the time derivatives  $\partial_t \psi_i$ (i = 0, 1, ..., N) in terms of the spatial derivatives so that we could avoid the difficulty arising from the fact that the hypersurface t = 0 is characteristic for the linearized equations (3.3). Let  $\varphi$  be a solution of (3.7). It follows from the first component of (3.7) that

(3.8) 
$$\varphi_0 = F_0 - \sum_{j=1}^N H^{p_j} \varphi_j.$$

Plugging this into the other components of (3.7) we obtain

(3.9) 
$$P_i \varphi' = F_i - (L_{i0} - H^{p_i} L_{00}) F_0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $\varphi' = (\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$  and  $P_j = P_j(H, b)$   $(j = 1, \ldots, N)$  are second order differential operators defined by

(3.10) 
$$P_i \boldsymbol{\varphi}' = \sum_{j=1}^N \Big\{ (L_{ij} - H^{p_i} L_{0j}) \varphi_j - (L_{i0} - H^{p_i} L_{00}) (H^{p_j} \varphi_j) \Big\}.$$

We further introduce the operator  $P\varphi' = (P_1\varphi', \ldots, P_N\varphi')^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Since  $L_{ij}^* = L_{ji}$ , we see easily that P is symmetric in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Moreover, P is positive in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  as shown in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1** Let  $c_0, c_1$  be positive constants. There exists a positive constant  $C = C(c_0, c_1) > 0$ depending only on  $c_0$  and  $c_1$  such that if  $H, \nabla b \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  satisfy  $H(x) \ge c_0$  and  $|\nabla b(x)| \le c_1$ , then we have

$$(P\boldsymbol{\varphi}',\boldsymbol{\varphi}')_{L^2} \ge C^{-1} \|\boldsymbol{\varphi}'\|_1^2$$

**Proof.** Introducing  $\varphi_0 = -\sum_{j=1}^N H^{p_j} \varphi_j$ , we have

(3.11) 
$$(P\boldsymbol{\varphi}',\boldsymbol{\varphi}')_{L^2} = \sum_{i,j=0}^N (L_{ij}\varphi_j,\varphi_i)_{L^2}.$$

Although this equality can be derived by direct calculation, it is also derived by the following argument which help us to understand the structure of the equations. Put  $P\varphi' = (G_1, \ldots, G_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Then, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} H^{p_j} \varphi_j = 0, \qquad \sum_{j=0}^{N} (L_{ij} - H^{p_i} L_{0j}) \varphi_j = G_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

If we further introduce  $\zeta = \sum_{j=0}^{N} L_{0j} \varphi_j$ , then the above equations can be written as

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\boldsymbol{l} & L \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \zeta \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \boldsymbol{G} \end{array}\right),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{G} = (0, G_1, \dots, G_N)^{\mathrm{T}}, \boldsymbol{L} = (L_{ij})_{0 \leq i,j \leq N}$ , and

(3.12) 
$$\boldsymbol{l} = \boldsymbol{l}(H) = (H^{p_0}, \dots, H^{p_N})^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

By taking the  $L^2$ -inner product of the above equation with  $(\zeta, \varphi^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{T}}$ , we obtain  $(L\varphi, \varphi)_{L^2} = (G, \varphi)_{L^2} = (P\varphi', \varphi')_{L^2}$ , which implies (3.11).

By direct calculation we have

$$(3.13) I := \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \mathrm{d}x \int_0^H \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=0}^N (z^{p_i} \nabla \varphi_i - p_i z^{p_i - 1} \varphi_i \nabla b) \right|^2 + \left( \sum_{i=0}^N p_i z^{p_i - 1} \varphi_i \right)^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}z$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=0}^N (L_{ij} \varphi_i, \varphi_j)_{L^2}.$$

In the case  $p_i = 2i$  (i = 0, 1, ..., N),  $\{z^{p_i}, z^{p_i-1}\}_{0 \le i \le N}$  are linearly independent so that

$$\begin{split} I &\simeq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \mathrm{d}x \int_0^H \sum_{i=0}^N \Big\{ \left( z^{2p_i} |\nabla \varphi_i|^2 + p_i^2 z^{2p_i-2} |\nabla b|^2 \varphi_i^2 \right) + p_i^2 z^{2p_i-2} \varphi_i^2 \Big\} \mathrm{d}z \\ &\simeq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \sum_{i=0}^N \Big\{ H^{2p_i+1} |\nabla \varphi_i|^2 + p_i^2 H^{2p_i-1} (1+|\nabla b|^2) \varphi_i^2 \Big\} \mathrm{d}x, \end{split}$$

which together with (3.11) and (3.13) implies the desired estimate in that case. We remark that the constant C can be taken independent of  $c_1$ . In the case  $p_i = i$  (i = 0, 1, ..., N), we see that

$$\begin{split} I &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \mathrm{d}x \int_{0}^{H} \bigg\{ \bigg| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} z^{i} \big( \nabla \varphi_{i} - (i+1)\varphi_{i+1} \nabla b \big) + z^{N} \nabla \varphi_{N} \bigg|^{2} + \bigg( \sum_{i=1}^{N} i z^{i-1} \varphi_{i} \bigg)^{2} \bigg\} \mathrm{d}z \\ &\simeq \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \bigg\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} H^{2i+1} |\nabla \varphi_{i} - (i+1)\varphi_{i+1} \nabla b|^{2} + H^{N+1} |\nabla \varphi_{N}|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} H^{2i-1} \varphi_{i}^{2} \bigg\} \mathrm{d}z \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|\nabla \varphi_{i} - (i+1)\varphi_{i+1} \nabla b\|^{2} + \|\nabla \varphi_{N}\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\varphi_{i}\|^{2} \end{split}$$

which together with (3.11) and (3.13) implies the desired estimate in that case. The other cases can be treated in the same way, so we omit it.  $\Box$ 

By this lemma, the explicit expression (3.10) of the operator P (see also (3.1)), and the standard theory of elliptic partial differential equations, we can obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** Let  $h, c_0, M$  be positive constants and m an integer such that m > n/2 + 1. There exists a positive constant  $C = C(h, c_0, M)$  such that if  $\eta$  and b satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \|\eta\|_m + \|b\|_{W^{m,\infty}} \le M, \\ c_0 \le H(x) = h + \eta(x) - b(x) \quad for \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

then for  $1 \leq k \leq m$  we have

 $||P^{-1}G'||_k \le C ||G'||_{k-2}.$ 

We proceed to consider equation (3.7). Thanks of this lemma, we see that for a given  $\mathbf{F}$  there exists a unique solution  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}' = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$  of (3.9). If we define  $\varphi_0$  by (3.8), then  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\varphi_0, \dots, \varphi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$  is a solution of (3.7). More precisely, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3** Under the hypothesis of Lemma 3.2, for any  $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, \ldots, F_N)^T$  satisfying  $\nabla F_0 \in H^{k-1}$  and  $(F_1, \ldots, F_N) \in H^{k-2}$  with  $1 \le k \le m$  there exists a unique solution  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} = (\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_N)^T$  of (3.7) satisfying

$$\|\nabla\varphi_0\|_{k-1} + \|(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_N)\|_k \le C(\|\nabla F_0\|_{k-1} + \|(F_1, \dots, F_N)\|_{k-2}),$$

where  $C = C(h, c_0, M) > 0$ . If, in addition,  $F_0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then we have

$$\|\varphi\|_k \le C(\|F_0\|_k + \|(F_1, \dots, F_N)\|_{k-2}).$$

Now, we have established the solvability of  $\varphi$  to equation (3.7). We go back to consider the linearized equations in (3.3). In the following of this section we denote lower order terms and terms related with the given functions  $f_0, \ldots, f_{N+1}$  by the same symbol *LOT*, which may change from line to line. We introduce a symmetric matrix A(H) depending on the water depth H by

(3.14) 
$$A(H) = (a_{ij}(H))_{0 \le i,j \le N}, \quad a_{ij}(H) = \frac{1}{p_i + p_j + 1} H^{p_i + p_j + 1}.$$

We also use a matrix  $\tilde{A}(H)$  defined by

(3.15) 
$$\tilde{A}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{l}(H)^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\boldsymbol{l}(H) & A(H) \end{pmatrix},$$

where l(H) is defined by (3.12). Since  $L_{ij}(H, b) = -a_{ij}(H)\Delta + LOT$ , it follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{N} (a_{ij}(H) - H^{p_i} a_{0j}(H)) \Delta \partial_t \psi_j = \nabla \cdot (LOT) + LOT \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{N} H^{p_j} \Delta \partial_t \psi_j + \sum_{j=0}^{N} H^{p_j} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \Delta \psi_j + \Delta (a\zeta) = \nabla \cdot (LOT) + LOT. \end{cases}$$

We can rewrite these equations in a matrix form as

(3.16) 
$$\tilde{A}(H) \left( \begin{array}{c} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{0j}(H) \Delta \partial_t \psi_j \\ \Delta \partial_t \psi \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} \sum_{j=0}^{N} H^{p_j}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \Delta \psi_j + \Delta(a\zeta) \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{array} \right) \\ = \nabla \cdot (LOT) + LOT,$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\psi} = (\psi_0, \dots, \psi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Since det  $\tilde{A}(H) = H^{2\sum_{i=0}^N p_i + N} \det \tilde{A}_0$ , where  $\tilde{A}_0$  is the matrix defined in Section 2, the matrix  $\tilde{A}(H)$  is nonsingular and its inverse matrix can be written as

(3.17) 
$$\tilde{A}(H)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} q(H) & \boldsymbol{q}(H)^{\mathrm{T}} \\ -\boldsymbol{q}(H) & Q(H) \end{pmatrix}$$

with a symmetric matrix Q(H). If it causes no confusion, we omit the dependence of H in the notation. Then, it holds that

(3.18) 
$$\boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = -1, \quad A\boldsymbol{q} = -q\boldsymbol{l}, \quad q = \frac{\det A}{\det \tilde{A}} = H \frac{\det A_0}{\det \tilde{A}_0}.$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.16) that

(3.19) 
$$-A\Delta\partial_t \psi = q \boldsymbol{l} \{ \boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \Delta \psi + \Delta(a\zeta) \} + \nabla \cdot (LOT) + LOT.$$

On the other hand, it follows from the first equation in (3.3) that

$$\boldsymbol{l}(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\zeta} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{\zeta}) + A \Delta \boldsymbol{\psi} = LOT.$$

Taking the Euclidean inner product of this equation with -aq and using the relations in (3.18) we obtain

$$a(\partial_t \zeta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \zeta) + a\Delta(q\boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\psi}) = LOT.$$

We can write this equation and (3.19) in a matrix form as

(3.20) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & -A\Delta \end{pmatrix} \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} a\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla & a\Delta(q\mathbf{l}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot) \\ -q\mathbf{l}\Delta(a \cdot) & -q\mathbf{l}\mathbf{l}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\Delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} LOT \\ \nabla \cdot (LOT) + LOT \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here the operator in the second term in the left-hand side is skew-symmetric in  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  modulo lower order terms. Since the matrix A = A(H) can be written as

$$A(H) = H \operatorname{diag}(H^{p_0}, \dots, H^{p_N}) A_0 \operatorname{diag}(H^{p_0}, \dots, H^{p_N})$$

and we have shown the positivity of the matrix  $A_0$  in Proposition 2.1, A(H) is strictly positive under the strict positivity of the water depth H. Therefore, under the sign condition a > 0(3.20) forms a symmetric positive system, so that the corresponding energy function is defined by

$$E(\zeta, \boldsymbol{\psi}) = (a\zeta, \zeta)_{L^2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (A\partial_k \boldsymbol{\psi}, \partial_k \boldsymbol{\psi})_{L^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\psi}'\|^2.$$

In the following sections we use this symmetric structure of the Isobe–Kakinuma model to construct the solution and derive an energy estimate.

## 4 Construction of the solution to a reduced system

In this section we transform the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) into a system of equations for which the hypersurface t = 0 is noncharacteristic by using the necessary condition (1.6) for the existence of the solution and construct the solution of the initial value problem to the transformed system by using a standard parabolic regularization.

By using the notation introduced in the previous section, the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3) can be written simply as

(4.1) 
$$\begin{cases} H^{p_i}\partial_t \eta - \sum_{j=0}^N L_{ij}\phi_j = 0 & \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N, \\ \sum_{j=0}^N H^{p_j}\partial_t\phi_j = F_0, \end{cases}$$

where

(4.2) 
$$F_0 = -g\eta - \frac{1}{2}(|\boldsymbol{u}|^2 + w^2).$$

The necessary condition (1.6) can also be written simply as

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} (L_{ij} - H^{p_i} L_{0j}) \phi_j = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We first derive an evolution equation for  $\phi = (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Since the left-hand side of the above equation does not contain the term  $\nabla H$ , differentiating this with respect to the time t we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} (L_{ij} - H^{p_i} L_{0j}) \partial_t \phi_j = f_i \partial_t \eta \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N_i$$

where

(4.3) 
$$f_{i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial H} \sum_{j=0}^{N} (L_{ij} - H^{p_{i}} L_{0j}) \phi_{j}$$
$$= p_{i} H^{p_{i}-1} \left\{ \nabla b \cdot \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{w} - \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{p_{j}+1} H^{p_{j}+1} \Delta \phi_{j} - \frac{p_{j}}{p_{j}} H^{p_{j}} \nabla \cdot (\phi_{j} \nabla b) \right) \right\}$$

for i = 1, ..., N. We use the first equation in (4.1) with i = 0 to remove the time derivative  $\partial_t \eta$  from the above equation and obtain

(4.4) 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{N} (L_{ij} - H^{p_i} L_{0j}) \partial_t \phi_j = F_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where

(4.5) 
$$F_i = f_i \sum_{j=0}^N L_{0j} \phi_j$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., N$ .

...

By using the operator  $\mathscr{L}$  introduced by (3.6), the second equation in (4.1) and (4.4) constitute the equation

$$\mathscr{L}\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} = \boldsymbol{F},$$

where  $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, \ldots, F_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . This is the evolution equation for  $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ . We proceed to derive an appropriate evolution equation for  $\eta$ . Let  $\mathbf{q} = (q_0, \ldots, q_N)^{\mathrm{T}} = (q_0(H), \ldots, q_N(H))^{\mathrm{T}}$  be the rational functions of H defined by (3.17). In view of the first relation in (3.18) and the arguments in the previous section, we multiply the first equation in (4.1) by  $q_i$  and adding the resulting equations over  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$  to obtain

$$\partial_t \eta = F_{N+1},$$

where

(4.6) 
$$F_{N+1} = -\sum_{i,j=0}^{N} q_i L_{ij} \phi_j.$$

To summarize we have reduced the Isobe–Kakinuma model (4.1) to

(4.7) 
$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{L}\partial_t \phi = F, \\ \partial_t \eta = F_{N+1}. \end{cases}$$

We note that  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $F_{N+1}$  do not contain any time derivatives and that  $\mathscr{L}$  is invertible thanks to Lemma 3.3. Therefore, the hypersurface t = 0 is not characteristic any more for the above reduced equations. In the rest of this section we consider the initial value problem to (4.7) under the initial conditions

(4.8) 
$$(\eta, \phi_0, \dots, \phi_N) = (\eta_{(0)}, \phi_{0(0)}, \dots, \phi_{N(0)})$$
 at  $t = 0$ .

**Remark 4.1** As mentioned in Remark 1.2 (2), we have to express  $\partial_t \phi_1(x,0), \ldots, \partial_t \phi_N(x,0)$  in terms of the initial data and b. Such an expression is given by  $\partial_t \phi(\cdot,0) = \mathscr{L}^{-1} \mathbf{F}|_{t=0}$ .

We will construct the solution to the initial value problem for the reduced system (4.7)–(4.8) by a standard parabolic regularization of the equations, that is,

(4.9) 
$$\begin{cases} \mathscr{L}(\partial_t \phi - \varepsilon \Delta \phi) = F \\ \partial_t \eta - \varepsilon \Delta \eta = F_{N+1}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is a small regularized parameter. By the definitions (4.2), (4.5), and (4.6) of  $\mathbf{F} = (F_0, \ldots, F_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$  and  $F_{N+1}$  (see also (4.3), (3.1), and (3.2)) we see that

(4.10) 
$$\begin{cases} F_0 = F_0(\eta, H, \phi', \nabla \phi, \nabla b), \\ F_i = F_i(H, \nabla H, \phi', \nabla \phi, \Delta \phi, \nabla b, \Delta b) & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N+1, \end{cases}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\phi}' = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Therefore, thanks to Lemma 3.3,  $\mathscr{L}^{-1}\boldsymbol{F}$  behaves as if it is a function of  $(\eta, H, \boldsymbol{\phi}', \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi}, \nabla b)$ , so that we can show the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2** Let  $g, h, c_0, M_0$  be positive constants and m an integer such that m > n/2 + 1. Suppose that the initial data  $(\eta_{(0)}, \phi_{0(0)}, \ldots, \phi_{N(0)})$  and b satisfy the conditions in (1.9), then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a maximal existence time  $T_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that the initial value problem (4.9) and (4.8) has a unique solution  $(\eta^{\varepsilon}, \phi^{\varepsilon})$  satisfying

$$\eta^{\varepsilon}, \nabla \phi_0^{\varepsilon} \in C([0, T_{\varepsilon}); H^m), \quad \phi_1^{\varepsilon}, \dots, \phi_N^{\varepsilon} \in C([0, T_{\varepsilon}); H^{m+1}).$$

We proceed to derive uniform estimates of the solution  $(\eta^{\varepsilon}, \phi^{\varepsilon})$  with respect to the regularized parameter  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$  for a time interval [0, T] independent of  $\varepsilon$ . To this end, we make use of a good symmetric structure of the Isobe–Kakinuma model discussed in the previous section. In order to simplify the notation we write  $(\eta, \phi)$  in place of  $(\eta^{\varepsilon}, \phi^{\varepsilon})$  in the following. Concerning the generalized Rayleigh–Taylor sign condition, a regularized version of the function a defined by (1.8) is given by

$$(4.11) \qquad a^{\varepsilon} = g + \sum_{i=0}^{N} p_{i} H^{p_{i}-1} (\partial_{t} \phi_{i} - \varepsilon \Delta \phi_{i}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} \Big\{ (p_{i} + p_{j}) H^{p_{i}+p_{j}-1} \nabla \phi_{i} \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} - 2p_{i} (p_{i} + p_{j} - 1) H^{p_{i}+p_{j}-2} \phi_{i} \nabla b \cdot \nabla \phi_{j} + p_{i} p_{j} (p_{i} + p_{j} - 2) H^{p_{i}+p_{j}-3} (1 + |\nabla b|^{2}) \phi_{i} \phi_{j} \Big\}.$$

Here, we have  $\partial_t \phi - \varepsilon \Delta \phi = \mathscr{L}^{-1} F$  so that  $a^{\varepsilon}(x,0) = a(x,0)$ . See also Remark 4.1. Therefore, by the sign condition in (1.9) we have

(4.12) 
$$a^{\varepsilon}(x,0) \ge c_0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

We will derive a regularized version of the symmetric positive system (3.20) for  $(\eta, \phi)$ . First, we derive an evolution equation for  $\phi$ . The first equation in (4.9) is written as

(4.13) 
$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{l} \cdot (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}) = F_0, \\ \mathscr{L}_i(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}) = F_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l}(H) = (H^{p_0}, \ldots, H^{p_N})^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Here we remark that  $F_i$   $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$  is a correction of lower order terms and its explicit form has no importance whereas  $F_0$  contains principal terms and we have to treat it carefully. In other words, the second equation in (4.13) is quasilinear whereas the first one is fully nonlinear. Applying  $\Delta$  to the first equation in (4.13) we have

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{l}(H) \cdot \Delta(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}) + (\boldsymbol{l}^{(1)}(H) \cdot (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi})) \Delta H - \Delta F_0 \\ &= -([\Delta, \boldsymbol{l}(H)] - \boldsymbol{l}^{(1)}(H)(\Delta H)) \cdot \mathscr{L}^{-1} \boldsymbol{F}, \end{split}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{l}^{(1)}(H) = (0, p_1 H^{p_1-1}, \dots, p_N H^{p_N-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$  is the derivative of  $\boldsymbol{l}(H)$  with respect to H. Here we see that

$$-\Delta F_0 = g\Delta \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{u} + w\Delta w + \frac{1}{2} \{ (\Delta(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) - 2\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{u}) + (\Delta(w^2) - 2w\Delta w) \},\$$

where

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{u} = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \{ H^{p_i} \nabla \Delta \phi_i + p_i (H^{p_i - 1} \nabla \phi_i - (p_i - 1) H^{p_i - 2} \phi_i \nabla b) (\Delta \eta) \\ + ([\Delta, H^{p_i}] - p_i H^{p_i - 1} (\Delta H) - p_i H^{p_i - 1} (\Delta b)) \nabla \phi_i - p_i H^{p_i - 1} \Delta (\phi_i \nabla b) \\ - p_i ([\Delta, H^{p_i - 1}] - (p_i - 1) H^{p_i - 2} (\Delta H) - (p_i - 1) H^{p_i - 2} (\Delta b)) (\phi_i \nabla b) \},$$

$$\Delta w = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \{ p_i(p_i - 1) H^{p_i - 2} \phi_i \Delta \eta + p_i H^{p_i - 1} \Delta \phi_i + p_i ([\Delta, H^{p_i - 1}] - (p_i - 1) H^{p_i - 2} (\Delta H) - (p_i - 1) H^{p_i - 2} (\Delta b)) \phi_i \}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

(4.14) 
$$\boldsymbol{l}(H) \cdot \Delta(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}) + \boldsymbol{l}(H) \cdot (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi} + a^{\varepsilon} \Delta \eta = G_{00},$$

where

$$(4.15) \quad G_{00} = -([\Delta, \boldsymbol{l}(H)] - \boldsymbol{l}^{(1)}(H)(\Delta H)) \cdot \mathscr{L}^{-1}\boldsymbol{F} + (\boldsymbol{l}^{(1)}(H) \cdot (\mathscr{L}^{-1}\boldsymbol{F}))\Delta b - \frac{1}{2} \{ (\Delta(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) - 2\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{u}) + (\Delta(w^{2}) - 2w\Delta w) \} - \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{N} \{ ([\Delta, H^{p_{i}}] - p_{i}H^{p_{i}-1}(\Delta H) - p_{i}H^{p_{i}-1}(\Delta b)) \nabla \phi_{i} - p_{i}H^{p_{i}-1}\Delta(\phi_{i}\nabla b) - p_{i}([\Delta, H^{p_{i}-1}] - (p_{i} - 1)H^{p_{i}-2}(\Delta H) - (p_{i} - 1)H^{p_{i}-2}(\Delta b))(\phi_{i}\nabla b) \} - w \sum_{i=0}^{N} \{ p_{i}H^{p_{i}-1}\Delta\phi_{i} + p_{i}([\Delta, H^{p_{i}-1}] - (p_{i} - 1)H^{p_{i}-2}(\Delta H) - (p_{i} - 1)H^{p_{i}-2}(\Delta b))\phi_{i} \}.$$

In view of (3.6) and (3.1) we divide the operator  $\mathscr{L}_i$  into its principal part and the remainder part  $\mathscr{L}_i^{\text{low}}$  as

$$\mathscr{L}_{i}\boldsymbol{\psi} = (-\boldsymbol{a}_{i}(H) + H^{p_{i}}\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(H)) \cdot \Delta\boldsymbol{\psi} + \mathscr{L}_{i}^{\text{low}}\boldsymbol{\psi} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $A(H) = (\boldsymbol{a}_0(H), \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_N(H))$  is the matrix defined by (3.14) and

(4.16) 
$$\mathscr{L}_{i}^{\text{low}}\psi = \sum_{j=0}^{N} \left( -\frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{j}(p_{i}+p_{j})} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}} \nabla \cdot (\psi_{j} \nabla b) - \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}+p_{j}} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}} \nabla b \cdot \nabla \psi_{j} + \frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{i}+p_{j}-1} H^{p_{i}+p_{j}-1} (1+|\nabla b|^{2}) \psi_{j} \right).$$

Therefore, we obtain

(4.17) 
$$(\boldsymbol{a}_{i}(H) - H^{p_{i}}\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(H)) \cdot \Delta(\partial_{t}\boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon\Delta\boldsymbol{\phi}) = G_{0i} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where

(4.18) 
$$G_{0i} = -F_i + \mathscr{L}_i^{\text{low}} \mathscr{L}^{-1} \boldsymbol{F}.$$

We can rewrite (4.14) and (4.17) in a matrix form as

$$\tilde{A}(H) \left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{a}_0 \cdot \Delta(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}) \\ \Delta(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}) \end{array}\right) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{l}(H)^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi} + a^{\varepsilon} \Delta \eta \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} G_{00} \\ G_0 \end{array}\right),$$

where  $\tilde{A}(H)$  is the matrix in (3.15) and  $G_0 = (0, G_{01}, \ldots, G_{0N})^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Therefore, using the notation in (3.17) and the relations in (3.18) we have

$$A(H)\Delta(\partial_t \phi - \varepsilon \Delta \phi) + q(H)\boldsymbol{l}(H)\{\boldsymbol{l}(H)^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla)\Delta \phi + a^{\varepsilon} \Delta \eta\} = \boldsymbol{G},$$

where

(4.19) 
$$\boldsymbol{G} = q(H)\boldsymbol{l}(H)\boldsymbol{G}_{00} + A(H)Q(H)\boldsymbol{G}_{0}.$$

This is the desired equation for  $\phi$ .

Secondly, we derive an evolution equation for  $\eta$ . In view of (3.1) we divide the operator  $L_{ij}$  into its principal part and the remainder part  $L_{ij}^{\text{low}}$  as

$$L_{ij}\phi_j = -a_{ij}(H)\Delta\phi_j - H^{p_i}(H^{p_j}\nabla\phi_j - p_jH^{p_j-1}\phi_j\nabla b)\cdot\nabla\eta + L^{\text{low}}_{ij}\phi_j,$$

where

(4.20) 
$$L_{ij}^{\text{low}}\phi_{j} = H^{p_{i}}(H^{p_{j}}\nabla\phi_{j} - p_{j}H^{p_{j}-1}\phi_{j}\nabla b) \cdot \nabla b + \frac{p_{j}}{p_{i}+p_{j}}H^{p_{i}+p_{j}}\nabla \cdot (\phi_{j}\nabla b) - \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}+p_{j}}H^{p_{i}+p_{j}}\nabla b \cdot \nabla\phi_{j} + \frac{p_{i}p_{j}}{p_{i}+p_{j}-1}H^{p_{i}+p_{j}-1}(1+|\nabla b|^{2})\phi_{j}.$$

Thanks to the relations in (3.18), the second equation in (4.9) can be written as

$$\partial_t \eta - \varepsilon \Delta \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \eta + q(H) \boldsymbol{l}(H)^{\mathrm{T}} \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi} = G_0,$$

where

(4.21) 
$$G_0 = -\sum_{i,j=0}^N q_i(H) L_{ij}^{\text{low}} \phi_j.$$

This is the desired equation for  $\eta$ .

.

To summarize, we have derived the equations

(4.22) 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta - \varepsilon \Delta \eta + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \eta + q(H) \boldsymbol{l}(H)^{\mathrm{T}} \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi} = G_0, \\ A(H) \Delta (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\phi} - \varepsilon \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}) + q(H) \boldsymbol{l}(H) \{ \boldsymbol{l}(H)^{\mathrm{T}} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi} + a^{\varepsilon} \Delta \eta \} = \boldsymbol{G}. \end{cases}$$

Using this we can derive uniform estimate of the solution  $(\eta^{\varepsilon}, \phi^{\varepsilon})$  of the initial value problem to the regularized equation (4.9).

**Lemma 4.3** Under the hypothesis of Lemma 4.2, there exist a time T > 0 and a constant C > 0 independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that the solution  $(\eta^{\varepsilon}, \phi^{\varepsilon})$  obtained in Lemma 4.2 satisfies the uniform estimate

(4.23) 
$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left( \|\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{m}^{2} + \|\nabla\phi_{0}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{m}^{2} + \|(\phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}(t), \dots, \phi_{N}^{\varepsilon}(t))\|_{m+1}^{2} \right) \\ + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \left( \|\eta^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{m+1}^{2} + \|\nabla\phi_{0}^{\varepsilon}(t)\|_{m+1}^{2} + \|(\phi_{1}^{\varepsilon}(t), \dots, \phi_{N}^{\varepsilon}(t))\|_{m+2}^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}t \le C$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** Once again we simply write  $(\eta, \phi)$  in place of  $(\eta^{\varepsilon}, \phi^{\varepsilon})$ . Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  be a multi-index satisfying  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\alpha_1 \geq 1$ . Applying the differential operator  $a^{\varepsilon} \partial^{\alpha}$  to the first equation in (4.22) we have

(4.24) 
$$a^{\varepsilon}\partial_{t}\partial^{\alpha}\eta - \varepsilon\nabla \cdot (a^{\varepsilon}\nabla\partial^{\alpha}\eta) + a^{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla\partial^{\alpha}\eta + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\partial_{k}(a^{\varepsilon}q\boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}}\partial_{k}\partial^{\alpha}\boldsymbol{\phi}) = F_{0,\alpha},$$

where

(4.25) 
$$F_{0,\alpha} = a^{\varepsilon} (\partial^{\alpha} G_0 - [\partial^{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{u}] \cdot \nabla \eta - [\partial^{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}}] \Delta \boldsymbol{\phi}) - \varepsilon \nabla a^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \partial^{\alpha} \eta + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\partial_k (a^{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}})) \partial_k \partial^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\phi}.$$

Here and in what follows, for simplicity, we omit the dependence of H in the notation. Applying the differential operator  $\partial^{\alpha}$  to the second equation in (4.22) we have

$$(4.26) \qquad -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_{k} (A \partial_{k} \partial_{t} \partial^{\alpha} \phi) + \varepsilon \Delta (A \Delta \partial^{\alpha} \phi) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_{k} \{ q l l^{\mathrm{T}} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \partial_{k} \partial^{\alpha} \phi + a^{\varepsilon} q l \partial_{k} \partial^{\alpha} \eta \} \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_{k} \boldsymbol{F}_{k,\alpha},$$

where

$$(4.27) \qquad \boldsymbol{F}_{k,\alpha} = -\delta_{1k}\partial^{\alpha'} \left\{ \boldsymbol{G} + \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left( (\partial_{l}A)\partial_{l}\mathscr{L}^{-1}\boldsymbol{F} + [\partial_{l}, \boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{l}\boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)]\partial_{l}\phi + (\partial_{l}(a^{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{l}))\partial_{l}\eta \right) \right\} \\ + \varepsilon(\partial_{k}A)\Delta\partial^{\alpha}\phi + [\partial^{\alpha}, A]\partial_{k}\mathscr{L}^{-1}\boldsymbol{F} + [\partial^{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{l}\boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)]\partial_{k}\phi + [\partial^{\alpha}, a^{\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{l}]\partial_{k}\eta.$$

Here,  $\alpha' = (\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\delta_{1k}$  is the Kronecker delta. (4.24) and (4.26) can be written in the matrix form as

$$(4.28) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a^{\varepsilon} & \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_{k} (A \partial_{k} \cdot) \end{pmatrix} \partial_{t} \begin{pmatrix} \partial^{\alpha} \eta \\ \partial^{\alpha} \phi \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla \cdot (a^{\varepsilon} \nabla \cdot) & \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ \mathbf{0} & \Delta (A \Delta \cdot) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial^{\alpha} \eta \\ \partial^{\alpha} \phi \end{pmatrix} \\ + \begin{pmatrix} a^{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla & \sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_{k} (a^{\varepsilon} q \boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}} \partial_{k} \cdot) \\ -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_{k} (a^{\varepsilon} q \boldsymbol{l} \partial_{k} \cdot) & -\sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_{k} (q \boldsymbol{l} \boldsymbol{l}^{\mathrm{T}} (\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla) \partial_{k} \cdot) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial^{\alpha} \eta \\ \partial^{\alpha} \phi \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} F_{0,\alpha} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \partial_{k} \boldsymbol{F}_{k,\alpha} \end{pmatrix},$$

which forms a symmetric positive system.

In view of (4.28) we define an energy function  $\mathscr{E}_m(t)$  and a dissipation function  $\mathscr{D}_m(t)$  by

$$\mathscr{E}_{m}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \left\{ (a^{\varepsilon} \partial^{\alpha} \eta(t), \partial^{\alpha} \eta(t))_{L^{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (A \partial_{k} \partial^{\alpha} \phi(t), \partial_{k} \partial^{\alpha} \phi(t))_{L^{2}} \right\} + \|\phi'(t)\|^{2}$$
$$\mathscr{D}_{m}(t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \left\{ (a^{\varepsilon} \nabla \partial^{\alpha} \eta(t), \nabla \partial^{\alpha} \eta(t))_{L^{2}} + (A \Delta \partial^{\alpha} \phi(t), \Delta \partial^{\alpha} \phi(t))_{L^{2}} \right\},$$

which will be equivalent to

$$E_m(t) = \|\eta(t)\|_m^2 + \|\nabla\phi_0(t)\|_m^2 + \|\phi'(t)\|_{m+1}^2, \quad D_m(t) = \|\nabla\eta(t)\|_m^2 + \|\Delta\phi(t)\|_m^2,$$

respectively, where  $\phi' = (\phi_1, ..., \phi_N)^{\text{T}}$ . In view of (1.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12), and Lemma 3.3, we see that there exists a constant  $C_0 = C_0(g, h, c_0, M_0) > 0$  such that

$$c_0 \le H(x,0) \le C_0, \quad c_0 \le a^{\varepsilon}(x,0) \le C_0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Now, we assume that

(4.29) 
$$E_m(t) + \varepsilon \int_0^t E_{m+1}(\tau) d\tau \le M_1, \quad \frac{c_0}{2} \le H(x,t) \le 2C_0, \quad \frac{c_0}{2} \le a^\varepsilon(x,t) \le 2C_0$$

for  $0 \le t \le T$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where the constant  $M_1$  and the time T will be determined later. In the following we simply write the constants depending only on  $(g, h, c_0, C_0, M_0)$  by  $C_1$  and the constants depending also on  $M_1$  by  $C_2$ , which may change from line to line. Then, it holds that

$$C_1^{-1}E_m(t) \le \mathscr{E}_m(t) \le C_1E_m(t), \quad C_1^{-1}D_m(t) \le \mathscr{D}_m(t) \le C_1D_m(t)$$

for  $0 \le t \le T$ . We are going to evaluate the evolution of the energy function  $\mathscr{E}_m(t)$ . To this end, we make use of the symmetric form (4.28) for the case  $1 \le |\alpha| \le m$  and of (4.9) directly for the case  $|\alpha| = 0$ . Then, by integration by parts we see that

$$(4.30) \qquad \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathscr{E}_{m}(t) + 2\varepsilon(\mathscr{D}_{m}(t) + \|\nabla\phi'(t)\|^{2}) \\ = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \left\{ ((\partial_{t}a^{\varepsilon})\partial^{\alpha}\eta, \partial^{\alpha}\eta)_{L^{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} ((\partial_{t}A)\partial_{k}\partial^{\alpha}\phi, \partial_{k}\partial^{\alpha}\phi)_{L^{2}} \right\} \\ + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \left\{ ((\nabla \cdot (a^{\varepsilon}u))\partial^{\alpha}\eta, \partial^{\alpha}\eta)_{L^{2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (\left(\sum_{l=1}^{n} \partial_{l}(u_{l}qll^{\mathrm{T}})\right)\partial_{k}\partial^{\alpha}\phi, \partial_{k}\partial^{\alpha}\phi)_{L^{2}} \\ + 2(F_{0,\alpha}, \partial^{\alpha}\eta)_{L^{2}} - 2\sum_{k=1}^{n} (F_{k,\alpha}, \partial_{k}\partial^{\alpha}\phi)_{L^{2}} \right\} \\ + 2(a^{\varepsilon}F_{N+1}, \eta)_{L^{2}} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\partial_{k}\mathscr{L}^{-1}F, A\partial_{k}\phi)_{L^{2}} + 2((\mathscr{L}^{-1}F)', \phi')_{L^{2}} \\ - 2\varepsilon(\nabla\eta, \eta\nabla a^{\varepsilon})_{L^{2}} - 2\varepsilon\sum_{k=1}^{n} (\Delta\phi, (\partial_{k}A)\partial_{k}\phi)_{L^{2}},$$

where  $(\mathscr{L}^{-1}\mathbf{F})'$  is the last N components of  $\mathscr{L}^{-1}\mathbf{F}$ . Here, we see easily that  $\|(\mathbf{u}, w)\|_m \leq C_2$ ,  $\|\mathscr{L}^{-1}\mathbf{F}\|_m \leq C_2$ , and  $\|\nabla a^{\varepsilon}\|_{m-1} \leq C_2$ . It follows from (4.25) and (4.27) (see also (4.15), (4.16), and (4.18)-(4.21)) that  $\|F_{0,\alpha}\| \leq C_2(1+\varepsilon \|\nabla \eta\|_m)$  and  $\|\mathbf{F}_{k,\alpha}\| \leq C_2(1+\varepsilon \|\Delta \phi\|_m)$  for  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ . We need to evaluate  $\partial_t a^{\varepsilon}$ . Note that we can write formally as  $[\partial_t, \mathscr{L}] = (\partial_t \eta) (\frac{\partial}{\partial H} \mathscr{L})$  because the coefficients of the differential operator  $\mathscr{L}$  do not depend on  $\nabla H$  but on H. By the relation

$$\partial_t (\partial_t \phi - \varepsilon \Delta \phi) = -\mathscr{L}^{-1} [\partial_t, \mathscr{L}] \mathscr{L}^{-1} F + \mathscr{L}^{-1} \partial_t F$$

and Lemma 3.3 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t (\partial_t \phi - \varepsilon \Delta \phi)\|_{m-1} &\leq C_1 \left( \|[\partial_t, \mathscr{L}_0] \mathscr{L}^{-1} F\|_{m-1} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|[\partial_t, \mathscr{L}_i] \mathscr{L}^{-1} F\|_{m-3} \right. \\ &+ \|\partial_t F_0\|_{m-1} + \|(\partial_t F_1, \dots, \partial_t F_N)\|_{m-3} \right) \\ &\leq C_1 (\|\partial_t \phi\|_m + \|\partial_t \eta\|_{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have  $\|\partial_t a^{\varepsilon}\|_{m-1} \leq C_2(\|\partial_t \phi\|_m + \|\partial_t \eta\|_{m-1})$ . In view of  $\|\partial_t \phi - \varepsilon \Delta \phi\|_m \leq C_2$  and  $\|\partial_t \eta - \varepsilon \Delta \eta\|_{m-1} \leq C_2$ , we also have  $\|\partial_t \phi\|_m \leq C_2(1+\varepsilon \|\Delta \phi\|_m)$  and  $\|\partial_t \eta\|_{m-1} \leq C_2(1+\varepsilon \|\nabla \eta\|_m)$ . Thus, by (4.30) we have  $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathscr{E}_m(t) + 2\varepsilon \mathscr{D}_m(t) \leq C_2(1+\varepsilon (\|\Delta \phi\|_m + \varepsilon \|\nabla \eta\|_m))$ , which implies that  $\mathscr{E}_m(t) + 2\varepsilon \int_0^t \mathscr{D}_m(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \leq C_1 + C_2(t+\sqrt{t})$ . To summarize, we have obtained the estimate

$$\begin{cases} E_m(t) + \varepsilon \int_0^t E_{m+1}(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau \le C_1 + C_2(t + \sqrt{t}), \\ |H(x,t) - H(x,0)| + |a^\varepsilon(x,t) - a^\varepsilon(x,0)| \le C_2(t + \sqrt{t}) & \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Now, we define the constant  $M_1$  by  $M_1 = 2C_1$ , and then the time T sufficiently small so that  $C_2(T + \sqrt{T}) \ll 1$ . Then, we see that (4.29) holds. The proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

Once we obtain this kind of uniform estimate (4.23), we can pass to the limit  $\varepsilon \to +0$  in the regularized problem (4.9) and (4.8) and obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1, there exists a time T > 0 such that the initial value problem (4.7)–(4.8) has a unique solution  $(\eta, \phi)$  satisfying

$$\eta, \nabla \phi_0 \in C([0,T]; H^m), \quad \phi_1, \dots, \phi_N \in C([0,T]; H^{m+1}).$$

### 5 Proof of the main theorem

We will show that the solution to the transformed problem (4.7)–(4.8) is the solution of the Isobe– Kakinuma model (1.3)–(1.4) if the initial data  $(\eta_{(0)}, \phi_{0(0)}, \ldots, \phi_{N(0)})$  and the bottom topography b satisfy the necessary condition (1.6). Let  $(\eta, \phi)$  be the solution of (4.7)–(4.8) obtained in Lemma 4.4. Then, we have  $\mathscr{L}_0 \partial_t \phi = F_0$ , which is exactly the second equation in (4.1). Therefore, it is sufficient to show that the first equation in (4.1) holds for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ . To this end, putting

(5.1) 
$$R_i = H^{p_i} \partial_t \eta - \sum_{j=0}^N L_{ij} \phi_j \quad \text{for} \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N,$$

we are going to show  $R_i(x,t) \equiv 0$  for i = 0, 1, ..., N. We also introduce auxiliary functions

(5.2) 
$$\ddot{R}_i = \mathscr{L}_i \phi \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Then, we see that the necessary condition (1.6) is equivalent to  $\tilde{R}_i = 0$  for i = 1, ..., N. We have also the relations

(5.3) 
$$\tilde{R}_i = H^{p_i} R_0 - R_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Differentiate (5.2) with respect to the time t and using (5.1) with i = 0 to eliminate the time derivative  $\partial_t \eta$  and the equations  $\mathscr{L}_i \partial_t \phi = F_i$ , we obtain

(5.4) 
$$\partial_t R_i = -f_i R_0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

where  $f_i$  is the function defined by (4.3). Let  $\boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{q}(H) = (q_0(H), \ldots, q_N(H))$  be rational functions of H defined by (3.17). Multiplying (5.1) by  $q_i$ , adding the resulting equations over  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N$ , and using the relation (3.18) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N} q_i R_i = -\partial_t \eta - \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} q_i L_{ij} \phi_j = 0,$$

where we used the second equation in (4.7). This together with (5.3) implies

(5.5) 
$$R_0 = -\sum_{j=1}^N q_j \tilde{R}_j$$

Here, we used the relation  $l \cdot q = -1$  once again. Plugging this into (5.4) we obtain a system of linear homogeneous ordinary differential equations for  $(\tilde{R}_1, \ldots, \tilde{R}_N)$ :

$$\partial_t \tilde{R}_i = f_i \sum_{j=1}^N q_j \tilde{R}_j \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The necessary condition (1.6) for the initial data  $(\eta_{(0)}, \phi_{0(0)}, \ldots, \phi_{N(0)})$  and the bottom topography b is equivalent to  $\tilde{R}_i(x,0) \equiv 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ , so that the uniqueness of the solution to the initial value problem implies  $\tilde{R}_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ . Then, by (5.5) and (5.3) we see in turn that  $R_0 = 0$  and  $R_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, N$ . Therefore, we have shown that  $(\eta, \phi)$  is the solution to the Isobe–Kakinuma model (1.3)–(1.4).

It remains to show that the energy function E(t) defined by (1.7) is conserved in time. The energy function can be written explicitly as

(5.6) 
$$E(t) = \frac{\rho}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=0}^N \left( \frac{1}{p_i + p_j + 1} H^{p_i + p_j + 1} \nabla \phi_i \cdot \nabla \phi_j - \frac{2p_i}{p_i + p_j} H^{p_i + p_j} \phi_i \nabla b \cdot \nabla \phi_j + \frac{p_i p_j}{p_i + p_j - 1} H^{p_i + p_j - 1} (1 + |\nabla b|^2) \phi_i \phi_j \right) + g\eta^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}x.$$

Therefore, by the direct calculation we see that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}E(t) = \rho \left\{ \sum_{i,j=0}^{N} (L_{ij}\phi_j, \partial_t\phi_i)_{L^2} + (g\eta + \frac{1}{2}(|\boldsymbol{u}|^2 + w^2), \partial_t\eta)_{L^2} \right\}$$
$$= \rho \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{N} (H^{p_i}\partial_t\eta, \partial_t\phi_i)_{L^2} - (F_0, \partial_t\eta)_{L^2} \right\} = 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

## References

- B. Alvarez-Samaniego and D. Lannes, Large time existence for 3D water-waves and asymptotics, Invent. Math., 171 (2008), 485–541.
- [2] G. A. Baker and P. Graves-Morris, Padé approximants, Second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 59, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [3] J. Boussinesq, Théorie des ondes et des remous qui se propagent le long d'un canal rectangulaire horizontal, en communiquant au liquide contenu dans ce canal des vitesses sensiblement pareilles de la surface au fond, J. Math. Pure. Appl., 17 (1872), 55–108.
- [4] H. Fujiwara and T. Iguchi, A shallow water approximation for water waves over a moving bottom, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 64 (2015), 77–88.
- [5] T. Iguchi, A shallow water approximation for water waves, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 49 (2009), 13–55.
- [6] T. Iguchi, A mathematical analysis of tsunami generation in shallow water due to seabed deformation, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A., 141 (2011), 551–608.
- [7] T. Iguchi, Isobe–Kakinuma model for water waves as a higher order shallow water approximation, arXiv:1704.02419.
- [8] M. Isobe, A proposal on a nonlinear gentle slope wave equation, Proceedings of Coastal Engineering, Japan Society of Civil Engineers, 41 (1994), 1–5 [Japanese].
- M. Isobe, Time-dependent mild-slope equations for random waves, Proceedings of 24th International Conference on Coastal Engineering, ASCE, 285–299, 1994.
- [10] T. Kakinuma, [title in Japanese], Proceedings of Coastal Engineering, Japan Society of Civil Engineers, 47 (2000), 1–5 [Japanese].
- [11] T. Kakinuma, A set of fully nonlinear equations for surface and internal gravity waves, Coastal Engineering V: Computer Modelling of Seas and Coastal Regions, 225–234, WIT Press, 2001.
- [12] T. Kakinuma, A nonlinear numerical model for surface and internal waves shoaling on a permeable beach, Coastal engineering VI: Computer Modelling and Experimental Measurements of Seas and Coastal Regions, 227–236, WIT Press, 2003.
- [13] D. Lannes, Well-posedness of the water-waves equations, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (2005), 605–654.
- [14] D. Lannes, The water waves problem: mathematical analysis and asymptotics, Math. Surveys Monogr., 188, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013.
- [15] Y. A. Li, A shallow-water approximation to the full water wave problem, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 59 (2006), 1225–1285.
- [16] J. C. Luke, A variational principle for a fluid with a free surface, J. Fluid Mech., 27 (1967), 395–397.

- [17] Y. Murakami and T. Iguchi, Solvability of the initial value problem to a model system for water waves, Kodai Math. J., 38 (2015), 470–491.
- [18] S. Wu, Well-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the full water wave problem in 2-D, Invent. Math., 130 (1997), 39–72.
- [19] S. Wu, Well-posedness in Sobolev spaces of the full water wave problem in 3-D, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 (1999), 445–495.

Ryo Nemoto Former Address: Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, 223-8522, Japan

Tatsuo Iguchi Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama, 223-8522, Japan E-mail: iguchi@math.keio.ac.jp